



Miskolc Mathematical Notes  
Vol. 5 (2004), No 1, pp. 19-23

HU e-ISSN 1787-2413  
DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2004.77

# Congruences in transitive relational systems

*Ivan Chajda*



## CONGRUENCES IN TRANSITIVE RELATIONAL SYSTEMS

IVAN CHAJDA

[Received: May 5, 2003]

**ABSTRACT.** A transitive relational system means a pair  $(A, R)$  where  $A \neq \emptyset$  and  $R$  is a transitive binary relation on  $A$ . We define a congruence  $\theta$  on  $(A, R)$  and a factor relation  $R/\theta$  on the factor set  $A/\theta$  such that the factor system  $(A/\theta, R/\theta)$  is also a transitive relational system. We show that these congruences are in a one-to-one correspondence with the so-called LU-morphisms whenever the relation  $R$  is a quasiorder on  $A$ .

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 08A02, 08A30

*Keywords:* Relational system, quasiorder, transitive relation, congruence, LU-morphism

THE CONCEPT OF A RELATIONAL SYSTEM was introduced by A. I. Maltsev [5, 6]. We will restrict our consideration to relational systems with only one binary relation. Hence, by a *relational system* we will mean a pair  $\mathcal{A} = (A, R)$ , where  $A \neq \emptyset$  and  $R \subseteq A \times A$ , i. e.,  $R$  is a binary relation on  $A$ . Relational systems play an important role both in mathematics and in applications since every formal description of a real system can be done by means of relations. For these considerations we often ask about a certain factorisation of a relational system  $\mathcal{A} = (A, R)$  because it enables us to introduce the method of abstraction on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Hence, if  $\theta$  is an equivalence relation on  $A$ , we ask about a 'factor relation'  $R/\theta$  on the factor set  $A/\theta$  such that the factor system  $(A/\theta, R/\theta)$  shares some of 'good' properties of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

In this paper, we are mostly interested in relational systems  $\mathcal{A} = (A, R)$  where  $R$  is *transitive*, i.e.  $\langle a, b \rangle \in R$  and  $\langle b, c \rangle \in R$  imply  $\langle a, c \rangle \in R$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a *transitive system*. A transitive relation formalises the concept of an "ordering" so that, in a set  $A$ , one can thus ask what elements of  $A$  go "before" or "after" a given element of  $A$ . Our topic is to define a congruence  $\theta$  on  $\mathcal{A}$  and a factor relation  $R/\theta$  such that

- (i) the system  $(A/\theta, R/\theta)$  is also transitive, and if  $R$  is reflexive or symmetrical, then  $R/\theta$  shares the same properties;
- (ii) a possible common bound is preserved by our construction.

Let us note that a similar task for ordered sets was already solved in [4], and we will try to modify that construction for transitive relational systems.

A *quasiordered system* will mean a relational system  $\mathcal{A} = (A, R)$  where  $R$  is a *quasiorder* on  $A$ , i. e.,  $R$  is a reflexive and transitive relation. Quasiorders on a given set  $A$  form an algebraic lattice, which was studied, e. g., in [3]. Here, we are interested in quasiordered systems where elements may have common “lower” and/or “upper” bounds. The systems where every two elements of  $A$  have also suprema and infima with respect to the quasiorder  $R$  are very important in applications; they were investigated by the author in [1, 2]. However, the lower and upper bounds can be defined also for general relational systems as follows.

**Notation 1.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, R)$  be a relational system and  $a, b \in A$ . Introduce the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} L_A(a, b) &= \{x \in A; \langle x, a \rangle \in R \text{ and } \langle x, b \rangle \in R\}, \\ U_A(a, b) &= \{x \in A; \langle a, x \rangle \in R \text{ and } \langle b, x \rangle \in R\}. \end{aligned}$$

If  $a = b$ , we will write  $L_A(a)$  or  $U_A(a)$  instead of  $L_A(a, a)$  or  $U_A(a, a)$ , respectively. Clearly, if  $R$  is reflexive, then  $a \in L_A(a)$  and  $a \in U_A(a)$  for each  $a \in A$ . It is easy to prove that if  $R$  is transitive, then  $\langle a, b \rangle \in R$  iff  $L_A(a, b) = L_A(a)$  iff  $U_A(a, b) = U_A(a)$ .

