

Miskolc Mathematical Notes Vol. 25 (2024), No. 1, pp. 225–240

A NUMERICAL COMPARATIVE STUDY FOR THE SINGULARLY PERTURBED NONLINEAR VOLTERRA-FREDHOLM INTEGRO-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS ON LAYER-ADAPTED MESHES

BARANSEL GUNES AND MUSA CAKIR

Received 31 May, 2022

Abstract. This article deals with the singularly perturbed nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integrodifferential equations. Firstly, some priori bounds are presented. Then, the finite difference scheme is constructed on non-uniform mesh by using interpolating quadrature rules [5] and composite numerical integration formulas. The error estimates are derived in the discrete maximum norm. Finally, theoretical results are performed on two examples and they are compared for both Bakhvalov (B-type) and Shishkin (S-type) meshes.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 65L05; 65L11; 65L12; 65L20; 65R20

Keywords: Bakhvalov mesh, error bounds, finite difference scheme, Volterra-Fredholm integrodifferential equation, Shishkin mesh, singular perturbation.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nonlinear integro-differential equations (NIDEs) are effective tools for modelling of many real life situations. Their applications appear in physical processes [14], biological events [27], population dynamics [20], financial problems [1] and other areas [31].

In the literature, many papers have been written about NIDEs. In general, solving of such problems is hard and even sometimes impossible with classical analytical techniques. Thus, reliable numerical methods have been developed such as Bernstein polynomial method [8], collocation techniques [32], Nyström discretization [24], Legendre wavelets [22], finite difference methods [11, 29] and Galerkin finite element approach [18].

The above-mentioned studies have only dealt with the regular cases (absent the layer behavior). This article concerns with the following initial-value problem of the

^{© 2024} The Author(s). Published by Miskolc University Press. This is an open access article under the license CC BY 4.0.

singularly perturbed nonlinear Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equation:

$$Lu := \varepsilon u'(t) + f(t, u(t)) + \int_0^t K_1(t, s, u(s)) ds + \lambda \int_0^T K_2(t, s, u(s)) ds = 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(0) = A, \quad t \in I = (0,T],$$
 (1.2)

where $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ is the perturbation parameter, λ is an arbitrary parameter, $\overline{I} = [0, T]$ and A is a given constant. f(t, u(t)) $((t, u) \in \overline{I} \times \mathbb{R})$ and $K_1(t, s, u(s))$, $K_2(t, s, u(s))$ $((t, s, u) \in \overline{I} \times \overline{I} \times \mathbb{R})$ are sufficiently smooth functions and $0 < \alpha \le \left|\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\right| \le p^* < \infty$. In [16], A. A. Hamoud and K. P. Ghadle have discussed the existence and uniqueness results of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) without singular perturbation. In [31], different variational techniques have been proposed for Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations. Furthermore, the linear form of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has been considered by using finite difference schemes in [9, 13].

Singular perturbation phenomena is classified by a small parameter ε multiplying highest order derivative terms in the differential equation. The class of such problems generally involves the boundary layers. The solution of the problem changes rapidly within layer region whereas it behaves slowly and regularly outside of the layer region. To overcome this complexity, robust discretizations are needed [12, 21, 23, 26]. Some existence and uniqueness results about singularly perturbed problems have been given in [15, 23]. In recent times, notable techniques and various numerical schemes have been presented for singularly perturbed integro-differential equations (see [2, 6, 9, 10, 13, 17, 19, 25, 29, 33–35]). Our aim in this paper is to present a uniform numerical method for solving singularly perturbed nonlinear integro-differential equations and compare the obtained results on Bakhvalov and Shishkin type meshes. In addition to the subject, Bakhvalov mesh was developed by N. S. Bakhvalov in 1969 [7] and G. I. Shishkin designed the piecewise equidistant mesh [30].

The rest of the paper is as follows: The properties of the analytical solution of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) are given in Section 2. In Section 3, the difference scheme is established on non-uniform mesh. The stability and convergence of the method are investigated in Section 4. In Section 5, some numerical experiments are presented.

2. PRELIMINARIES

This section is devoted to the asymptotic bounds of the problem (1.1)-(1.2).

Lemma 1 (see [19, Lemma 2.1]). *Take into account the following initial-value problem:*

$$\varepsilon v'(t) + a(t)v(t) = F(t), \quad t \in I,$$
(2.1)

$$v(0) = A. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $a(t) \ge \alpha > 0$, $F(t) \in C(\overline{I})$, $|F(t)| \le \mathcal{F}(t)$ and $\mathcal{F}(t)$ is a nondecreasing function. Then, the solution of the problem (2.1)-(2.2) holds that

$$|v(t)| \leq |A| + \alpha^{-1} \mathcal{F}(t), \quad t \in I.$$

Lemma 2. Suppose that

$$p,q \in C^1[0,T], \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}G_1(t,s) \in C^1[0,T]^2, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}G_2(t,s) \in C^1[0,T]^2$$
(2.3)

and

$$\gamma = e^{\alpha^{-1}\bar{G}_1T} \alpha^{-1} |\lambda| \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_0^t |G_2(t,s)| \, ds < 1.$$

Then, the solution u(t) of the problem (1.1)-(1.2) satisfies that

$$\|u\|_{\infty} \le C_0 \tag{2.4}$$

227

and

$$\left|u'(t)\right| \le C\left\{1 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}e^{\frac{-\alpha t}{\varepsilon}}\right\}, \quad t \in [0, T],$$
(2.5)

where

$$C_0 = (1 - \gamma)^{-1} \left(|A| + \alpha^{-1} ||q||_{\infty} \right) e^{\alpha^{-1} \bar{G}_1 T}$$

and

$$\bar{G}_1 = \max_{\bar{I} \times \bar{I}} |G_1(t,s)|.$$

Here, for any continuous function v(t) defined on the corresponding interval, we use the maximum norm $||v||_{\infty} = \max_{[0,T]} |v(t)|$ and C (in some cases subscripted) is a generic positive constant [2, 29].

