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#### Abstract

In this paper we study a class of $p(x)$-Kirchhoff type problem with Steklov boundary value in variable exponent Sobolev spaces. Precisely, we show the existence of at least three solutions and a nontrivial weak solution.
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## 1. Introduction

The purpose of this article is to establish the existence of at least three weak solutions for the following equation Steklov boundary value involving $p(x)$-Laplacian

$$
\begin{cases}M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)}\right) \Delta_{p(x)} u=|u|^{p(x)-2} u & \text { in } x \in \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \frac{\partial u}{\partial v}=\lambda f(x, u) & \text { on } x \in \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ is a smooth bounded domain, $\lambda$ is a positive parameter, $v$ is the outward normal vector on $\partial \Omega, p \in C(\bar{\Omega}), \Delta_{p(x)} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u\right)$ is a $p(x)$ Laplacian operator, $f: \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function and $M:(0, \infty) \rightarrow$ $(0, \infty)$ is a continuous Kirchhoff function.

Problem (1.1) is related to the stationary version of a model, the so-called Kirchhoff equation, introduced by Kirchhoff [21]. To be more precise, Kirchhoff established a model given by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}}-\left(\frac{P_{0}}{h}+\frac{E}{2 L} \int_{0}^{L}\left|\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|^{2} d x\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}}=0 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho, P_{0}, h, E, L$ are constants. This equation is an extension of the classical D'Alambert's wave equation, by considering the effects of the changes in the length © 2024 The Author(s). Published by Miskolc University Press. This is an open access article under the license CC BY 4.0.
of the strings during the vibrations. For $p(x)$-Kirchhoff type problems see, for example, [10, 11, 28].

Differential and partial differential problems with variable exponent growth condition have been received considerable attention in recent years. Moreover, these type of problems are used interesting topic like electrorheological fluids [23], image processing and stationary thermo-rheological viscous flows of non-Newtonian fluids [9], elastic mechanics [31], the mathematical description of the processes filtration of an idea barotropic gas through a porous medium [6]. Many results have been obtained on this kind of problems, for example, we refer to [13, 14, 16, 17, 20, 22, 27].

The Steklov problems involving $p(x)$-Laplacian have been intensively studied using variational methods by many authors [3, 4, 12, 19, 24, 25, 30]. Especially, some of the authors have studied the problems of type (1.1) in the case of $M(t)=1$. For example, In [7], the author investigated the existence and multiplicity of solutions for Steklov problem standard growth condition without Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz type condition by means of critical point theorems with Cerami condition under weaker conditions. In [5], the authors considered the existence and multiplicity of solutions for the nonlinear Steklov boundary-value problem, by using Mountain Pass, Fountain and Ricceri three-critical-points theorems. In [4], the authors obtained the existence and multiplicity of solutions of problem (1.2) when $f(x, u)=|u|^{q(x)-2} u$, by applying two versions of the mountain pass theorem and Ekeland's variational principle.

Moreover, in [1], under suitable assumptions on the nonlinearity, they studied the existence and multiplicity of solutions for a Steklov problem involving the $p(x)$ Laplacian using variational methods. In [29], the authors proved the existence and multiplicity of a-harmonic solutions for the elliptic Steklov problem with variable exponents. Their approach is based on variational methods. In [18], the authors dealt with nontrivial weak solution a weighted Steklov problem involving the $p(x)$ Laplacian operator, by variational method and Ekeland's variational principle. In [2], the author proved some results on the existence of weak solutions for non-linear Steklov boudary value problem in Sobolev spaces with variable exponents, by using min-max method and Ekeland's variational principle.

Inspired by the papers above mentioned, we studied problem (1.1). The present article is composed of two sections. In Section 2, we recall the definition of variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces. In Section 3, we give the main results and their proofs are presented. We approach is based on the Ricceri Theorem and the Mountain Pass Theorem.

## 2. Preliminaries

In order to discuss problem (1.1), we need some definitions and basic properties of variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces which will be used later [16, 22, 28].