Naturally, if  $R$  is transitive and  $a, b \in R$ , then  $L_A(a, b)$  is the set of all lower bounds of  $a, b$  and  $U_A(a, b)$  is the set of all upper bounds of  $a, b$  with respect to  $R$ .

If  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a mapping and  $P \subseteq A$ , we put  $f(P) = \{f(z) : z \in P\}$ .

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, R), \mathcal{B} = (B, Q)$  be two relational systems. A surjective mapping  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is called an *LU-morphism* if

$$f(L_A(x, y)) = L_B(f(x), f(y))$$

and

$$f(U_A(x, y)) = U_B(f(x), f(y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A.$$

A mapping  $f$  is called a *homomorphism* of  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{B}$  if

$$\langle a, b \rangle \in R \Rightarrow \langle f(a), f(b) \rangle \in Q.$$

A homomorphism  $f$  is called *strong* if, for arbitrary  $a, b \in A$ , there exist  $c, d \in A$  such that  $f(c) = f(a), f(d) = f(b)$  and  $\langle f(a), f(b) \rangle \in Q \Rightarrow \langle c, d \rangle \in R$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, R), \mathcal{B} = (B, Q)$  be transitive relational systems and  $f$  be an LU-morphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $f$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{B}$ . If  $R$  is, moreover, reflexive, then  $f$  is a strong homomorphism.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\langle a, b \rangle \in R$ . Since  $R$  is transitive, it implies  $L_A(a, b) = L_A(a)$  and, therefore,

$$L_B(f(a), f(b)) = f(L_A(a, b)) = f(L_A(a)) = L_B(f(a)),$$

whence  $\langle f(a), f(b) \rangle \in Q$ ; thus,  $f$  is a homomorphism. Suppose now that  $R$  is also reflexive. If  $\langle f(a), f(b) \rangle \in Q$ , then

$$f(L_A(a, b)) = L_B(f(a), f(b)) = L_B(f(a)) = f(L_A(a))$$

and, on account of reflexivity, we have  $a \in L_A(a)$ ; thus,  $f(a) \in f(L_A(a)) = f(L_A(a, b))$ . Analogously, one can show that  $f(b) \in f(U_A(a, b))$ . Hence, there exist  $c \in L_A(a, b)$  and  $d \in U_A(a, b)$  such that  $f(c) = f(a)$ ,  $f(d) = f(b)$ . The condition  $c \in L_A(a, b)$  yields  $\langle c, a \rangle \in R$  and  $\langle c, b \rangle \in R$ , and the condition  $d \in U_A(a, b)$  implies that  $\langle a, d \rangle \in R$  and  $\langle b, d \rangle \in R$ . Using the transitivity of  $R$ , we conclude that  $\langle c, d \rangle \in R$ . Hence,  $f$  is a strong homomorphism.  $\square$

If  $f : A \rightarrow B$  is a mapping, we denote by  $\theta_f$  the so-called *induced equivalence* on  $A$ , i. e.,  $\langle x, y \rangle \in \theta_f$  iff  $f(x) = f(y)$ .

We say that relational systems  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  are *isomorphic*, in symbols  $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{B}$ , if there exists a bijection  $f : A \rightarrow B$  such that both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are homomorphisms.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, R)$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = (B, Q)$  be quasiordered relational systems and  $f : A \rightarrow B$  a surjective mapping. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $f$  is an LU-morphism;
- (2)  $f$  is a homomorphism and, for arbitrary  $x, y \in A$  with  $\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle \in Q$ , there exist  $u, v \in A$  such that  $\langle v, x \rangle \in R$ ,  $\langle x, u \rangle \in R$  and  $\langle v, y \rangle \in R$ ,  $\langle y, u \rangle \in R$  and  $f(u) = f(y)$ ,  $f(v) = f(x)$ .