Proof. Firstly, we prove the relation (2.4). Applying the mean value theorem to the functions in the equation (1.1), we find

$$\varepsilon u'(t) + p(t)u(t) + \int_0^t G_1(t,s)u(s)ds + \lambda \int_0^T G_2(t,s)u(s)ds = q(t), \quad t \in I \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$p(t) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(t, \tilde{u}), \quad \tilde{u} = \rho u, \quad 0 < \rho < 1,$$

$$G_1(t, s) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} K_1(t, s, \bar{u}), \quad \bar{u} = \theta u, \quad 0 < \theta < 1,$$

$$G_2(t, s) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} K_2(t, s, \check{u}), \quad \check{u} = \zeta u, \quad 0 < \zeta < 1,$$

and

$$q(t) = -f(t,0) - \int_0^t K_1(t,s,0) ds - \lambda \int_0^T K_2(t,s,0) ds$$

From here, we have

$$\varepsilon u'(t) + p(t)u(t) = F(t), \quad t \in I,$$
(2.7)

where

$$F(t) = q(t) - \int_{0}^{t} G_{1}(t,s) u(s) ds - \lambda \int_{0}^{T} G_{2}(t,s) u(s) ds.$$
(2.8)

Then, we estimate (2.8) as

$$|F(t)| \leq ||q||_{\infty} + \bar{G}_1 \int_0^t |u(s)| \, ds + |\lambda| \int_0^T |G_2(t,s)| \, |u(s)| \, ds.$$

Considering Lemma 1 for the equation (2.7), we get

$$|u(t)| \le \delta + \alpha^{-1} \bar{G}_1 \int_0^t |u(s)| \, ds, \tag{2.9}$$

where

$$\delta = |A| + \alpha^{-1} ||q||_{\infty} + \alpha^{-1} |\lambda| \int_{0}^{T} |G_{2}(t,s)| |u(s)| ds.$$

Applying the Gronwall's inequality to the relation (2.9), we obtain

$$\|u\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{\left(|A| + \alpha^{-1} \|q\|_{\infty}\right) \exp(\alpha^{-1}\bar{G}_{1}T)}{\left(1 - \alpha^{-1} |\lambda| \exp(\alpha^{-1}\bar{G}_{1}T) \max_{t \in [0,T]} \int_{0}^{t} |G_{2}(t,s)| ds\right)},$$

which points to the proof of (2.4). Now, we show the proof of the relation (2.5) (see [9, 11, 13, 29]). From (2.6), we write

$$|u'(0)| \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(|q(0)| + |p(0)||A| + |\lambda| \int_{0}^{T} |G_2(0,s)| |u(s)| \, ds \right) \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon}.$$
 (2.10)

Differentiating the equation (2.7), we find

$$\varepsilon v' + p(t)v = H(t), \quad v(t) = u'(t),$$
 (2.11)

where

$$H(t) = q'(t) - p'(t)u(t) - \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G_1(t,s)u(s)ds - G_1(t,t)u(t) - \lambda \int_0^T \frac{\partial}{\partial t} G_2(t,s)u(s)ds.$$

Because of (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$|H(t)| \le C. \tag{2.12}$$

From (2.11), it can be seen that

$$u'(t) = u'(0)e^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{0}^{t}p(\eta)d\eta} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{0}^{t}H(\xi)e^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{\xi}^{t}p(\eta)d\eta}d\xi.$$

By taking into account (2.10) and (2.12), it is obtained:

$$|u'(t)| \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon} e^{\frac{-\alpha t}{\varepsilon}} + \alpha^{-1} \|H\|_{\infty} \left(1 - e^{\frac{-\alpha t}{\varepsilon}}\right),$$

which shows the validity of (2.5).

3. DISCRETE SCHEME

In this section, we construct the difference scheme on the non-uniform mesh.

Notation 1 ([28]). Let ω_N be any non-uniform mesh on [0, T]:

$$\omega_N = \{0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_{N-1} < t_N = T, \ \tau_i = t_i - t_{i-1}, \ i = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$$

and

$$\overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_N = \boldsymbol{\omega}_N \cup \{t_0 = 0\}.$$

For any mesh function on $\overline{\omega}_N$, we use [28]

$$v_i = v(t_i), \quad v_{\bar{t},i} = \frac{v_i - v_{i-1}}{\tau_i}, \quad \|v\|_{\infty} \equiv \|v\|_{\infty,\overline{\omega}_N} := \max_{0 \le i \le N} |v_i|.$$

Definition 1 ([3, 21, 23, 26, 30, Shishkin-Type Mesh]). For an even number *N*, we divide each of the subintervals $[0, \sigma]$ and $[\sigma, T]$ into $\frac{N}{2}$ equidistant subintervals. The transition parameter σ is stated as

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \min\left\{\frac{T}{2}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \ln N\right\}.$$