Set

$$
C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})=\{p: p \in C(\bar{\Omega}), p(x)>1 \text { for all } x \in \bar{\Omega}\}
$$

For any $p(x) \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$, we denote

$$
1<p^{-}:=\inf _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x) \leq p \leq p^{+}:=\sup _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)<\infty .
$$

We define the variable exponent Lebesgue space

$$
L^{p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \mid u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { is a measurable and } \int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x<\infty\right\}
$$

We recall the following so-called Luxemburg norm on this space defined by the formula

$$
|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)}:=|u|_{p(x)}=\inf \left\{\rho>0: \int_{\Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\rho}\right|^{p(x)} d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

Similarly, define the space $L^{p(x)}(\partial \Omega)$ for any $p(x) \in C_{+}(\partial \Omega)$

$$
L^{p(x)}(\partial \Omega)=\left\{u \mid u: \partial \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { is a measurable and } \int_{\partial \Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d \sigma<\infty\right\}
$$

with the norm

$$
|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\partial \Omega)}=|u|_{p(x), \partial \Omega}:=\inf \left\{\zeta>0: \int_{\partial \Omega}\left|\frac{u(x)}{\zeta}\right|^{p(x)} d \sigma \leq 1\right\}
$$

where $d \sigma$ is the measure on the boundary. Then, $L^{p(x)}(\partial \Omega)$ is a Banach space.
Proposition 1 ([22, Theorem 2.1]). The conjugate space of $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is $L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$ and $\frac{1}{p^{\prime}(x)}+\frac{1}{p(x)}=1$. For $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p^{\prime}(x)}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\left|\int_{\Omega} u v d x\right| \leq\left(\frac{1}{p^{-}}+\frac{1}{\left(p^{-}\right)^{\prime}}\right)|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{p^{\prime}(x)}
$$

The modular of the $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ space, which is the mapping $\psi: L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\psi(u)=\int_{\Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d x, \forall u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)
$$

Proposition 2 ([17, Theorem 1.3]). If $u, u_{n} \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega), n=1,2, \ldots$, then we have
(i) $|u|_{p(x)}>1(=1,<1) \Leftrightarrow \psi(u)>1(=1,<1)$,
(ii) $|u|_{p(x)}>1 \Rightarrow|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}} \leq \psi(u) \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}}$,
(iii) $|u|_{p(x)}<1 \Rightarrow|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{+}} \leq \psi(u) \leq|u|_{p(x)}^{p^{-}}$,
(iv) $\left|u_{n}-u\right|_{p(x)} \rightarrow 0(\rightarrow \infty) \Leftrightarrow \psi\left(u_{n}-u\right) \rightarrow 0(\rightarrow \infty)$.

Proposition 3 ([12, Proposition 2.4]; [25, Proposition 2.5]). Set $\phi(u)=\int_{\partial \Omega}|u(x)|^{p(x)} d \sigma$. For $u, u_{n} \in L^{p(x)}(\partial \Omega), n=1,2, \ldots$, we have
(i) $|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\partial \Omega)} \geq 1 \Rightarrow|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\partial \Omega)}^{p^{-}} \leq \phi(u) \leq|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\partial \Omega)}^{p^{+}}$,
(ii) $|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\partial \Omega)} \leq 1 \Rightarrow|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\partial \Omega)}^{p^{+}} \leq \phi(u) \leq|u|_{L^{p(x)}(\partial \Omega)}^{p^{p}}$,
(iii) $\left|u_{n}-u\right|_{p(x)} \rightarrow 0(\rightarrow \infty) \Leftrightarrow \phi\left(u_{n}-u\right) \rightarrow 0(\rightarrow \infty)$.

The variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ is defined by

$$
W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega):|\nabla u| \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)\right\},
$$

and equipped with the norm

$$
\|u\|_{1, p(x)}:=\inf \left\{\kappa>0: \int_{\Omega}\left(\left|\frac{\nabla u(x)}{\kappa}\right|^{p(x)}+\left|\frac{u(x)}{\kappa}\right|^{p(x)}\right) d x \leq 1\right\}
$$

or

$$
\|u\|_{1, p(x)}=|u|_{p(x)}+|\nabla u|_{p(x)}, \forall u \in W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) .
$$

The space $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ is denoted by the closure of $C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ in $W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|u\|_{1, p(x)}$. We can define an equivalent norm

$$
\|u\|=|\nabla u|_{p(x)} \text { for all } u \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) .
$$