*Proof.* The implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) follows directly by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 1.

Let us prove the implication (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Let  $f$  be a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then  $f(U_A(x, y)) \subseteq U_B(f(x), f(y))$  and  $f(L_A(x, y)) \subseteq L_B(f(x), f(y))$ . Let us prove the converse inclusions. Suppose that  $z \in U_B(f(x), f(y))$ . Then  $z = f(w)$  for some  $w \in A$  with  $\langle f(x), f(w) \rangle \in Q$ ,  $\langle f(y), f(w) \rangle \in Q$ . By (2), there exist  $c, d \in A$  such that  $\langle x, c \rangle \in R$ ,  $\langle w, c \rangle \in R$  and  $\langle y, d \rangle \in R$ ,  $\langle w, d \rangle \in R$  and  $f(c) = f(w) = f(d)$ . Applying the reflexivity of  $Q$ , we obtain  $\langle f(c), f(d) \rangle \in Q$  and, by (2), there exists  $u \in A$  such that  $\langle c, u \rangle \in R$ ,  $\langle d, u \rangle \in R$  and  $f(u) = f(c) = f(w) = z$ . Since  $R$  is transitive, it follows that  $\langle x, u \rangle \in R$ ,  $\langle y, u \rangle \in R$ , thus  $u \in U_A(x, y)$ , i. e.,  $z = f(u) \in f(U_A(x, y))$ . Analogously, it can be shown that the inclusion  $f(L_A(x, y)) \supseteq L_B(f(x), f(y))$  is true.  $\square$

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, R)$  be a relational system and  $\theta$  be an equivalence on  $A$ . Define a binary relation  $R/\theta$  on the set  $A/\theta$  as follows:

$$\langle [a]_\theta, [b]_\theta \rangle \in R/\theta \text{ iff there exist } x \in [a]_\theta \text{ and } y \in [b]_\theta \text{ with } \langle x, y \rangle \in R.$$

The system  $\mathcal{A}/\theta = (A/\theta, R/\theta)$  will be called a *factor system* of  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $\theta$ .

The following statement is obvious.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, R)$  and  $\theta$  be an equivalence on  $A$ . If  $R$  is reflexive or symmetrical, then  $R/\theta$  also has this property.*

**Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, R)$  be a relational system and  $\theta$  be an equivalence on  $A$ . We say that  $\theta$  is a *congruence* on  $\mathcal{A}$  if  $\theta = R \times R$  or

- (a) for arbitrary  $x, y \in [a]_\theta$ , there exists a  $c \in [a]_\theta$  such that  $\langle x, c \rangle \in R$  and  $\langle y, c \rangle \in R$ ;
- (b) if  $\langle v, a \rangle \in R$ ,  $\langle v, b \rangle \in R$ , and  $\langle v, a \rangle \in \theta$ , then there exists a  $t \in A$  such that  $\langle a, t \rangle \in R$ ,  $\langle b, t \rangle \in R$ , and  $\langle b, t \rangle \in \theta$