We use the notation $\tau^{(1)}$ for the mesh width in $[0,\sigma]$ and the notation $\tau^{(2)}$ for the width in $[\sigma, T]$. Hence, the mesh stepsizes hold

$$\tau^{(1)} = \frac{2\sigma}{N}, \quad \tau^{(2)} = \frac{2(T-\sigma)}{N},$$

 $\tau^{(1)} \le TN^{-1}, \quad TN^{-1} \le \tau^{(2)} \le 2TN^{-1}, \quad \tau^{(1)} + \tau^{(2)} = 2TN^{-1}.$

 t_i mesh points are shown by

$$\overline{\omega}_{N} = \begin{cases} t_{i} = i\tau^{(1)}, & i = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2}, t_{i} \in [0, \sigma]; \\ t_{i} = \sigma + (i - \frac{N}{2})\tau^{(2)}, & i = \frac{N}{2} + 1, \dots, N, t_{i} \in [\sigma, T]. \end{cases}$$

Definition 2 ([4,7,21,26, Bakhvalov-Type Mesh]). We divide each of the subintervals $[0,\sigma]$ and $[\sigma,T]$ into $\frac{N}{2}$ equidistant subintervals. The transition point σ is expressed by

$$\sigma = \min\left\{\frac{T}{2}, \alpha^{-1}\varepsilon |\ln\varepsilon|\right\}.$$

 t_i node points are described as follows:

If $\sigma < \frac{T}{2}$,

$$t_i = \begin{cases} -\alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \ln \left[1 - (1 - \varepsilon) 2i/N \right], & i = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2}, \\ \sigma + \left(i - \frac{N}{2} \right) \tau, & i = \frac{N}{2} + 1, \dots, N, \end{cases}$$

and if $\sigma = \frac{T}{2}$,

$$t_i = \begin{cases} -\alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \ln \left[1 - \left(1 - \exp(\frac{-\alpha T}{2\varepsilon}) 2i/N \right], & i = 0, 1, \dots, \frac{N}{2}, \\ \sigma + \left(i - \frac{N}{2} \right) \tau, & i = \frac{N}{2} + 1, \dots, N, \end{cases}$$

where $\tau = 2(T - \sigma)/N$.

Now, we establish the finite difference scheme. To design the difference method, we utilize that

$$\tau_{i}^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \varepsilon u'(t) dt + \tau_{i}^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} f(t, u) dt + \tau_{i}^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \int_{0}^{t} K_{1}(t, s, u(s)) ds dt + \tau_{i}^{-1} \lambda \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \int_{0}^{T} K_{2}(t, s, u(s)) ds dt = 0.$$
(3.1)

Applying interpolating quadrature rules [5] and some manipulations in [11, 29] for the first term and the second term of the relation (3.1), we find

$$\tau_i^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \left[\varepsilon u'(t) + f(t, u) \right] dt = \varepsilon u_{\bar{t}, i} + f(t_i, u_i) + R_i^{(1)}$$
(3.2)

where

$$R_{i}^{(1)} = -\tau_{i}^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} (\xi - t_{i-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} f(\xi, u(\xi)) d\xi.$$
(3.3)

For the third and fourth terms of (3.1), using interpolating quadrature rules again [5, 11, 29] and right side rectangle formula, we get

$$\tau_{i}^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \int_{0}^{t} K_{1}(t, s, u(s)) ds dt + \tau_{i}^{-1} \lambda \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \int_{0}^{T} K_{2}(t, s, u(s)) ds dt$$

= $\sum_{j=1}^{i} \tau_{j} K_{1,ij}(t_{i}, t_{j}, u_{j}) + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{N} \tau_{j} K_{2,ij}(t_{i}, t_{j}, u_{j}) + R_{i}^{(2)} + R_{i}^{(3)} + R_{i}^{(4)} + R_{i}^{(5)}$ (3.4)

where remainder terms

$$R_{i}^{(2)} = -\tau^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \left(\xi - t_{i-1}\right) \left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} K_{1}(\xi, s, u(s)) ds \right) d\xi,$$
(3.5)

$$R_i^{(3)} = \sum_{j=1}^i \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_j} (\xi - t_{j-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} K_1(t_i, \xi, u(\xi)) d\xi,$$
(3.6)

$$R_{i}^{(4)} = -\lambda \tau_{i}^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} (\xi - t_{i-1}) \left(\int_{0}^{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} K_{2}(\xi, s, u(s)) ds \right) d\xi$$
(3.7)

and

$$R_{i}^{(5)} = \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_{j}} (\xi - t_{j-1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} K_{2}(t_{i}, \xi, u(\xi)) d\xi.$$
(3.8)

From the relations (3.2) and (3.4), the following difference problem is written:

$$\varepsilon u_{\bar{t},i} + f(t_i, u_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{i} \tau_j K_{1,i,j}(t_i, t_j, u_j) + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{N} \tau_j K_{2,i,j}(t_i, t_j, u_j) + R_i = 0, \quad (3.9)$$

$$u_0 = A, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where the error term is expressed by

$$R_i = \sum_{k=1}^{5} R_i^{(k)}.$$
 (3.10)

Omitting the error term R_i in (3.9), we can present that

$$\varepsilon y_{\bar{t},i} + f(t_i, y_i) + \sum_{j=1}^{l} \tau_j K_{1,ij}(t_i, t_j, y_j) + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{N} \tau_j K_{2,ij}(t_i, t_j, y_j) = 0, \quad (3.11)$$

$$y_0 = A, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

4. CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS

Let the error function $z_i = y_i - u_i$, i = 0, 1, 2, ..., N be the solution of the following discrete problem:

$$lz_{i} = \varepsilon z_{\bar{t},i} + [f(t_{i}, y_{i}) - f(t_{i}, u_{i})] + \sum_{j=1}^{i} \tau_{j} [K_{1,ij}(t_{i}, t_{j}, y_{j}) - K_{1,ij}(t_{i}, t_{j}, u_{j})] + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{N} \tau_{j} [K_{2,ij}(t_{i}, t_{j}, y_{j}) - K_{2,ij}(t_{i}, t_{j}, u_{j})] = R_{i},$$
(4.1)

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad z_0 = 0,$$
 (4.2)

where R_i is given by (3.10).