Proposition 4 ([14, Lemma 2.1]). Let $p(x)$ and $q(x)$ be measurable functions such that $1 \leq p(x) q(x) \leq \infty$ and $p(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Let $u \in L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$, $u \neq 0$. Then

$$
\min \left(|u|_{p(x) q(x)}^{p^{-}},|u|_{p(x) q(x)}^{p^{+}}\right) \leq\left||u|^{p(x)}\right|_{q(x)} \leq \max \left(|u|_{p(x) q(x)}^{p^{-}},|u|_{p(x) q(x)}^{p^{+}}\right) .
$$

In particular, if $p(x)=p$ is constant, then

$$
\left||u|^{p}\right|_{q(x)}=|u|_{p q(x)}^{p} .
$$

Proposition 5 ([12, Theorem 2.1]; [14, Lemma 3.1]; [16, Theorem 1.1]). ; ([22, Theorem 3.1]; [25, Proposition 2.2])
(i) If $1<p^{-} \leq p^{+}<\infty$ then, the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega), L^{p(x)}(\partial \Omega), W^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ and $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ are separable and reflexive Banach spaces,
(ii) if $q(x) \in C_{+}(\bar{\Omega})$ and $q(x)<p^{*}(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, then the embedding $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\Omega)$ is compact and continuous, where

$$
p^{*}(x):= \begin{cases}\frac{N p(x)}{N-p(x)}, & N>p(x) \\ \infty, & N \leq p(x)\end{cases}
$$

(iii) if $q(x) \in C_{+}(\partial \Omega m)$ and $q(x)<p^{\partial}(x)$ for all $x \in \partial \Omega$, then the trace embedding $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\partial \Omega)$ is compact and continuous, where

$$
p^{\partial}(x):= \begin{cases}\frac{(N-1) p(x)}{N-p(x)}, & N>p(x) \\ \infty, & N \leq p(x)\end{cases}
$$

(iv) (Poincaré inequality) There is a positive constant $C>0$ such that

$$
|u|_{p(x)} \leq C\|u\| \text { for all } u \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)
$$

Remark 1. If $N<p^{-} \leq p(x)$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$, by Theorem 2.2 in [17], we deduce that $W_{0}^{1, p^{-}}(\Omega)$ is compact and continuous embedded in $C(\bar{\Omega})$. Thus, we deduce that $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$ is compactly embedded in $C(\bar{\Omega})$. Defining $\|u\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})}=\sup _{x \in \bar{\Omega}}|u(x)|$, we find that there exists a positive constant $c>0$ such that

$$
|u|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} \leq c\|u\| \text { for all } u \in W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)
$$

Throughout this paper, we assume that $M$ and $f$ satisfy the following conditions: $\left(\mathbf{M}_{0}\right) M:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that

$$
m_{1} t^{\alpha-1} \leq M(t) \leq m_{2} t^{\alpha-1} \text { for all } t>0
$$

where $m_{1}, m_{2}$ and $\alpha$ are real numbers such that $0<m_{1} \leq m_{2}$ and $\alpha>1$.
$(\mathbf{F} 1) f: \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies Carathéodory condition and

$$
|f(x, t)| \leq a(x)+b|t|^{s(x)-1} \text { for all }(x, t) \in \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}
$$

where $a(x) \in L^{\frac{s(x)}{s(x)-1}}(\partial \Omega), b \geq 0$ is a constant and $s(x) \in C_{+}(\partial \Omega)$ such that

$$
1<s^{-}:=\inf _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} s(x) \leq s(x) \leq s^{+}:=\sup _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)<p^{-} \text {and } N<p^{-} .
$$

(F2) If $|t| \in(0,1)$, then $F(x, t)<0$ and $t \in\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$ for $t_{0}>1$, then $F(x, t)>\vartheta>0$.
(F3) $F: \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies Carathéodory condition and

$$
F(x, t u)=|t|^{q(x)} F(x, u) \text { for all } t>0 \text { and }(x, u) \in \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}
$$