and the conditions (a) and (b) hold for  $R^{-1}$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, R)$  be a transitive relational system and  $\theta$  be a congruence on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}/\theta = (A/\theta, R/\theta)$  is also a transitive relational system.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\langle [a]_\theta, [b]_\theta \rangle \in R/\theta$  and  $\langle [b]_\theta, [c]_\theta \rangle \in R/\theta$ . Then there exist  $x \in [a]_\theta$ ,  $y, y' \in [b]_\theta$ , and  $z \in [c]_\theta$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$  and  $\langle y', z \rangle \in R$ . By (a), there exists an  $u \in [b]_\theta$  such that  $\langle y, u \rangle \in R$  and  $\langle y', u \rangle \in R$ . Since  $R$  is transitive and  $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ , we also have  $\langle x, u \rangle \in R$ . By (b), there exists a  $v \in A$  such that  $\langle u, v \rangle \in R$ ,  $\langle z, v \rangle \in R$  and  $\langle z, v \rangle \in \theta$ , i. e.,  $v \in [c]_\theta$ . However,  $\langle x, u \rangle \in R$  and  $\langle u, v \rangle \in R$  yield  $\langle x, v \rangle \in R$ ; thus,  $\langle [a]_\theta, [c]_\theta \rangle \in R/\theta$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, R)$ ,  $\mathcal{B} = (B, Q)$  be quasiordered relational systems. Then:*

- (1) *if  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is an LU-morphism, then  $\theta_f$  is a congruence on  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}/\theta_f \cong \mathcal{B}$ ;*
- (2) *if  $\theta$  is a congruence on  $\mathcal{A}$ , then the canonical mapping  $h : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\theta$  (given by the relation  $h(a) = [a]_\theta$ ) is an LU-morphism.*

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that  $x, y \in [a]_{\theta_f}$ . Then  $f(x) = f(y)$  and, in view of the reflexivity of  $Q$ , we have  $\langle f(x), f(y) \rangle \in Q$ . By Theorem 1, there exists an  $u \in A$  with  $\langle x, u \rangle \in R$ ,  $\langle y, u \rangle \in R$  and  $f(x) = f(u) = f(y)$ . Hence,  $u \in [a]_{\theta_f}$ . Analogously, one can show the existence of  $v \in [a]_{\theta_f}$  with  $\langle v, x \rangle \in R$ ,  $\langle v, y \rangle \in R$ , i. e.,  $[a]_{\theta_f}$  satisfies (a) and its dual (i. e., it is “directed”).

Let us prove (b). Let  $\langle v, a \rangle \in R$ ,  $\langle v, b \rangle \in R$  and  $\langle v, a \rangle \in \theta_f$ . Then  $f(v) = f(a)$  and, therefore,  $f(U_A(a, b)) = U_B(f(a), f(b)) = U_B(f(v), f(b)) = U_B(f(b)) = f(U_A(b))$ . Hence, there exists a  $t \in A$  such that  $t \in U_A(a, b)$  and  $f(t) = f(b)$ , whence  $\langle b, t \rangle \in \theta_f$  and  $\langle a, t \rangle \in R$ ,  $\langle b, t \rangle \in R$ . We have thus shown that (b) holds. Analogously, the dual of (b) can be obtained.

(2) Suppose that  $a, b \in A$  and  $\langle a, b \rangle \in R$ . Since  $a \in [a]_\theta$ ,  $b \in [b]_\theta$ , we have  $\langle h(a), h(b) \rangle = \langle [a]_\theta, [b]_\theta \rangle \in R/\theta$ , i. e.,  $h$  (the canonical mapping) is a surjective homomorphism. Let  $x, y \in A$  and  $\langle h(x), h(y) \rangle \in Q$ . Then  $\langle [x]_\theta, [y]_\theta \rangle \in R/\theta$ ; thus, there exist  $c \in [x]_\theta$ ,  $d \in [y]_\theta$  with  $\langle c, d \rangle \in R$ . By (a), there exists a  $v \in A$  with  $\langle v, x \rangle \in R$ ,  $\langle v, c \rangle \in R$  and  $v \in [x]_\theta$ , and there exists  $t \in A$  with  $\langle d, t \rangle \in R$ ,  $\langle y, t \rangle \in R$  and  $t \in [y]_\theta$ . By (b), there is an  $u \in A$  such that  $\langle t, u \rangle \in R$ ,  $\langle x, u \rangle \in R$  and  $\langle u, t \rangle \in \theta$ . On account of the transitivity of  $R$ , we also have  $\langle x, u \rangle \in R$ ,  $\langle y, u \rangle \in R$ , and  $u \in [y]_\theta$ , i. e.,  $h(u) = h(y)$ . Analogously, there is an  $s \in A$  such that  $\langle s, x \rangle \in R$ ,  $\langle s, y \rangle \in R$ , and  $h(s) = h(x)$ . By Theorem 1,  $h$  is an LU-morphism.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, R)$  be a quasiordered system and  $\theta$  be an equivalence on  $A$ . Then  $\theta$  is a congruence on  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if the following assertion is true: for every  $a \in A$ ,  $[a]_\theta$  is directed and*

