



ON (M, P) -FUNCTIONS WITH SOME FEATURES AND NEW INEQUALITIES

ERHAN SET, ALİ KARAOĞLAN, İMDAT İŞCAN, AND NESLİHAN KILIÇ

Received 30 November, 2022

Abstract. In this study, we introduce a generalization of P -function, called (M, P) -functions, via weighted mean functions given by İşcan. Then, we prove some new inequalities for (M, P) -functions. Also, we give new properties for (M, P) -functions and present some results for the special cases of M .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 26A51; 26D10; 26E60

Keywords: (M, P) -functions, MN -convex functions, means, weighted means, integral inequalities

1. INTRODUCTION

Historically, pedagogically and logically, the study of convex functions begins in the context of real-valued functions of real variable. Convex functions have important applications and at same time they give rise to a variety of generalizations. The geometric definition of a convex function specifies the following. A real-valued function is said to be convex if the line segment connecting two points of its graph lies above the graph. Equivalently, a real-valued function is convex if its epigraph (the set of points on or above its graph) is convex. A convex function $f: [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and its restriction to (a, b) is continuous. Simple examples of convex functions are $f(x) = x^2$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$, $g(x) = \sin x$ on $[-\pi, 0]$, $k(x) = |x|$ on $(-\infty, \infty)$. The analytic definition of a convex function is as follows.

Definition 1. The function $f: [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, is said to be convex if the following inequality holds

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y) \quad (1.1)$$

for all $x, y \in [a, b]$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$. We say that f is concave if $(-f)$ is convex.

Definition 2 ([5]). A function $f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is P function or that f belongs to the class of $P(I)$, if it is nonnegative and, for all $x, y \in I$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, satisfies the

© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Miskolc University Press. This is an open access article under the license [CC BY 4.0](#).

following inequality;

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq f(x) + f(y). \quad (1.2)$$

Convex functions play an important role in many areas of mathematics. They are especially important in the study of optimization problems where they are distinguished by a number of convenient properties. The generalized condition of convexity, i.e. MN -convexity with respect to arbitrary means M and N , was proposed in 1933 by Aumann [4]. Recently many authors have dealt with these generalizations. In particular, Niculescu [14] compared MN -convexity with relative convexity. In [3], Anderson et al. studied certain generalizations of these notions for a positive-valued function of a positive variable as follows:

Definition 3. A function $M: (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is called a mean function if the following conditions are satisfied.

- (M1) $M(u, v) = M(v, u)$,
- (M2) $M(u, u) = u$,
- (M3) $u < M(u, v) < v$ whenever $u < v$,
- (M4) $M(\lambda u, \lambda v) = \lambda M(u, v)$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

Example 1. For $u, v \in (0, \infty)$

$$M(u, v) = A(u, v) = A = \frac{u + v}{2}$$

is the Arithmetic Mean,

$$M(u, v) = G(u, v) = G = \sqrt{uv}$$

is the Geometric Mean,

$$M(u, v) = H(u, v) = H = A^{-1}(u^{-1}, v^{-1}) = \frac{2uv}{u + v}$$

is the Harmonic Mean,

$$M(u, v) = L(u, v) = L = \begin{cases} \frac{u-v}{\ln u - \ln v} & u \neq v \\ u & u = v \end{cases}$$

is the Logarithmic Mean,

$$M(u, v) = I(u, v) = I = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{e} \left(\frac{u^u}{v^v} \right)^{\frac{1}{u-v}} & u \neq v \\ u & u = v \end{cases}$$

is the Identric Mean,

$$M(u, v) = M_p(u, v) = M_p = \begin{cases} A^{1/p}(u^p, v^p) = \left(\frac{u^p + v^p}{2} \right)^{1/p} & p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \\ G(u, v) = \sqrt{uv} & p = 0 \end{cases}$$

is the p -Power Mean, In particular, we have the following inequality

$$M_{-1} = H \leq M_0 = G \leq L \leq I \leq A = M_1.$$

In [3], Anderson et al. gave a new definition of MN -convex functions called MN -midpoint convex with the help of M and N weighted mean as follows.

Definition 4. Let M and N be two means defined on the intervals $I \subset (0, \infty)$ and $J \subset (0, \infty)$ respectively, a function $f: I \rightarrow J$ is called MN -midpoint convex if it satisfies

$$f(M(u, v)) \leq N(f(u), f(v))$$

for all $u, v \in I$.