Lemma 3 (see [19, Lemma 4.1]). Consider the following difference problem:

$$\varepsilon v_{\bar{t},i} + p_i v_i = F_i, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N, \quad v_0 = A.$$
 (4.3)

Let $|F_i| \leq \mathcal{F}_i$ and \mathcal{F}_i be nondecreasing function. Then, the solution of (4.3) satisfies $|v_i| \leq |A| + \alpha^{-1} \mathcal{F}_i, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, ..., N.$ **Lemma 4.** Let z_i be the solution of the problem (4.1)-(4.2). If

$$ar{\gamma} = lpha^{-1} \left| \lambda \right| e^{lpha^{-1} ar{G}_1 t_i} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \sum_{j=1}^N au_j \left| G_{2,ij} \right| < 1,$$

it is held that

$$\|z\|_{\infty,\overline{\omega}_N} \leq \alpha^{-1} \left(1-\overline{\gamma}\right)^{-1} e^{\alpha^{-1}\overline{G}_1 t_i} \|R\|_{\infty}.$$

Proof. From (4.1), applying the mean value theorem, we have

$$\varepsilon z_{\bar{t},i} + p_i z_i + \sum_{j=1}^i \tau_j G_{1,ij} z_j + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^N \tau_j G_{2,ij} z_j = R_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$
(4.4)

3.7

where

$$p_{i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(t_{i}, u_{i} + \mu_{1} z_{i}), \qquad 0 < \mu_{1} < 1,$$

$$G_{1,ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} K_{1}(t_{i}, s_{j}, u_{i} + \mu_{2} z_{i}), \qquad 0 < \mu_{2} < 1$$

and

$$G_{2,ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u} K_2 \left(t_i, s_j, u_i + \mu_3 z_i \right), \qquad \qquad 0 < \mu_3 < 1$$

Then, the difference equation (4.4) can be written in the form

$$\varepsilon z_{\bar{t},i} + p_i z_i = F_i$$

where

$$F_{i} = R_{i} - \sum_{j=1}^{i} \tau_{j} G_{1,ij} z_{j} - \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{N} \tau_{j} G_{2,ij} z_{j}.$$
(4.5)

From (4.5), we get

$$|F_{i}| \leq ||R||_{\infty} + \sum_{j=1}^{i} \tau_{j} |G_{1,ij}| |z_{j}| + |\lambda| \sum_{j=1}^{N} \tau_{j} |G_{2,ij}| |z_{j}|.$$
(4.6)

Furthermore, considering Lemma 3 and the inequality (4.6), we have

$$|z_i| \le \bar{\delta} + \alpha^{-1} \bar{G}_1 \sum_{j=1}^i \tau_j |z_j|, \qquad (4.7)$$

where

$$\bar{\delta} = \alpha^{-1} \left\| R \right\|_{\infty} + \alpha^{-1} \left| \lambda \right| \sum_{j=1}^{N} \tau_{j} \left| G_{2,ij} \right| \left| z_{j} \right|.$$

Applying the difference analogue of Gronwall's inequality to the relation (4.7), it can be written obviously that

$$||z||_{\infty} \leq \frac{e^{\alpha^{-1}\bar{G}_{1}t_{i}}\alpha^{-1} ||R||_{\infty}}{1 - \alpha^{-1} |\lambda| e^{\alpha^{-1}\bar{G}_{1}t_{i}} \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \tau_{j} |G_{2,ij}|},$$

which shows the proof of the lemma (see [9, 11, 13, 29]).

Lemma 5. Under the conditions of Lemma 2, the following estimate is satisfied on Shishkin-type mesh

$$\|R\|_{\infty,\omega_N} \leq C N^{-1} \ln N$$

and for the Bakhvalov-type mesh, it is written that

$$\|R\|_{\infty,\omega_N} \leq CN^{-1}.$$

Proof.

a) Here, we present a parallel approach to [11,29]. Firstly, we consider the term (3.3). From the relation (3.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| R_{i}^{(1)} \right| &\leq \tau_{i}^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \left(\xi - t_{i-1} \right) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} f(\xi, u(\xi)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(\xi, u(\xi)) u'(\xi) \right| d\xi \\ &\leq C \left\{ \tau_{i} + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \left| u'(\xi) \right| d\xi \right\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.8)$$

For the remainder term (3.5), since $\left|\frac{\partial K_1}{\partial \xi}\right| \leq C$ and $|u(t)| \leq C$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| R_i^{(2)} \right| &\leq \tau_i^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \left(\xi - t_{i-1} \right) \left| K_1(\xi, t, u(t)) + \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} K_1(\xi, s, u(s)) ds \right| d\xi \\ &\leq C \tau_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Next, from the remainder term (3.6), we get

$$\left| R_{i}^{(3)} \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{i} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_{j}} \left(\xi - t_{j-1} \right) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} K_{1}(t,\xi,u(\xi)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} K_{1}(t,\xi,u(\xi))u'(\xi) \right| d\xi \\ \leq C \left\{ \tau_{i} + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \left| u'(\xi) \right| d\xi \right\}.$$
(4.10)

For the term (3.7), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| R_{i}^{(4)} \right| &\leq \lambda \tau_{i}^{-1} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \left(\xi - t_{i-1} \right) \left| K_{2}(\xi, t, u(t)) + \int_{0}^{T} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} K_{2}(\xi, s, u(s)) ds \right| d\xi \\ &\leq C \tau_{i}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N. \end{aligned}$$
(4.11)