where $q(x) \in C(\partial \Omega)$ and $F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, k) d k$ for all $t>0$ and $(x, k) \in \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.
(F4) There exists $\Omega_{0} \subset \subset \partial \Omega$ with $\operatorname{meas}_{\sigma}\left(\Omega_{0}\right)>0$ such that $F(x, t)>0$ for all $(x, t) \in \Omega_{0} \times \mathbb{R}$.
(F5) $1<q^{+}<p^{-}$and $p^{-}<p^{\partial}(x)$ for all $x \in \partial \Omega$.
Theorem 1 ([8, Theorem 1]). Let $X$ be a separable and reflexive real Banach space; $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuously Gâteaux differentiable and sequentially weakly lower semi-continuous functional whose Gâteaux derivative admits a continuous inverse on $X^{*} ; \Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuously Gâteaux differentiable functional whose Gâteaux derivative is compact. Assume that,
(i) $\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} J(u)=\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty}(\Phi(u)+\lambda \Psi(u))=\infty$ for all $\lambda>0$,
(ii) There are $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_{0}, u_{1} \in X$ such that $\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)<r<\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)$,
(iii) $\inf _{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r])} \Psi(u)>\frac{\left(\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-r\right) \Psi\left(u_{0}\right)+\left(r-\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)\right) \Psi\left(u_{1}\right)}{\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)}$.

Then there exist an open interval $\Lambda \subset(0, \infty)$ and a positive real number $\rho$ such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the equation

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(u)+\lambda \Psi^{\prime}(u)=0
$$

has at least three solutions in $X$ whose norms are lees than $\rho$.

## 3. Main Results and Proofs

Let $X$ denote the variable exponent Sobolev space $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$. Our main results in this paper are the proofs of the following theorems, which are based on results showed in the papers by Bonanno and Candito [8, Theorem 1] and by Willem [26] .

Theorem 2. Assume that $\left(\mathbf{M}_{0}\right)$, ( $\left.\mathbf{F} 1\right)$ and $(\mathbf{F} 2)$ hold. Then there exist an open interval $\Lambda \subset(0, \infty)$ and a constant $\rho>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$, problem (1.1) has at least three weak solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $\rho$.

Theorem 3. Assume that $\left(\mathbf{M}_{0}\right),(\mathbf{F} 3),(\mathbf{F} 4)$ and $(\mathbf{F} 5)$ hold. Then there exists $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$, problem (1.1) has a nontrivial weak solution in $X$.

Proposition 6 ([5, Theorem 2.9]). Let $f: \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Carathéodory function satisfying (F1). For each $u \in X$, set $\varkappa(u)=\int_{\partial \Omega} F(x, u) d \sigma$. Then $\varkappa(u) \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and

$$
\left\langle\varkappa^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\partial \Omega} f(x, u) v d \sigma
$$

for all $v \in X$. Moreover, the operator $\varkappa: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ compact.
Proposition 7 ([30, Theorem 3.1]). If one denotes

$$
\varphi(u)=\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} d x
$$

then, $\varphi \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and the derivative operator of $\varphi$, denoted by $\varphi^{\prime}$, is

$$
\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} u v d x
$$

for all $u, v \in X$ and one has
(i) $\varphi^{\prime}: X \rightarrow X^{*}$ is a continuous, bounded, strictly monotone operator and homeomorphism,
(ii) $\varphi^{\prime}$ is a mapping of of $\left(S_{+}\right)$type, that is,

$$
\text { if } u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \text { in } X \text { and } \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \leq 0 \text { implies, then } u_{n} \rightarrow u \text { in } X .
$$

In order to apply Theorem 1 , we define the functionals ; $\Phi, \Psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$

$$
\Phi(u)=\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} d x\right)+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x
$$

$$
\Psi(u)=-\int_{\partial \Omega} F(x, u) d \sigma
$$

where $\widehat{M}(t)$ and $F(x, t)$ are denoted by

$$
\widehat{M}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} M(k) d k, F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, k) d k \text { for all } t>0 \text { and }(x, k) \in \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}
$$

Then energy functional associated to the problem (1.1) is $J_{\lambda}(u)=\Phi(u)+\lambda \Psi(u)$. Moreover, from (F1), $\left(\mathbf{M}_{0}\right)$, Proposition 6 and Proposition 7, it is easy to see that the functional $\Phi, \Psi \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$ and we can infer that critical points of functional $J$ are the weak solutions for problem (1.1). Then, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\Phi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle & =M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)-2} u v d x \\
\left\langle\Psi^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle & =-\int_{\partial \Omega} f(x, u) v d \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $u, v \in X$.
We say that $u \in X$ is a weak solution of (1.1) if