In [9], İşcan gave a new definition of function called weighted mean function as follows.

Definition 5. A function $M: (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is called a weighted mean function if

(WM1) $M(u, v, \lambda) = M(v, u, 1 - \lambda)$.

(WM2) $M(u, u, \lambda) = u$.

(WM3) $u < M(u, v, \lambda) < v$ whenever $u < v$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Also $\{M(u, v, 0), M(u, v, 1)\} = \{u, v\}$.

(WM4) $M(\alpha u, \alpha v, \lambda) = \alpha M(u, v, \lambda)$ for all $\alpha > 0$.

(WM5) Let $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ be fixed. Then $M(u, v, \lambda) \leq M(w, v, \lambda)$ whenever $u \leq w$ and $M(u, v, \lambda) \leq M(u, \omega, \lambda)$ whenever $v \leq \omega$.

(WM6) Let $u, v \in (0, \infty)$ be fixed and $u \neq v$. Then $M(u, v, \cdot)$ is a strictly monotone and continuous function on $[0, 1]$.

(WM7) $M(M(u, v, \lambda), M(z, w, \lambda), s) = M(M(u, z, s), M(v, w, s), \lambda)$ for all $u, v, z, w \in (0, \infty)$ and $s, \lambda \in [0, 1]$.

(WM8) $M(u, v, s\lambda_1 + (1 - s)\lambda_2) = M(M(u, v, \lambda_1), M(u, v, \lambda_2), s)$ for all $u, v \in (0, \infty)$ and $s, \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in [0, 1]$.

Remark 1 ([9]). According to the above definition every weighted mean function is a mean function with $\lambda = 1/2$. Also, By (WM6) we can say that for each $x \in [u, v] \subseteq (0, \infty)$ there exists a $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ such that $x = M(u, v, \lambda)$. Moreover;

i) If $M(u, v, \cdot)$ is a strictly increasing, then $M(u, v, 0) = u$ and $M(u, v, 1) = v$ whenever $u < v$ (i.e. $M(u, v, \lambda)$ is in the positive direction)

ii) If $M(u, v, \cdot)$ is a strictly decreasing, then $M(u, v, 0) = v$ and $M(u, v, 1) = u$ whenever $u < v$ (i.e. $M(u, v, \lambda)$ is in the negative direction) and $M(u, v, \cdot)([0, 1]) = [\min\{u, v\}, \max\{u, v\}]$.

Throughout this paper, we will assume that different weighted means have the same direction unless otherwise stated.

Example 2 ([9]).

$$M(u, v, \lambda) = A(u, v, \lambda) = A_\lambda = (1 - \lambda)u + \lambda v$$

is the Weighted Arithmetic Mean,

$$M(u, v, \lambda) = G(u, v, \lambda) = G_\lambda = u^{1-\lambda}v^\lambda$$

is the Weighted Geometric Mean,

$$M(u, v, \lambda) = H(u, v, \lambda) = H_\lambda = A^{-1}(u^{-1}, v^{-1}, \lambda) = \frac{uv}{\lambda u + (1 - \lambda)v}$$

is the Weighted Harmonic Mean,

$$M(u, v, \lambda) = M_p(u, v, \lambda) = M_{p,\lambda} = \begin{cases} A^{1/p}(u^p, v^p, \lambda) = ((1 - \lambda)u^p + \lambda v^p)^{1/p} & p \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \\ G(u, v, \lambda) = u^{1-\lambda}v^\lambda & p = 0 \end{cases}$$

is the p -Power Mean. In particular, we have the following inequality

$$M_{-1,\lambda} = H_\lambda \leq M_{0,\lambda} = G_\lambda \leq M_{1,\lambda} = A_\lambda \leq M_{p,\lambda}$$

for all $x, y \in (0, \infty)$, $t \in [0, 1]$ and $p \geq 1$.

İşcan [9] proved the equalities in the following proposition.

Proposition 1. *If $M: (0, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is a weighted mean function, then the following identities hold:*

$$M(M(a, M(a, b, s), \lambda), M(b, M(a, b, s), \lambda), s) = M(a, b, s), \quad (1.3)$$

$$M(M(a, b, \lambda), M(b, a, \lambda), 1/2) = M(a, b, 1/2). \quad (1.4)$$

Many different definitions of convexity have been made by mathematicians until now. One of these definition was given by İşcan as follows.