Next, for the remainder term (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| R_{i}^{(5)} \right| &\leq \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{N} \int_{t_{j-1}}^{t_{j}} \left(\xi - t_{j-1} \right) \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} K_{2}(t,\xi,u(\xi)) + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} K_{2}(t,\xi,u(\xi)) u'(\xi) \right| d\xi \\ &\leq C \left\{ \tau_{i} + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_{i}} \left| u'(\xi) \right| d\xi \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.12)$$

Substituting the inequalities (4.8), (4.9), (4.10), (4.11) and (4.12) in (3.10), and considering (2.5), we obtain

$$|R_i| \le C \left\{ \tau_i + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} e^{-\frac{\omega}{\varepsilon}} dt \right\}.$$
(4.13)

Now, we estimate the error approximations according to the node points of Shishkin type mesh. Firstly, we consider the case $\sigma = \frac{T}{2}$. Then $\frac{T}{2} \le \alpha^{-1} \epsilon \ln N$, $\tau^{(1)} = \tau^{(2)} = \tau = T N^{-1}$. From (4.13), we get

$$|R_i| \le C \{ N^{-1} + \varepsilon^{-1} T N^{-1} \} \le C \{ N^{-1} + \alpha^{-1} N^{-1} \ln N \}$$

Then, we obtain

$$|R_i| \le CN^{-1} \ln N, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N.$$

Now, we consider the case $\sigma = \alpha^{-1} \epsilon \ln N$, so that $\alpha^{-1} \epsilon \ln N < \frac{T}{2}$. We estimate seperately R_i on $[0,\sigma]$ and $[\sigma,T]$. In the layer region $[0,\sigma]$, the relation (4.13) degrades as

$$|R_i| \leq C \left(1+\varepsilon^{-1}\right) \tau^{(1)} \leq C \left(1+\varepsilon^{-1}\right) \frac{2\alpha^{-1}\varepsilon \ln N}{N} \leq C N^{-1} \ln N, \quad i=1,2,\ldots,\frac{N}{2}.$$

To estimate R_i in the layer region $[\sigma, T]$, the inequality (4.13) can be written in the form

$$|R_i| \le C\left\{\tau^{(2)} + \alpha^{-1}\left(e^{-\frac{\alpha_{i-1}}{\varepsilon}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha_i}{\varepsilon}}\right)\right\}, \quad i = \frac{N}{2} + 1, \dots, N.$$

$$(4.14)$$

On account of $t_i = \alpha^{-1} \epsilon \ln N + (i - \frac{N}{2}) \tau^{(2)}$, it is found that

$$e^{-\frac{\alpha \iota_{i-1}}{\varepsilon}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha \iota_{i}}{\varepsilon}} = \frac{1}{N} e^{\frac{-\alpha \left(i-1-\frac{N}{2}\right)\tau^{(2)}}{\varepsilon}} \left(1 - e^{\frac{-\alpha \tau^{(2)}}{\varepsilon}}\right) \le N^{-1}.$$

This relation and (4.14) yield the estimate $|R_i| \le CN^{-1}$ [3, 6, 19, 29, 33].

b) Now, we consider the node points of Bakhvalov type mesh. For the interval $[0,\sigma]$, we find

$$\tau_i = t_i - t_{i-1} = \alpha^{-1} \varepsilon \left\{ -\ln\left[1 - (1 - \varepsilon)\frac{2i}{N}\right] + \ln\left[1 - (1 - \varepsilon)\frac{2(i-1)}{N}\right] \right\}$$

$$\leq 2\alpha^{-1} (1 - \varepsilon) N^{-1}.$$

Considering (4.13), we have

$$|R_i| \leq C \left\{ \tau_i + \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} |u'(t)| dt \right\} \leq C \left\{ \tau_i + \alpha^{-1} \left[e^{-\frac{\omega_{i-1}}{\varepsilon}} - e^{-\frac{\omega_i}{\varepsilon}} \right] \right\}.$$

From here, it can be seen clearly that

$$e^{-\frac{\alpha i_{i-1}}{\varepsilon}} - e^{-\frac{\alpha i_i}{\varepsilon}} = 2(T - \varepsilon)N^{-1}.$$
(4.15)

By virtue of (4.15), it is obtained $|R_i| \le 4\alpha^{-1}CN^{-1}$. For the interval $[\sigma, T]$, using $\tau = 2(T - \sigma)/N = TN^{-1}$, $\sigma = \frac{T}{2}$ and $\frac{T}{2} < \alpha^{-1}\varepsilon \ln \varepsilon$, we get

$$\max \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_i} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} e^{-\frac{\alpha t}{\varepsilon}} dt \le \frac{2e^{-1}}{\alpha T} \tau = 2e^{-1} \alpha^{-1} C N^{-1},$$
$$|R_i| \le C \left(T + \frac{2}{e\alpha}\right) N^{-1}.$$

Hence, we can show that $|R_i| \le CN^{-1}$ [4, 10, 29].