$$
\begin{aligned}
M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} d x\right) & \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v d x+\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)-2} u v d x \\
& =\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} f(x, u) v d \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v \in X$.
Proof of Theorem 2. To prove this theorem, we first show the condition (i) of Theorem 1. For any $u \in X$ with $\|u\|>1$, using $\left(\mathbf{M}_{0}\right)$ and Proposition 2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(u) & =\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla u|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} d x\right)+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|u|^{p(x)} d x \\
& \geq \frac{m_{1}}{\alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha}}\|u\|^{\alpha p^{-}}+\frac{1}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{-}} \\
& \geq \frac{m_{1}}{\alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha}}\|u\|^{\alpha p^{-}} . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, by $\left(\mathbf{F}_{1}\right)$ and Proposition 1, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda \Psi(u) & =-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} F(x, u) d \sigma=-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\int_{0}^{u(x)} f(x, t) d t\right) d \sigma \\
& \geq-\lambda c_{0} \int_{\partial \Omega}\left(a(x)|u(x)|+\frac{b}{s(x)}|u(x)|^{s(x)}\right) d \sigma
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\geq-\lambda c_{0}\|a(x)\|_{s(x)}^{s(x)-1},\left.\partial \Omega\left|l u(x) \|_{s(x), \partial \Omega}-\frac{\lambda b}{s^{-}} \int_{\partial \Omega}\right| u(x)\right|^{s(x)} d \sigma \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we have that $X$ is continuous embedding $L^{s^{+}}(\partial \Omega)$ from Proposition 4, there exist positive constant $c_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{L^{s^{+}}(\partial \Omega)} \leq c_{1}\|u\| . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we use (3.2) and (3.3), we get

$$
\lambda \Psi(u) \geq-\lambda c_{0}\|a(x)\|_{\frac{s(x)}{s(x)-1}, \partial \Omega}\|u(x)\|_{s(x), \partial \Omega}-\frac{\lambda b c_{1}}{s^{-}}\|u\|^{s^{+}}
$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.3) for any $\lambda>0$, we obtain

$$
J_{\lambda}(u) \geq \frac{m_{1}}{\alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha}}\|u\|^{p^{-}}-\lambda c_{0}\|a(x)\|_{s(x)}^{s(x)-1}, \partial \Omega, ~\|u(x)\|_{s(x), \partial \Omega}-\frac{\lambda b c_{1}}{s^{-}}\|u\|^{s^{+}}
$$

Since $p^{-}>s^{+}$, it follows that

$$
\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty} J_{\lambda}(u)=\lim _{\|u\| \rightarrow \infty}(\Phi(u)+\lambda \Psi(u))=\infty
$$

Then, condition (i) of Theorem 1 is satisfied.
Now, we show the condition (ii) of Theorem 1. By $f(x, t)=\frac{\partial F(x, t)}{\partial t}$ and the condition (F2), it is obtained that $F(x, t)$ is increasing for $t \in\left(t_{0}, \infty\right)$ and decreasing for $t \in(0,1)$ uniformly for $x \in \partial \Omega$, and $F(x, 0)=0$ is obvious, $F(x, t) \rightarrow \infty$ when $t \rightarrow \infty$, since $F(x, t) \geq \vartheta t$ uniformly on $x$. Then, there exists a real number $\delta>t_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t) \geq 0=F(x, 0) \geq F(x, \eta), \quad \forall u \in X, t>\delta, \eta \in(0,1) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\beta, \gamma$ be two real numbers such that $0<\beta<\min \{1, c\}$, with $c$ given in Remark 1 .
Also, we choose $\gamma>\delta$ satisfying $\gamma^{p^{-}}|\Omega|>1$. If we use (3.4), we have

$$
F(x, t) \leq F(x, 0)=0 \text { for } t \in[0, \beta]
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\partial \Omega} \sup _{0<t<\beta} F(x, t) d \sigma \leq \int_{\partial \Omega} F(x, 0) d \sigma=0 . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since $\gamma>\delta$, we write

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} F(x, \gamma) d \sigma>0
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{c^{p^{+}}} \cdot \frac{\beta^{p^{+}}}{\gamma^{p^{-}}} \int_{\partial \Omega} F(x, \gamma) d \sigma>0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} \sup _{0<t<\beta} F(x, t) \leq 0<\frac{1}{c_{2}^{p^{+}}} \cdot \frac{\beta^{p^{+}}}{\gamma^{p^{-}}} \int_{\partial \Omega} F(x, \gamma) d \sigma
$$