Definition 6 ([9]). Let M and N be two weighted means defined on the intervals $I \subseteq (0, \infty)$ and $J \subseteq (0, \infty)$ respectively, a function $f: I \rightarrow J$ is called MN -convex (concave) if it satisfies

$$f(M(u, v, \lambda)) \leq (\geq) N(f(u), f(v), \lambda)$$

for all $u, v \in I$ and $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

We note that by considering the special cases of M and N , we obtain several different results. For some recent results related to convex functions, MN -convexity and some kinds of convexity obtained by using weighted means, see [1, 4, 6, 7, 11–14, 16].

Definition 7 ([9]). Let M and N be two weighted means defined on the intervals $[u, v] \subseteq (0, \infty)$ and $J \subseteq (0, \infty)$ respectively and $f: [u, v] \rightarrow J$ be a function. We say that f is symmetric with respect to $M(u, v, 1/2)$, if it satisfies

$$f(M(u, v, \lambda)) = f(M(u, v, 1 - \lambda))$$

for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$.

Definition 8 ([10]). A function f defined on $[a, b]$ is said to be of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ if its total variation $Var(f)$ on $[a, b]$ is finite, where

$$Var(f) = \sup \sum_{j=1}^n |f(t_j) - f(t_{j-1})|, \quad (1.5)$$

the supremum being taken over all partitions

$$a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b \quad (1.6)$$

of the interval $[a, b]$; here, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is arbitrary and so is the choice of values t_1, \dots, t_{n-1} in $[a, b]$ which, however, must satisfy (1.6).

Obviously, all functions of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ form a vector space. A norm on this space is given by

$$\|f\| = |f(a)| + Var(f). \quad (1.7)$$

The normed space thus defined is denoted by $BV[a, b]$, where BV suggest "bounded variation".

In 1905, E. Almansi [2] proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let f and f' be continuous functions on the interval (a, b) and let $f(a) = f(b)$ and $\int_a^b f(x) dx = 0$. Then

$$\int_a^b [f(x)]^2 dx \leq \left(\frac{b-a}{2\pi}\right)^2 \int_a^b [f'(x)]^2 dx. \quad (1.8)$$

The aim of this paper is to give a new definition called (M, P) -function of P -functions that belongs to the class of $P(I)$ via the weighted means, obtain new inequalities using (M, P) -functions and present some properties of (M, P) -functions.

2. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 9. Let $I \subset (0, \infty)$ be an interval, let $M: I \times I \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a weighted mean function and let $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function. Then f is said to be an (M, P) -function if the inequality

$$f(M(x, y, t)) \leq f(x) + f(y)$$

holds for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$.

Remark 2. If we choose M as the weighted arithmetic mean in Definition 9, we obtain the class of P -function.

Remark 3. If $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an (M, P) -function on I ,

$$f(x) \geq 0 \quad \forall x \in I.$$

Theorem 2. Every MN -convex function is an (M, P) -function.

Proof. Let $M: I \times I \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, $N: J \times J \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be two weighted mean functions on intervals $J \subset (0, \infty)$, $I \subset (0, \infty)$ respectively and $f: I \rightarrow J$ be a MN -convex function. Then we can write

$$f(M(x, y, t)) \leq N(f(x), f(y), t) \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and all $t \in [0, 1]$.

On the other hand, we can write

$$f(x) \leq f(x) + f(y)$$

and

$$f(y) \leq f(x) + f(y).$$

Then, we obtain,

$$N(f(x), f(y), t) \leq N(f(x) + f(y), f(x) + f(y), t) = f(x) + f(y). \quad (2.2)$$

So, using (2.1) and (2.2), the proof is completed. \square

Theorem 3 (Hermite-Hadamard's inequalities for (M, P) -functions). *Let M be a weighted mean function defined on the interval $I \subset (0, \infty)$ and $f: I \rightarrow J$ is an (M, P) -function. If the following integral exists, then we have the following inequalities for (M, P) -functions*

$$f(M(x, y, 1/2)) \leq 2 \int_0^1 f(M(x, y, t)) dt \leq 2[f(x) + f(y)] \quad (2.3)$$

for all $x, y \in I$ with $x < y$.