235

5. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

TABLE 1. Error approximations e^N and order of convergence p^N on S-Mesh

ε	N = 64	N = 128	N = 256	N = 512	N = 1024
2^{-1}	0.01514971	0.00865547	0.00492091	0.00276883	0.00154652
	0.8076	0.8147	0.8296	0.8403	
2^{-2}	0.02951389	0.01708056	0.00968299	0.00548280	0.00308931
	0.7890	0.8188	0.8205	0.8276	
2^{-3}	0.05611796	0.03327546	0.01929541	0.01097674	0.00619335
	0.7540	0.7862	0.8138	0.8257	
2^{-4}	0.08652760	0.05297076	0.03169212	0.01827619	0.01042275
	0.7079	0.7411	0.7942	0.8102	
2^{-5}	0.10183001	0.06172058	0.03619636	0.02075972	0.01175285
	0.7223	0.7699	0.8021	0.8208	
2^{-6}	0.10948122	0.06609549	0.03874848	0.02220149	0.01254775
	0.7280	0.7704	0.8035	0.8232	
2^{-7}	0.11330682	0.06828295	0.03967454	0.02258739	0.01268525
	0.7306	0.7833	0.8127	0.8324	
2^{-10}	0.11665423	0.07019697	0.04062234	0.02305427	0.01292431
	0.7327	0.7891	0.8172	0.8349	
e^N	0.11665423	0.07019697	0.04062234	0.02305427	0.01292431
p^N	0.7079	0.7411	0.7942	0.8102	

In this section, we present the numerical results. Because of the existence of nonlinear terms in the discretization (3.11), we apply the quasilinearization technique [11, 29]. Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{split} & \varepsilon y_{\bar{t},i}^{(n)} + f(t_i, y_i^{(n-1)}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(t_i, y_i^{(n-1)}) \left(y_i^{(n)} - y_i^{(n-1)} \right) \\ & + \sum_{j=1}^i \tau_j \left[K_{1,ij}(t_i, t_j, y_j^{(n-1)}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} K_{1,ij}(t_i, t_j, y_j^{(n-1)}) \left(y_j^{(n)} - y_j^{(n-1)} \right) \right] \\ & + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^N \tau_j \left[K_{2,ij}(t_i, t_j, y_j^{(n-1)}) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} K_{2,ij}(t_i, t_j, y_j^{(n-1)}) \left(y_j^{(n)} - y_j^{(n-1)} \right) \right] = 0, \\ & y_0^{(n)} = A, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N. \end{split}$$

TABLE 2. Error approximations e^N and order of convergence p^N on B-Mesh

ε	N = 64	N = 128	N = 256	N = 512	N = 1024
2^{-1}	0.00822594	0.00434577	0.00227957	0.00119146	0.00061615
	0.9205	0.9309	0.9360	0.9514	
2^{-2}	0.01627748	0.00864498	0.00454739	0.00237997	0.00123259
	0.9129	0.9268	0.9341	0.9493	
2^{-3}	0.02598728	0.01367026	0.00708582	0.00366041	0.00187382
	0.9267	0.9480	0.9529	0.9660	
2^{-4}	0.03670097	0.01915414	0.00988129	0.00506712	0.00259023
	0.9381	0.9549	0.9635	0.9681	
2^{-5}	0.05057400	0.02636907	0.01357648	0.00694575	0.00352693
	0.9395	0.9577	0.9669	0.9777	
2^{-6}	0.06205511	0.03233567	0.01668547	0.00849394	0.00429500
	0.9404	0.9545	0.9741	0.9838	
2^{-7}	0.07308114	0.03814559	0.01965310	0.00989449	0.00496710
	0.9379	0.9568	0.9901	0.9942	
2^{-10}	0.09727740	0.05117653	0.02646298	0.01350693	0.00686821
	0.9266	0.9515	0.9703	0.9757	
e^N	0.09727740	0.05117653	0.02646298	0.01350693	0.00686821
p^N	0.9129	0.9268	0.9341	0.9493	

Example 1. Consider the first problem

$$\varepsilon u'(t) + u^{3}(t) + u(t) + e^{-3t/\varepsilon} \left(\frac{\varepsilon - 6}{6}\right) + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} e^{\frac{-2}{\varepsilon}} - \frac{5\varepsilon}{12} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} u^{3}(s) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} u^{2}(s) ds = 0, u(0) = 1.$$

whose exact solution is $u(t) = e^{-t/\varepsilon}$. Maximum pointwise errors are determined as $e^N = |y_i - u_i|$. Also, the convergence rates are calculated with $p^N = \frac{\ln(e^N/e^{2N})}{\ln 2}$. The computed results are presented in Tables 1-2.

TABLE 3. Error approximations e^N and order of convergence p^N on S-Mesh

ε	N = 64	N = 128	N = 256	N = 512	N = 1024
2^{-1}	0.03373796	0.01899680	0.01059502	0.00588290	0.00325679
	0.8286	0.8424	0.8488	0.8531	
2^{-2}	0.06498652	0.03724032	0.02099584	0.01169480	0.00645516
	0.8032	0.8268	0.8442	0.8573	
2^{-3}	0.11815619	0.07164096	0.04123813	0.02298536	0.01291668
	0.7218	0.7968	0.8433	0.8315	
2^{-4}	0.17177238	0.09885501	0.05604937	0.03204937	0.01799512
	0.7971	0.8186	0.8064	0.8327	
2^{-5}	0.17288072	0.10097806	0.05762941	0.03262288	0.01820037
	0.7757	0.8092	0.8209	0.8419	
2^{-6}	0.17959856	0.10635639	0.06117739	0.03508942	0.01969521
	0.7559	0.7978	0.8020	0.8332	
2^{-7}	0.18295747	0.10845881	0.06242714	0.03549438	0.01987633
	0.7544	0.7969	0.8146	0.8365	
2^{-10}	0.18589653	0.11255785	0.06436884	0.03642489	0.02025114
	0.7238	0.8062	0.8214	0.8469	
e^N	0.18589653	0.11255785	0.06436884	0.03642489	0.02025114
p^N	0.7218	0.7968	0.8020	0.8315	

Example 2. Take into account the second problem

$$\varepsilon u'(t) + 2u(t) - e^{-u(t)} + \int_0^t e^{\sin(u(s))} ds + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^1 \sin(u(s)) \, ds = 0$$

with u(0) = 1. The exact solution of this problem is unknown. Since the exact solution is unknown, we use the double-mesh principle [6, 12, 13]. The error estimates are denoted by $e^N = |y_i^N - y_i^{2N}|$ and the order of convergence is computed as $p^N = \frac{\ln(e^N/e^{2N})}{\ln 2}$. The obtained results are shown in Tables 3-4.