- (i)  $\langle a, b \rangle \in R, \langle a, a_1 \rangle \in \theta \Rightarrow \exists b_1 \in A$  with  $\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \in R$  and  $\langle b_1, b \rangle \in \theta$ ;
- (ii)  $\langle a, b \rangle \in R, \langle b, b_1 \rangle \in \theta \Rightarrow \exists a_1 \in A$  with  $\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \in R$  and  $\langle a_1, a \rangle \in \theta$ .

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that  $\langle a, b \rangle \in R$  and  $\langle a, a_1 \rangle \in \theta$  for some  $a, a_1, b \in A$ . By (a), there exists  $d \in [a]_\theta$  with  $\langle d, a_1 \rangle \in R, \langle d, a \rangle \in R$  and, due to the transitivity,  $\langle d, b \rangle \in R$ . By (b), there exists  $b_1 \in [b]_\theta$  such that  $\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \in R$ . We have obtained (i). Analogously, it can be shown that (ii) is true.

(2) Let  $\theta$  be an equivalence on  $A$  satisfying (i) and (ii). Clearly, (i) + (ii) yields property (b).  $\square$

**Corollary.** *Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A, R)$  be a quasiordered system and  $\theta$  be an equivalence on  $A$ . Then  $\theta$  is a congruence on  $\mathcal{A}$  if and only if:*

- (i)  $R/\theta$  is a quasiorder on  $A/\theta$ ;
- (ii)  $[L_A(x, y)]_\theta = L_{A/\theta}([x]_\theta, [y]_\theta)$  and  $[U_A(x, y)]_\theta = U_{A/\theta}([x]_\theta, [y]_\theta)$  for arbitrary  $x, y \in A$ .

*Proof.* If  $\theta$  is a congruence on  $\mathcal{A}$ , then by Theorem 2 and Lemma 2, we obtain (i). Applying Theorem 3, we have (ii). Conversely, let  $\theta$  be an equivalence on  $A$  satisfying (i) and (ii). Then the canonical mapping  $h : A \rightarrow A/\theta$  is an LU-morphism and, due to Theorem 3, we have  $\theta = \theta_h$  is a congruence on  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] CHAJDA, I.: *Lattices in quasiordered sets*, Acta. Univ. Palack. Olom., Fac. Rer. Nat., Mathematica, **31** (1992), 6–12.
- [2] CHAJDA, I.: *An algebra of quasiordered logic*, Mathem. Bohemica, **119** (1994), 129–135.
- [3] CHAJDA, I. AND CZÉDLI, G.: *How to generate the involution lattice of quasiorders?*, Stud. Sci. Math. Hungar., **32** (1996), 415–427.
- [4] CHAJDA, I. AND SNÁŠEL, V.: *Congruences in ordered sets*, Czech. Math. Journal, **123** (1998), 95–100.
- [5] MALTSEV, A. I.: *Toward a general theory of algebraic systems*, Matem. Sb., **33** (1954), 3–20.
- [6] MALTSEV, A. I.: *Algebraic systems*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.

## Author's Address

**Ivan Chajda:**

DEPARTMENT OF ALGEBRA AND GEOMETRY, PALACKÝ UNIVERSITY OLOMOUČ, TOMKOVA 40, 779 00 OLOMOUČ, CZECH REPUBLIC

*E-mail address:* Chajda@risc.upol.cz