Proof. Since f is (M, P) -function, using (WM1) and (1.4) equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(M(x, y, 1/2)) &= f\left(M(M(x, y, t), M(x, y, 1-t), 1/2)\right) \\ &\leq f(M(x, y, t)) + f(M(x, y, 1-t)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Integrating both sides of (2.4) inequality respect to t over $[0, 1]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 f(M(x, y, 1/2)) dt &= f(M(x, y, 1/2)) \\ &\leq \int_0^1 f(M(x, y, t)) dt + \int_0^1 f(M(x, y, 1-t)) dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 f(M(x, y, t)) dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Otherwise, we can write

$$f(M(x, y, t)) \leq f(x) + f(y) \quad (2.6)$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Integrating both sides of (2.6) inequality respect to t over $[0, 1]$, we obtain

$$\int_0^1 f(M(x, y, t)) dt \leq f(x) + f(y). \quad (2.7)$$

Then, using (2.5) and (2.7) inequalities, we get the desired result. \square

Remark 4. Let $I \subset (0, \infty)$ and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If f is an (M, P) -function and $M = A$ (A is the weighted arithmetic mean), then using (2.3), we have the following Hermite-Hadamard's inequalities (see [5], Theorem 3.1).

$$\begin{aligned} f(A(x, y, 1/2)) &= f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \leq 2 \int_0^1 f(A(x, y, t)) dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 f((1-t)x + ty) dt \\ &= \frac{2}{y-x} \int_x^y f(u) du \\ &\leq 2[f(x) + f(y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5. Let $I \subset (0, \infty)$ and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If f is an (M, P) -function and $M = G$ (G is the weighted geometric mean), then using (2.3), we have the following Hermite-Hadamard's inequalities (see [15], Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.2, for $h(t)=1$).

$$\begin{aligned} f(G(x, y, 1/2)) &= f(\sqrt{xy}) \leq 2 \int_0^1 f(G(x, y, t)) dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 f(x^{1-t}y^t) dt \\ &= \frac{2}{\ln y - \ln x} \int_x^y \frac{f(u)}{u} du \\ &\leq 2[f(x) + f(y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6. Let $I \subset (0, \infty)$ and $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If f is an (M, P) -function and $M = H$ (H is the weighted harmonic mean), then using (2.3), we have the following Hermite-Hadamard's inequalities (see [8], Theorem 4).

$$\begin{aligned} f(H(x, y, 1/2)) &= f\left(\frac{2xy}{x+y}\right) \leq 2 \int_0^1 f(H(x, y, t)) dt \\ &= 2 \int_0^1 f\left(\frac{xy}{tx + (1-t)y}\right) dt \\ &= \frac{2xy}{y-x} \int_x^y \frac{f(u)}{u^2} du \\ &\leq 2[f(x) + f(y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 4. If $f: [a, b] \subset (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an (M, P) -function, f is bounded on $[a, b]$.

Proof. Since f is an (M, P) -function, $f(x) \geq 0$ respect to Remark 3 for all $x \in [a, b]$. Then, f is a function bounded below. Also, we can write $x = M(a, b, t)$ for $\forall x \in [a, b]$ and $\exists t \in [0, 1]$. Then, we get

$$f(x) = f(M(a, b, t)) \leq f(a) + f(b) = k$$

and f is a function bounded above. Consequently, f is a bounded function. \square

Theorem 5. *Let M be weighted mean defined on the interval $I \subset (0, \infty)$. If $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an (M, P) -function and $\alpha > 0$, then αf is an (M, P) -function.*

Proof. Since f is an (M, P) -function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha f(M(x, y, t)) &\leq \alpha(f(x) + f(y)) \\ &= \alpha f(x) + \alpha f(y). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that αf is an (M, P) -function. So, the proof of theorem is completed. \square

Theorem 6. *Let M be weighted mean function defined on the interval $I \subset (0, \infty)$. If $f_\alpha: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary family of (M, P) -functions and let $f(x) = \sup_\alpha f_\alpha(x)$. If $K = \{u \in I: f(u) < \infty\}$ is nonempty, then K is an interval and f is an (M, P) -function on K .*

