



## COUNTEREXAMPLE TO A CONJECTURE ABOUT DIHEDRAL QUANDLE

SAIKAT PANJA AND SACHCHIDANAND PRASAD

Received 22 August, 2022

*Abstract.* It was conjectured that the augmentation ideal of a dihedral quandle of even order  $n > 2$  satisfies  $|\Delta^k(\mathbf{R}_n)/\Delta^{k+1}(\mathbf{R}_n)| = n$  for all  $k \geq 2$ . In this article we provide a counterexample against this conjecture.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary: 20N02; Secondary: 20B25; 16S34; 17D99

*Keywords:* quandle rings, augmentation ideal

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A *quandle* is a pair  $(A, \cdot)$  such that ‘ $\cdot$ ’ is a binary operation satisfying the following two conditions:

- (1) the map  $S_a : A \rightarrow A$ , defined as  $S_a(b) = b \cdot a$  is an automorphism for all  $a \in A$ ,
- (2) for all  $a \in A$ , we have  $S_a(a) = a$ .

To have a better understanding of the structure, a theory parallel to group rings was introduced by Bardakov, Passi and Singh in [1]. Let  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  denote the cyclic group of order  $n$ . Then defining  $a \cdot b = 2b - a$  gives a quandle structure on  $A = \mathbb{Z}_n$ . This is known as *dihedral quandle*. For other examples see [1]. The quandle ring of a quandle  $A$  is defined as follows. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring. Consider

$$R[A] := \left\{ \sum_i r_i a_i : r_i \in R, a_i \in A, r_i = 0 \text{ for all but finitely many } i \right\}.$$

Then this is an additive group in usual way. Define multiplication as

$$\left( \sum_i r_i a_i \right) \cdot \left( \sum_j s_j a_j \right) := \sum_{i,j} r_i s_j (a_i \cdot a_j).$$

The *augmentation ideal* of  $R[A]$ ,  $\Delta_R(A)$  is defined as the kernel of the augmentation map

$$\varepsilon : R[A] \rightarrow R, \sum_i r_i a_i \mapsto \sum_i r_i.$$

The powers  $\Delta_R^k(A)$  are defined as  $(\Delta_R(A))^k$ . When  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ , we will be omitting the subscript  $R$ . The following proposition gives a basis for  $\Delta_R(X)$ .

**Proposition 1.** [1, Proposition 3.2, Page 6] *A basis of  $\Delta_R(X)$  as an  $R$ -module is given by  $\{a - a_0 : a \in A \setminus \{a_0\}\}$ , where  $a_0 \in A$  is a fixed element.*

The following has been conjectured in [1, Conjecture 6.5, Page 20].

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $R_n = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$  denote the dihedral quandle of order  $n$ . Then we have the following statements.*

- (1) *For an odd integer  $n > 1$ ,  $\Delta^k(R_n) / \Delta^{k+1}(R_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .*
- (2) *For an even integer  $n > 2$ ,  $|\Delta^k(R_n) / \Delta^{k+1}(R_n)| = n$  for  $k \geq 2$ .*

The first statement has been confirmed by Elhamdadi, Fernando and Tsvetikhovskiy in [2, Theorem 6.2, Page 182]. The second statement holds true for  $n = 4$ , see [1]. Here we have given a counterexample in **Theorem 1** to show that the conjecture is not true in general.

## 2. COUNTEREXAMPLE

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $R_8$  be the dihedral quandle of order 8. Then*

$$|\Delta^2(R_8) / \Delta^3(R_8)| = 16.$$

From Proposition 1, we get that  $\{e_i = a_i - a_0 : i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$  is a basis for  $\Delta(R_n)$ . We will be using this notation in the subsequent computation.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $R_{2n}$  denote the dihedral quandle of order  $2n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). Then  $e_i \cdot e_n = 0$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1$ .*

*Proof.* Observe that

$$e_i \cdot e_n = (a_i - a_0) \cdot (a_n - a_0) = a_{2n-i} - a_{2n-i} - a_0 + a_0 = 0. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $R_{2n}$  denote the dihedral quandle of order  $2n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). Then  $e_i \cdot e_j = e_i \cdot e_{n+j}$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$  and for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n-1$ .*

*Proof.* Note that

$$\begin{aligned} e_i \cdot e_{n+j} &= a_i a_{n+j} - a_i a_0 - a_0 a_{k+j} + a_0 \\ &= a_i a_j - a_i a_0 - a_0 a_j + a_0 = e_i \cdot e_j. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We will use Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 to simplify the multiplication tables.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Recall that a basis of  $\Delta(\mathbb{R}_8)$  is given by  $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_7\}$ . The multiplication table for the  $e_i \cdot e_j$  is given as follows:

	$e_1$	$e_2$	$e_3$
$e_1$	$e_1 - e_2 - e_7$	$e_3 - e_4 - e_7$	$e_5 - e_6 - e_7$
$e_2$	$-e_2 - e_6$	$e_2 - e_4 - e_6$	$-2e_6$
$e_3$	$-e_2 - e_5 + e_7$	$e_1 - e_4 - e_5$	$e_3 - e_5 - e_6$
$e_4$	$-e_2 - e_4 + e_6$	$-2e_4$	$e_2 - e_4 - e_6$
$e_5$	$-e_2 - e_3 + e_5$	$-e_3 - e_4 + e_7$	$e_1 - e_3 - e_6$
$e_6$	$-2e_2 + e_4$	$-e_2 - e_4 + e_6$	$-e_2 - e_6$
$e_7$	$-e_1 - e_2 + e_3$	$-e_1 - e_4 + e_5$	$-e_1 - e_6 + e_7$

Since  $\Delta^2(\mathbb{R}_8)$  is generated by  $e_i \cdot e_j$  as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, using row reduction over  $\mathbb{Z}$  one can show that a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis is given by

$$\mathcal{B}_2 = \{u_1 = e_1 - e_2 - e_7, u_2 = e_2 + e_6, u_3 = e_3 - e_4 - e_7, u_4 = e_4 + 2e_6, u_5 = e_5 - e_6 - e_7, u_6 = 4e_6\}.$$

We now want to express a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis of  $\Delta^3(\mathbb{R}_8)$  in terms of  $\mathcal{B}_2$ . First we calculate the products  $u_i \cdot e_j$ . This is presented in the following table.