Let $u_{0}, u_{1} \in X, u_{0}(x)=0$ and $u_{1}(x)=\gamma$ for any $x \in \bar{\Omega}$. If we define $r=\frac{1}{p^{+}} \cdot\left(\frac{\beta}{c}\right)^{p^{+}}$, then $r \in(0,1), \Phi\left(u_{0}\right)=\Psi\left(u_{0}\right)=0$,

$$
\Phi\left(u_{1}\right) \geq \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} \gamma^{p(x)} d x \geq \frac{1}{p^{+}} \cdot \gamma^{p^{-}}|\Omega|>\frac{1}{p^{+}} \cdot 1>\frac{1}{p^{+}} \cdot\left(\frac{\beta}{c}\right)^{p^{+}}=r
$$

and

$$
\Psi\left(u_{1}\right)=-\int_{\partial \Omega} F\left(x, u_{1}(x)\right) d \sigma=-\int_{\partial \Omega} F(x, \gamma) d \sigma<0
$$

So, we deduce that $\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)<r<\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)$, that is, condition (ii) of Theorem 1 is satisfied.

Finally, we show the condition (iii) of Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\frac{\left(\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-r\right) \Psi\left(u_{0}\right)+\left(r-\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)\right) \Psi\left(u_{1}\right)}{\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)} & =-\frac{r \Psi\left(u_{1}\right)}{\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)} \\
& =r \frac{\int_{\partial \Omega} F(x, \gamma) d \sigma}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} \gamma^{p(x)} d x}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $u \in X$ such that $\Phi(u) \leq r<1$. Since $\frac{1}{p^{+}} \psi_{p(x)}(u) \leq \Phi(u) \leq r$ for $u \in X$, we obtain

$$
\psi_{p(x)}(u) \leq p^{+} r=\left(\frac{\beta}{c}\right)^{p^{+}}<1
$$

Then by Proposition 2 for $\|u\|<1$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{p^{+}}\|u\|^{p^{+}} \leq \frac{1}{p^{+}} \psi_{p(x)}(u) \leq \Phi(u) \leq r
$$

On the other hand, from Remark 1 and (3.5), we get

$$
\|u\|_{C(\bar{\Omega})} \leq c\|u\| \leq c\left(p^{+} r\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{+}}}=\beta
$$

for all $u \in X$ and $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ with $\Phi(u) \leq r$.
The above inequality shows that

$$
-\inf _{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r])} \Psi(u)=\sup _{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r])}-\Psi(u)=\int_{\partial \Omega} \sup _{0<t<\beta} F(x, t) \leq 0
$$

Thus we have

$$
-\inf _{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r])} \Psi(u)<r \frac{\int_{\partial \Omega} F(x, \gamma) d \sigma}{\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} \gamma^{p(x)} d x}
$$

or

$$
\inf _{u \in \Phi^{-1}((-\infty, r])} \Psi(u)>\frac{\left(\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-r\right) \Psi\left(u_{0}\right)+\left(r-\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)\right) \Psi\left(u_{1}\right)}{\Phi\left(u_{1}\right)-\Phi\left(u_{0}\right)}
$$

So, condition (iii) of Theorem 1 is obtained. Since all conditions of Theorem 1 are verified, there exists an open interval $\Lambda \subset(0, \infty)$ and a positive real number $\rho$ such that for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the equation

$$
\Phi^{\prime}(u)+\lambda \Psi^{\prime}(u)=0
$$

has at least three solutions in $X$ whose norms are less than $\rho$. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

Lemma 1. Suppose $\left(\mathbf{M}_{0}\right),(\mathbf{F} 3)$ and $(\mathbf{F} 5)$ hold. Then, there exist two positive real numbers $\tau, r$ and $\lambda^{*}>0$ such that for any $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$, we have $J_{\lambda}(u) \geq r>0, u \in X$ with $\|u\|=\tau$ for all $\tau \in(0,1)$.