Proof. Let $t \in [0, 1]$ and $x, y \in K$ be arbitrary. Also, since f_α is an (M, P) -function, f_α is bounded. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(M(x, y, t)) &= \sup_\alpha f_\alpha(M(x, y, t)) \\ &\leq \sup_\alpha (f_\alpha(x) + f_\alpha(y)) \\ &\leq \sup_\alpha f_\alpha(x) + \sup_\alpha f_\alpha(y) \\ &= f(x) + f(y) \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This shows simultaneously that K is an interval, since it contains every point between any two of its points and that f is an (M, P) -function on K . The proof of the theorem is completed. \square

Theorem 7. *Let M be weighted mean function defined on the interval $[x, y] \subseteq (0, \infty)$. If function $f: [x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an (M, P) -function and symmetric with respect to $M(x, y, 1/2)$, then we have*

$$f(M(x, y, 1/2)) \leq 2f(u) \leq 2[f(x) + f(y)] \quad (2.8)$$

for all $u \in [x, y]$.

Proof. Let $u \in [x, y]$ be arbitrary point. Then there exist a $t \in [0, 1]$ such that $u = M(x, y, t)$. Since $f: [x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an (M, P) -function and symmetric with respect to $M(x, y, 1/2)$, by using equality (1.4) we have

$$f(M(x, y, 1/2)) = f(M(M(x, y, t), M(x, y, 1-t), 1/2))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq f(M(x, y, t)) + f(M(x, y, 1-t)) \\ &= f(M(x, y, t)) + f(M(x, y, t)) \\ &= 2f(u). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the left-hand side of inequality (2.8). Secondly, since f is an (M, P) -function and $(WM5)$ with (1.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2f(u) &= f(M(x, y, t)) + f(M(x, y, t)) \\ &\leq f(x) + f(y) + f(x) + f(y) \\ &= 2f(x) + 2f(y) \\ &= 2[f(x) + f(y)]. \end{aligned}$$

So, the proof of the theorem is completed. \square

Theorem 8. Let M be weighted mean function defined on the interval $I \subset (0, \infty)$. If the functions $f, g: \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are (M, P) -functions, then $f + g$ is also an (M, P) -function.

Proof. Since f and g are (M, P) -functions, we have

$$f(M(x, y, t)) \leq f(x) + f(y)$$

and

$$g(M(x, y, t)) \leq g(x) + g(y)$$

for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (f + g)(M(x, y, t)) &= f(M(x, y, t)) + g(M(x, y, t)) \\ &\leq f(x) + f(y) + g(x) + g(y) \\ &= f(x) + g(x) + f(y) + g(y) \\ &= (f + g)(x) + (f + g)(y). \end{aligned}$$

So, this completes the proof. \square

Theorem 9. Let $0 < a < b$ and $M: [a, b] \times [a, b] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a weighted mean function defined on $[a, b]$, $f: [a, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, f and f' be continuous functions on (a, b) with $f(a) = f(b)$ and $\int_0^1 f(M(a, b, t)) dt = 0$. If $|f'|$ is an (M, P) -function on $[a, b]$, then the following inequality holds

$$\int_0^1 f^2(M(a, b, t)) dt \leq \frac{[|f'(a)| + |f'(b)|]^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 (\varphi'(t))^2 dt,$$

where $\varphi(t) = M(a, b, t)$, $\forall t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $\varphi(t) = M(a, b, t)$ and $\bar{h}(t) = f \circ \varphi(t)$. Since φ is strictly monotone, $\varphi \in \text{BV}[0, 1]$, then $\varphi' \in L[0, 1]$. Also, we can write $\varphi(0) = a$, $\varphi(1) = b$ and therefore

$\bar{h}(0) = f(M(a, b, 0)) = f(a) = f(b) = f(M(a, b, 1)) = \bar{h}(1)$. Also, since $\int_0^1 \bar{h}(t) dt = \int_0^1 f(M(a, b, t)) dt = 0$, φ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1. So that, we can write

$$\int_0^1 \bar{h}^2(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 (\bar{h}'(t))^2 dt. \quad (2.9)$$

Then, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 (\bar{h}'(t))^2 dt &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 [f'(\varphi(t))\varphi'(t)]^2 dt \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 |f'(\varphi(t))|^2 (\varphi'(t))^2 dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|f'|$ is (M, P) -function on $[a, b]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 (\bar{h}'(t))^2 dt &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 [|f'(a)| + |f'(b)|]^2 (\varphi'(t))^2 dt \\ &= \frac{[|f'(a)| + |f'(b)|]^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 (\varphi'(t))^2 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