	$e_1$	$e_2$	$e_3$
$u_1$	$2e_1 + e_2 - e_3 + e_6 - e_7$	$e_1 - e_2 + e_3 + e_4 - e_5 + e_6 - e_7$	$e_1 - e_4 + e_5 + 2e_6 - 2e_7$
$u_2$	$-3e_2 + e_4 - e_6$	$-2e_4$	$-e_2 + e_4 - 3e_6$
$u_3$	$e_1 + e_2 - e_3 + e_4 - e_5 - e_6 + e_7$	$2e_1 + 2e_4 - 2e_5$	$e_1 - e_2 + e_3 + e_4 - e_5 + e_6 - e_7$
$u_4$	$-5e_2 - e_4 + e_6$	$-2e_2 - 4e_4 + 2e_6$	$-e_2 - e_4 - 3e_6$
$u_5$	$e_1 + 2e_2 - 2e_3 - e_4 + e_5$	$e_1 + e_2 - e_3 + e_4 - e_5 - e_6 + e_7$	$2e_1 + e_2 - e_3 + e_6 - e_7$
$u_6$	$-8e_2 + 4e_4$	$-4e_2 - 4e_4 + 4e_6$	$-4e_2 - 4e_6$

Hence, a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis for  $\Delta^3(\mathbb{R}_8)$  is given by

$$\mathcal{B}_3 = \{v_1 = e_1 - e_2 + e_3 + e_4 - e_5 + e_6 - e_7, v_2 = e_2 - e_3 - 2e_4 + 2e_5 + e_6 - e_7, v_3 = -e_3 - e_4 + 2e_5 - 2e_6 - e_7, v_4 = -2e_4, v_5 = -4e_5 - 4e_6 + 4e_7, v_6 = 8e_6\}.$$

Now we will present the elements of  $\mathcal{B}_3$  in terms of  $\mathcal{B}_2$ . We have the following presentation.

$$\begin{aligned} v_1 &= u_1 && +2u_4 & -u_5 & -u_6 \\ v_2 &= & u_2 & -u_3 & -u_4 & +2u_5 & +u_6 \\ v_3 &= & & -u_3 & -2u_4 & +2u_5 & +u_6 \\ v_4 &= & & & 2u_4 & & -u_6 \\ v_5 &= & & & & -4u_5 & \\ v_6 &= & & & & & 2u_6. \end{aligned}$$

Note that we can alter the basis  $\mathcal{B}_2$  of  $\Delta^2(\mathbf{R}_8)$  as follows:

$$\{u_1 + 2u_4 - u_5 - u_6, u_2 - u_3 - u_4 + 2u_5 + u_6, u_3 + 2u_4 - 2u_5 - u_6, u_4, u_5, u_6\}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Delta^2(\mathbf{R}_8)}{\Delta^3(\mathbf{R}_8)} &\cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}v_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}v_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}v_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}u_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}u_5 \oplus \mathbb{Z}u_6}{\mathbb{Z}v_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}v_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}v_3 \oplus \mathbb{Z}(2u_4 - u_6) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(-4u_5) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(2u_6)} \\ &\cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}u_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}u_6}{\mathbb{Z}(2u_4 - u_6) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(2u_6)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}u_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}u_6}{\mathbb{Z}u_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}(4u_6)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4. \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3. FURTHER REMARKS

We have calculated that for  $k = 2, 3, 4$  and  $n = 6, 8, 10$ , the isomorphism

$$\frac{\Delta^k(\mathbf{R}_{2n})}{\Delta^{k+1}(\mathbf{R}_{2n})} \cong \mathbb{Z}_n \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n.$$

holds. Hence, we propose the following improved version of the main conjecture given in [1].

**Conjecture 2.** *Let  $\mathbf{R}_{2n}$  denotes the dihedral quandle of order  $2n$  for  $n \geq 2$ . Then for  $k > 1$ ,*

$$\frac{\Delta^k(\mathbf{R}_{2n})}{\Delta^{k+1}(\mathbf{R}_{2n})} \cong \mathbb{Z}_n \oplus \mathbb{Z}_n.$$

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author (Panja) acknowledges the support of NBHM PhD fellowship. The second author (Prasad) was supported by UGC (NET)-JRF fellowship.

### REFERENCES

- [1] V. G. Bardakov, I. B. S. Passi, and M. Singh, "Quandle rings," *J. Algebra Appl.*, vol. 18, no. 8, pp. 1950157, 23, 2019, doi: [10.1142/S0219498819501573](https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219498819501573).
- [2] M. Elhamdadi, N. Fernando, and B. Tsvetikhovskiy, "Ring theoretic aspects of quandles," *J. Algebra*, vol. 526, pp. 166–187, 2019, doi: [10.1016/j.jalgebra.2019.02.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2019.02.011).

*Authors' addresses*

**Saikat Panja**

(Corresponding author) Department of Mathematics, IISER Pune, Maharashtra, India  
E-mail address: panjasaikat300@gmail.com

**Sachchidanand Prasad**

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, IISER Kolkata, West Bengal, India  
E-mail address: sp17rs038@iiserkol.ac.in