From Tables 1-4, we observe that the presented method produces better results on B-mesh. Because of the effect of logarithmic factor on S-mesh, the rate of the convergence on S-mesh is lower than B-mesh. In spite of the fact that the proposed method provides reliable results on layer-adapted meshes, it is almost the first-order convergent.

6. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have designed a new difference scheme for the nonlinear integrodifferential equations with layer behavior. Error approximations have been analyzed

ε	N = 64	N = 128	N = 256	N = 512	N = 1024
2^{-1}	0.02940317	0.01564298	0.00819606	0.00426697	0.00218826
	0.9104	0.9325	0.9417	0.9634	
2^{-2}	0.05665633	0.03066933	0.01563324	0.00789362	0.00396636
	0.8854	0.9722	0.9859	0.9929	
2^{-3}	0.10560228	0.05901346	0.03264993	0.01762837	0.00929240
	0.8395	0.8540	0.8892	0.9238	
2^{-4}	0.15490687	0.08742185	0.04807278	0.02567700	0.01350556
	0.8253	0.8628	0.9047	0.9269	
2^{-5}	0.15916537	0.08662951	0.04628234	0.02487489	0.01299633
	0.8776	0.9044	0.8958	0.9366	
2^{-6}	0.16095246	0.08824835	0.04720203	0.02507356	0.01320159
	0.8670	0.9027	0.9127	0.9255	
2^{-7}	0.16772393	0.09322601	0.04970150	0.02628564	0.01377238
	0.8473	0.9074	0.9190	0.9325	
2^{-10}	0.17329378	0.09491387	0.05044516	0.02664005	0.01392799
	0.8685	0.9119	0.9211	0.9356	
e^N	0.17329378	0.09491387	0.05044516	0.02664005	0.01392799
p^N	0.8253	0.8540	0.8892	0.9238	

TABLE 4. Error approximations e^N and order of convergence p^N on B-Mesh

on both B-type and S-type meshes. Because of the nonlinear terms in the iteration, the quasilinearization technique has been used. To show the suitability of the method, we have tested it on two examples and the computed results have been summarized in Tables 1-4. We can deduce that for majority values of N, exact errors decrase and the order of convergence is close to 1. Numerical investigations can be sustained for more sophisticated types such as partial integro-differential equations, delay form, higher dimensional, etc.

REFERENCES

- F. Abergel and R. Tachet, "A nonlinear partial integro-differential equation from mathematical finance." *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. A*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 907–917, 2010, doi: 10.3934/dcds.2010.27.907.
- [2] G. M. Amiraliyev, M. E. Durmaz, and M. Kudu, "A numerical method for a second order singularly perturbed Fredholm integro-differential equation." *Miskolc Math. Notes*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 37–48, 2021, doi: 10.18514/MMN.2021.2930.
- [3] G. M. Amiraliyev and H. Duru, "A note on a parameterized singular perturbation problem." J. Comput. Appl. Math., vol. 182, no. 1, pp. 233–242, 2005, doi: 10.1016/j.cam.2004.11.047.
- [4] G. M. Amiraliyev, M. Kudu, and H. Duru, "Uniform difference method for a parameterized singular perturbation problem." *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 175, no. 1, pp. 89–100, 2006, doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2005.07.068.
- [5] G. M. Amiraliyev and Y. D. Mamedov, "Difference schemes on the uniform mesh for singularly perturbed pseudo-parabolic equations." *Turk. J. Math.*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 207–222, 1995.