Using (2.9) and (2.10), we get the desired result. \square

Corollary 1. *If we take $M = A$ (A is the weighted arithmetic mean) in Theorem 9, we get*

$$\int_a^b f^2(x) dx \leq \frac{(b-a)^3}{4\pi^2} [|f'(a)| + |f'(b)|]^2.$$

Corollary 2. *If we take $M = G$ (G is the weighted geometric mean) in Theorem 9, we get*

$$\int_a^b \frac{f^2(x)}{x} dx \leq \frac{[\ln b - \ln a]^2 (b^2 - a^2)}{8\pi^2} [|f'(a)| + |f'(b)|]^2.$$

Corollary 3. *If we take $M = H$ (H is the weighted harmonic mean) in Theorem 9, we get*

$$\int_a^b \frac{f^2(x)}{x^2} dx \leq \frac{(b^3 - a^3)(b-a)^2}{12(ab)^2\pi^2} [|f'(a)| + |f'(b)|]^2.$$

Theorem 10. *Let $0 < a < b$ and $M: [a, b] \times [a, b] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be a weighted mean function defined on $[a, b]$, $f: [a, b] \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, f and f' be continuous functions on (a, b) with $f(a) = f(b)$ and $\int_0^1 f(M(a, b, t)) dt = 0$. If $|f'|^q$ is an (M, P) -function on $[a, b]$, then the following inequality holds*

$$\int_0^1 f^2(M(a, b, t)) dt \leq \frac{[|f'(a)|^q + |f'(b)|^q]^{\frac{2}{q}}}{4\pi^2} \left(\int_0^1 |\varphi'(t)|^{2p} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} > 1$, $\varphi(t) = M(a, b, t)$, $\forall t \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $\varphi(t) = M(a, b, t)$ and $\hbar(t) = f \circ \varphi(t)$. Since φ is strictly monotone, $\varphi \in \text{BV}[0, 1]$, then $\varphi' \in L[0, 1]$. Also, we can write $\varphi(0) = a$, $\varphi(1) = b$ and therefore $\hbar(0) = f(M(a, b, 0)) = f(a) = f(b) = f(M(a, b, 1)) = \hbar(1)$. Also, since $\int_0^1 \hbar(t) dt = \int_0^1 f(M(a, b, t)) dt = 0$, φ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1. So that, we can write

$$\int_0^1 \hbar^2(t) dt \leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 (\hbar'(t))^2 dt. \quad (2.11)$$

Using Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 (\hbar'(t))^2 dt &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 [f'(\varphi(t))\varphi'(t)]^2 dt \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 |f'(\varphi(t))|^2 |\varphi'(t)|^2 dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left(\int_0^1 (|f'(\varphi(t))|^2)^q dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_0^1 (|\varphi'(t)|^2)^p dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left(\int_0^1 (|f'(\varphi(t))|^q)^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_0^1 |\varphi'(t)|^{2p} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $|f'|^q$ is (M, P) -function on $[a, b]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_0^1 (\hbar'(t))^2 dt &\leq \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left(\int_0^1 (|f'(a)|^q + |f'(b)|^q)^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \left(\int_0^1 |\varphi'(t)|^{2p} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \frac{[|f'(a)|^q + |f'(b)|^q]^{\frac{2}{q}}}{4\pi^2} \left(\int_0^1 |\varphi'(t)|^{2p} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Using (2.11) and (2.12), we get the desired result. \square

Corollary 4. If we take $M = A$ (A is the weighted arithmetic mean) in Theorem 10, we get

$$\int_a^b f^2(x) dx \leq \frac{(b-a)^3}{4\pi^2} [|f'(a)|^q + |f'(b)|^q]^{\frac{2}{q}}.$$

Corollary 5. If we take $M = G$ (G is the weighted geometric mean) in Theorem 10, we get

$$\int_a^b \frac{f^2(x)}{x} dx \leq \frac{[\ln b - \ln a]^{3-\frac{1}{p}} (b^{2p} - a^{2p})^{\frac{1}{p}}}{4\pi^2 (2p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} [|f'(a)|^q + |f'(b)|^q]^{\frac{2}{q}}.$$