Proof. Since we have the continuous embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\partial \Omega)$ from Proposition 4 , there exist positive constants $c_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|u|_{L^{q(x)}(\partial \Omega)} \leq c_{2}\|u\| \text { for all } u \in X \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using assumption (F3), there exist $c_{3}$ and $c_{4}$ are positive constant such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(x, u)| \leq c_{3}|u|^{q(x)} \text { and } f(x, u) \leq c_{4}|u|^{q(x)-1} \text { for all } x \in \partial \Omega \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking into account $\left(\mathbf{M}_{0}\right),(\mathbf{F} 3)$, (3.7), (3.8) and Proposition 4, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}(u) & \geq \frac{m_{1}}{\alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha}}\|u\|^{\alpha p^{+}}+\frac{1}{p^{+}} \int_{\Omega}|u|^{p(x)} d x-\lambda c_{3} \int_{\partial \Omega}|u|^{q(x)} d \sigma \\
& \geq \frac{m_{1}}{\alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha}}\|u\|^{\alpha p^{+}}-\lambda c_{3} c_{2}^{q^{-}}\|u\|^{q^{-}} \\
& \geq\left(\frac{m_{1}}{\alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha}} \tau^{\alpha p^{+}-q^{-}}-\lambda c_{3} c_{2}^{q^{-}}\right) \tau^{q^{-}}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the above inequality, we remark that $q^{-}<\alpha p^{+}$from (F5) and if we choose

$$
\lambda^{*}=\frac{m_{1}}{2 c_{3} c_{2}^{q^{-}} \alpha\left(p^{+}\right)^{\alpha}} \tau^{\alpha p^{+}-q^{-}}
$$

then, there exist two positive real numbers $\tau$ and $r$ such that $\lambda \in\left(0, \lambda^{*}\right)$, we obtain

$$
J_{\lambda}(u) \geq r>0, \forall u \in X \text { with }\|u\|=\tau \in(0,1) .
$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is complete.
Lemma 2. Assume that $\left(\mathbf{M}_{0}\right),(\mathbf{F} 3),(\mathbf{F} 4)$ and (F5). Then, there exist $\omega \in X$ such that $\omega \geq 0, \omega \neq 0$ and $J_{\lambda}(t \omega)<0$ for $t>0$ small enough.

Proof. From assumption (F5), we know that $q^{-}<p^{-}$. Let $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ be such that $q^{-}+\varepsilon_{0}<p^{-}$. On the other hand, since $q \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ it follows that there exists an open
set $\Omega_{0} \subset \Omega \subset \subset \partial \Omega$ such that $\left|q(x)-q^{-}\right|<\varepsilon_{0}$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}_{0}$. Hence, we conclude that

$$
q(x) \leq q^{-}+\varepsilon_{0}<p^{-} \text {for all } x \in \bar{\Omega}_{0}
$$

Let $\omega \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ be such that $\operatorname{supp}(\omega) \supset \bar{\Omega}_{0}, \omega(x)=1$ for all $x \in \bar{\Omega}_{0}$ and $0 \leq \omega(x) \leq 1$ in $\Omega$. Then, by the above information for any $t \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{\lambda}(t \omega) & =\widehat{M}\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{|\nabla t \omega|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} d x\right)+\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)}|t \omega|^{p(x)} d x-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} F(x, t \omega) d \sigma \\
& \leq \frac{m_{2} t^{\alpha p^{-}}}{\alpha\left(p^{-}\right)^{\alpha}} \int_{\Omega}|\nabla \omega|^{p(x)} d x+\frac{t^{p^{-}}}{p^{-}} \int_{\Omega}|\omega|^{p(x)} d x-\lambda \frac{t^{q^{-}+\varepsilon_{0}}}{q^{+}} \int_{\Omega_{0}} F(x, \omega) d \sigma \\
& \leq \frac{m_{2} t^{p^{-}}}{p^{-}} \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla \omega|^{p(x)}+|\omega|^{p(x)}\right) d x-\lambda t^{q^{-}+\varepsilon_{0}} \int_{\Omega_{0}} F(x, \omega) d \sigma .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
J_{\lambda}(t \omega)<0
$$

for $t<\xi^{1 /\left(p^{-}-q^{-}-\varepsilon_{0}\right)}$ with

$$
0<\xi<\min \left\{1, \frac{\lambda p^{-}}{m_{2}} \cdot \frac{\int_{\Omega_{0}} F(x, \omega) d \sigma}{\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla \omega|^{p(x)}+|\omega|^{p(x)}\right) d x}\right\}
$$

On the other hand, we point out that $\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla \omega|^{p(x)}+|\omega|^{p(x)}\right) d x>0$ from Proposition 2. If $\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla \omega|^{p(x)}+|\omega|^{p(x)}\right) d x$, then $\|\omega\|=0$ i.e. $\omega=0$ in $\Omega$ which is a contradiction. Moreover, we know that $\int_{\Omega_{0}} F(x, \omega) d \sigma>0$ from (F4). The proof of Lemma 2 is complete.