- [6] G. M. Amiraliyev and Ö. Yapman, "On the Volterra delay-integro-differential equation with layer behavior and its numerical solution." *Miskolc Math. Notes*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 75–87, 2019, doi: 10.18514/MMN.2019.2424.
- [7] N. S. Bakhvalov, "Towards optimization of methods for solving boundary value problems in the presence of boundary layers (in Russian)." *Zh. Vychisl. Mat. Fiz*, vol. 9, pp. 841–859, 1969.
- [8] B. Basirat and M. A. Shahdadi, "Numerical solution of nonlinear integro-differential equations with initial conditions by Bernstein operational matrix of derivative." *Int. J. Mod. Nonlinear The*ory Appl., vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 141–149, 2013, doi: 10.4236/ijmnta.2013.22018.
- [9] M. Cakir and B. Gunes, "Exponentially fitted difference scheme for singularly perturbed mixed integro-differential equations." *Georgian Math. J.*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 193–203, 2022, doi: 10.1515/gmj-2021-2130.
- [10] M. Cakir and B. Gunes, "A fitted operator finite difference approximation for singularly perturbed Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations." *Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 19, p. 3560, 2022, doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/math10193560.
- [11] M. Cakir, B. Gunes, and H. Duru, "A novel computational method for solving nonlinear Volterra integro-differential equation." *Kuwait J. Sci.*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2021, doi: 10.48129/kjs.v48i1.9386.
- [12] E. P. Doolan, J. J. H. Miller, and W. H. A. Schilders, Uniform numerical methods for problems with initial and boundary layers. Dublin: Boole Press, 1980.
- [13] M. E. Durmaz, Ö. Yapman, M. Kudu, and G. Amirali, "An efficient numerical method for a singularly perturbed Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equation." *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 326–339, 2023, doi: 10.15672/hujms.1050505.
- [14] R. A. El-Nabulsi, "Nonlinear integro-differential Einstein's field equations from nonstandard Lagrangians." *Can. J. Phys.*, vol. 92, no. 10, pp. 1149–1153, 2014, doi: 10.1139/cjp-2013-0713.
- [15] M. Fečkan, "Singularly perturbed higher order boundary value problems." J. Differ. Equations, vol. 111, no. 1, pp. 79–102, 1994, doi: 10.1006/jdeq.1994.1076.
- [16] A. A. Hamoud and K. P. Ghadle, "Existence and uniqueness of the solution for Volterra-Fredholm integro-differential equations." J. Sib. Fed. Univ., Math. Phys., vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 692–701, 2018, doi: 10.17516/1997-1397-2018-11-6-692-701.
- [17] B. C. Iragi and J. B. Munyakazi, "A uniformly convergent numerical method for a singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential equation." *Int. J. Comput. Math.*, vol. 97, no. 4, pp. 759– 771, 2020, doi: 10.1080/00207160.2019.1585828.
- [18] T. Jangvaledze, Z. Kiguradze, and B. Neta, "Galerkin finite element method for one nonlinear integro-differential model." *Appl. Math. Comput.*, vol. 217, no. 16, pp. 6883–6892, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.amc.2011.01.053.
- [19] M. Kudu, I. Amirali, and G. M. Amiraliyev, "A finite-difference method for a singularly perturbed delay integro-differential equation." *J. Comput. Appl. Math*, vol. 308, pp. 379–390, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.cam.2016.06.018.
- [20] J. Li and F. Brauer, Continuous-time age-structured models in population dynamics and epidemiology. In Mathematical Epidemiology. Berlin: Springer, 2008.
- [21] T. Linß, Layer-adapted meshes for reaction-convection-diffusion problems. Berlin: Springer, 2010. doi: 10.1007/978-3-642-05134-0.
- [22] S. Mahdavi and M. T. Kajani, "Nonlinear integro-differential equations." J. Math. Ext., vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 107–117, 2010.
- [23] J. J. H. Miller, E. O'riordan, and G. I. Shishkin, Fitted Numerical methods for singular perturbation problems: Error estimates in the maximum norm for linear problems in one and two dimensions. Singapore: World Scientific, 1996.

- [24] E. Najafi, "Nyström-quasilinearization method and smoothing transformation for the numerical solution of nonlinear weakly singular Fredholm integral equations." J. Comput. Appl. Math., vol. 368, p. 13, 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.cam.2019.112538.
- [25] A. Panda, J. Mohapatra, and I. Amirali, "A second-order post-processing technique for singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential equations." *Mediterr. J. Math.*, vol. 18, no. 6, pp. 1–25, 2021, doi: 10.1007/s00009-021-01873-8.
- [26] H.-G. Roos, M. Stynes, and L. Tobiska, *Numerical methods for singularly perturbed differential equations. Convection-diffusion and flow problems.* Berlin: Springer, 1996.
- [27] O. V. Rudenko, "Nonlinear integro-differential models for intense waves in media like biological tissues and geostructures with complex interval relaxation-type dynamics." *Acoust. Phys.*, vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 398–404, 2014, doi: 10.1134/S1063771014040162.
- [28] A. A. Samarskii, The theory of difference schemes. New York: Marcel Dekker, 2001.
- [29] S. Sevgin, "Numerical solution of a singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential equation," *Adv. Difference Equ.*, vol. 2014, no. 1, pp. 1–15, 2014, doi: 10.1186/1687-1847-2014-171.
- [30] G. I. Shishkin, "Approximation of the solutions of singularly perturbed boundary-value problems with a parabolic boundary layer." USSR Comput. Math. Math. Phys., vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 1–10, 1989, doi: 10.1016/0041-5553(89)90109-2.
- [31] A.-M. Wazwaz, *Linear and nonlinear integral equations. Methods and applications.* Berlin: Springer, 2011.
- [32] Y. Yang and Y. Chen, "Spectral collocation methods for nonlinear Volterra integro-differential equations with weakly singular kernels." *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 297– 314, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s40840-017-0487-7.
- [33] Ö. Yapman and G. M. Amiraliyev, "A novel second-order fitted computational method for a singularly perturbed Volterra integro-differential equation." *Int. J. Comput. Math.*, vol. 97, no. 6, pp. 1293–1302, 2020, doi: 10.1080/00207160.2019.1614565.
- [34] Ö. Yapman and G. M. Amiraliyev, "Convergence analysis of the homogeneous second order difference method for a singularly perturbed Volterra delay-integro-differential equation." *Chaos Solit. Fractals.*, vol. 150, p. 11, 2021, doi: 10.1016/j.chaos.2021.111100.
- [35] Ö. Yapman, G. M. Amiraliyev, and I. Amirali, "Convergence analysis of fitted numerical method for a singularly perturbed nonlinear Volterra integro-differential equation with delay." *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, vol. 355, pp. 301–309, 2019, doi: 10.1016/j.cam.2019.01.026.

Authors' addresses

Baransel Gunes

(Corresponding author) Van Yuzuncu Yil University, Department of Mathematics, 65080, Van, Turkey

E-mail address: baranselgunes23@gmail.com

Musa Cakir

Van Yuzuncu Yil University, Department of Mathematics, 65080, Van, Turkey *E-mail address:* cakirmusa@hotmail.com