Corollary 6. *If we take $M = H$ (H is the weighted harmonic mean) in Theorem 10, we get*

$$\int_a^b \frac{f^2(x)}{x^2} dx \leq \frac{(b-a)^{3-\frac{1}{p}}(ab)(b^{1-4p}-a^{1-4p})^{\frac{1}{p}}}{4\pi^2(1-4p)^{\frac{1}{p}}} [|f'(a)|^q + |f'(b)|^q]^{\frac{2}{q}}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Aczél, “A generalization of the notion of convex functions,” *Norske Vid. Selsk. Forhd., Trondheimem*, vol. 19, no. 24, pp. 87–90, 1947.
- [2] E. Almansi, “Sopra una delle esperienze di Plateau,” *Ann. Mat. Pure Appl.*, vol. 3, no. 12, pp. 1–17, 1905.
- [3] G. D. Anderson, M. K. Vamanamurthy, and M. Vuorinen, “Generalized convexity and inequalities,” *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 335, no. 2, pp. 1294–1308, nov 2007, doi: [10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.02.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.02.016).
- [4] G. Aumann, “Konvexe Funktionen und Induktion bei Ungleichungen zwischen Mittelwerten,” *Bayer. Akad. Wiss. Math.-Natur. Kl. Abh., Math. Ann.*, vol. 109, pp. 405–413, 1933.
- [5] S. S. Dragomir, J. Pečarić, and L. E. Persson, “Some inequalities of Hadamard type,” *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 335–341, 1995.
- [6] İ. İşcan, “Hermite-Hadamard type inequalities for harmonically convex functions,” *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 935–942, 2014.
- [7] İ. İşcan, “Ostrowski type inequalities for p -convex functions,” *New Trends in Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 140–150, 2016, doi: [10.20852/ntmsci.2016318838](https://doi.org/10.20852/ntmsci.2016318838).
- [8] İ. İşcan, S. Numan, and K. Bekar, “Hermite-Hadamard and Simpson type inequalities for differentiable harmonically P -functions,” *British Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, vol. 4, no. 14, pp. 1908–1920, 2014, doi: [10.9734/BJMCS/2014/10338](https://doi.org/10.9734/BJMCS/2014/10338).
- [9] İ. İşcan, “On weighted means and MN-convex functions,” *Turkish Journal of Inequalities*, vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 70–81, 2021.
- [10] E. Kreyszig, *Introductory Functional Analysis with Applications*. University of Windsor, New York Santa Barbara London Sydney Toronto, 1978.
- [11] J. Matkowski, “Convex functions with respect to a mean and a characterization of quasi-arithmetic means,” *Real Anal. Exchange*, vol. 29, pp. 229–246, 2003/2004.
- [12] T. Z. Mirkovic, “New inequalities of Wirtinger type for convex and MN-Convex Functions,” *Facta Universitatis, Series: Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 165–173, 2019, doi: [10.22190/FUMI1902165M](https://doi.org/10.22190/FUMI1902165M).
- [13] C. P. Niculescu, “Convexity according to the geometric mean,” *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 155–167, 2000, doi: [10.7153/mia-03-19](https://doi.org/10.7153/mia-03-19).
- [14] C. P. Niculescu, “Convexity according to means,” *Math. Inequal. Appl.*, vol. 6, pp. 571–579., 2003, doi: [10.7153/mia-06-53](https://doi.org/10.7153/mia-06-53).
- [15] M. A. Noor, K. I. Noor, and M. U. Awan, “Some inequalities for geometrically-arithmetically h -convex functions,” *Creat. Math. Inform.*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 193–200, 2015.
- [16] A. W. Roberts and D. E. Varberg, *Convex functions*. Academic Press, New York, 1973.

Authors' addresses

Erhan Set

(Corresponding author) Ordu University, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Department of Mathematics, Ordu, Turkey

E-mail address: erhanset@yahoo.com

Ali Karaođlan

Ordu University, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Department of Mathematics, Ordu, Turkey

E-mail address: alikaraoglan@odu.edu.tr

İmdat İřcan

Giresun University, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Department of Mathematics, Giresun, Turkey

E-mail address: imdat.iscan@giresun.edu.tr

Neslihan Kılıç

Ordu University, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Department of Mathematics, Ordu, Turkey

E-mail address: neslimavi1907@gmail.com