## Proposition 8.

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\partial \Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right) d \sigma=0
$$

Proof. By Proposition 1, Proposition 4, Proposition 5 and inequality (3.8), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\int_{\partial \Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) d \sigma\right| & \leq c_{4}\left|\int_{\partial \Omega}\left(\left|u_{n}\right|^{q(x)-1}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) d \sigma\right| \\
& \leq\left.\left. c_{5}| | u_{n}\right|^{q(x)-1}\right|_{L^{q^{\prime}(x)}}\left|u_{n}-u\right|_{L^{q(x)}(\partial \Omega)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{5}>0$ is a constant. If we consider the compact embedding $X \hookrightarrow L^{q(x)}(\partial \Omega)$, that is, $\left|u_{n}-u\right|_{L^{q(x)}(\partial \Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\int_{\partial \Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\left(u_{n}-u\right) d \sigma \rightarrow 0
$$

Proof of Theorem 3. By Lemma 1, we infer that there exists on the boundary of the ball centered at the origin and of radius $\rho$ in $X$ such that $\inf _{\partial B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}>0$. Moreover, from (3.6), there exists $\omega \in X$ such that $J_{\lambda}(t \omega)<0$, for all $t>0$ small enough. Thus, take into account inequality (3.7), we obtain the following

$$
-\infty<c_{6}:=\inf _{B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}<0
$$

Let choose $\varepsilon>0$. Then, it follows

$$
0<\varepsilon<\inf _{\partial B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}-\inf _{B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda} .
$$

Applying Ekeland's variational principle [15] to the functional $J_{\lambda}: \overline{B_{\rho}(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we can find $u_{\varepsilon} \in \overline{B_{\rho}(0)}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)<\frac{\inf }{B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}+\varepsilon \\
& J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)<J_{\lambda}(u)+\varepsilon\left\|u-u_{\varepsilon}\right\|, u \neq u_{\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

By the fact that

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)<\inf _{B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}+\varepsilon<\inf _{\partial B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}+\varepsilon<\inf _{\partial B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}
$$

we can infer that $u_{\varepsilon} \in B_{\rho}(0)$. Now, we define $I_{\lambda}: \overline{B_{\rho}(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $I_{\lambda}(u)=J_{\lambda}(u)+$ $\varepsilon\left\|u-u_{\varepsilon}\right\|$. It is clear that $u_{\varepsilon}$ is a minimum point of $I_{\lambda}$, and thus

$$
\frac{I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\varepsilon}+t v\right)-I_{\lambda}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{t} \geq 0
$$

for $t>0$ small enough and any $v \in B_{1}(0)$. By the above relation, we have

$$
\frac{J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\varepsilon}+t v\right)-J_{\lambda}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)}{t}+\varepsilon\|v\| \geq 0
$$

Letting $t \rightarrow 0$, we have that $\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right), v\right\rangle+\varepsilon\|v\|>0$ and we infer that $\left\|J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)\right\| \leq \varepsilon$. We show that there exists a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset B_{\rho}(0)$ such that

$$
J_{\lambda}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow c=\frac{\inf }{B_{\rho}(0)} J_{\lambda}<0 \text { and } J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Hence, we have that the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $X$. Thus, there exists $u \in X$ such that, up to a subsequence, $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ converges weakly to $u \in X$. So $\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. On the other hand, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle J_{\lambda}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle=M & \left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n}\left(\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u\right) d x \\
& +\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x-\lambda \int_{\partial \Omega} f\left(x, u_{n}\right) u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right) d \sigma \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

By Proposition 1, Proposition 4, Proposition 5, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} u_{n}\left(u_{n}-u\right) d x \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.9), (3.10) and Proposition 8, we obtain

$$
M\left(\int_{\Omega} \frac{\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)}}{p(x)} d x\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n}\left(\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Moreover, from $\left(\mathbf{M}_{0}\right)$, we conclude that

$$
\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_{n}\left(\nabla u_{n}-\nabla u\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

Eventually, from Proposition (7), we get $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ in $W_{0}^{1, p(x)}(\Omega)$, so we conclude that $u$ is a nontrivial weak sollution for problem (1.1). The proof of Theorem 3 is complete.
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