

HU e-ISSN 1787-2413 DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2023.4103

A FIXED POINT THEOREM FOR GENERALIZED **NONEXPANSIVE MAPPINGS**

ALIREZA AMINI-HARANDI, MAHDI GOLI, AND HAMID REZA HAJISHARIFI

Received 25 January, 2022

Abstract. In this paper, we obtain a generalization of a fixed point theorem given by Popescu [O. Popescu, Comput. Math. Appl., vol. 62, no. 10, pp. 3912-3919, 2011]. An example is also given to support our main result.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H04; 47H10; 54H25

Keywords: fixed point, approximate fixed point sequence, metric space, generalized nonexpansive mapping

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARES

Let (X,d) be a metric space and let $T: X \to X$ be a mapping. We say that $x \in X$ is a fixed point for T, if Tx = x. The sequence $(x_n) \subseteq X$ is said to be an approximate fixed point sequence (a.f.p.s., for short) for *T*, if $d(x_n, Tx_n) \rightarrow 0$.

In 2011, Popescu [6] proved the following result.

1

. .

Theorem 1. Let (X,d) be a complete metric space and let $T: X \to X$ be a mapping satisfying

$$\frac{1}{2}d(x,Tx) \le d(x,y) \Rightarrow$$

$$d(Tx,Ty) \le ad(x,y) + b(d(x,Tx) + d(y,Ty)) + c(d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)),$$

for all $x, y \in X$, with $a \ge 0$, b > 0, c > 0 and a + 2b + 2c = 1. Then, T has a unique fixed point.

Definition 1. Let (X,d) be a metric space, $\lambda \in [0,1)$ and let $a,b,c \in \mathbb{R}$ with a+2b+2c=1. We say that a mapping $T: X \to X$ is a (λ, a, b, c) -generalized nonexpansive mapping if for all $x, y \in X$,

$$\begin{split} \lambda d(x,Tx) &\leq d(x,y) \Rightarrow \\ d(Tx,Ty) &\leq ad(x,y) + b(d(x,Tx) + d(y,Ty)) + c(d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)). \end{split}$$

The third author was in part supported by a grant from IPM (No.1402460315).

© 2023 Miskolc University Press

In the following example, we see that many previously known classes of generalized nonexpansive mappings are actually (λ, a, b, c) -generalized nonexpansive, for some $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example 1.

- (i) Every nonexpansive mapping is (0, 1, 0, 0)-generalized nonexpansive.
- (ii) Every mapping which satisfies condition (C) [2,4] is $(\frac{1}{2},1,0,0)$ -generalized nonexpansive.
- (iii) Every Kannan nonexpansive mapping [5] is (0,0,0,1)-generalized nonexpansive.
- (iv) Every Reich nonexpansive mapping [5] is $(0, a, \alpha, 0)$ -generalized nonexpansive, where $a \ge 0$ and $\alpha \ge 0$.
- (v) Every generalized α -nonexpansive mapping [5] is $(\frac{1}{2}, 1 2\alpha, 0, \alpha)$ -generalized nonexpansive, where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$.
- (vi) Every Reich-Suzuki nonexpansive mapping [5] is $(\frac{1}{2}, 1 2\alpha, \alpha, 0)$ -generalized nonexpansive, where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$.
- (vii) Every Gobel- Kirk-Shimi generalized nonexpansive mapping [1] is (0, a, b, c)-generalized nonexpansive, where $a \ge 0$, b > 0 and c > 0.
- (viii) The class of (0, a, b, 0)-generalized nonexpansive mappings, where a > 0 and b > 0, was defined and studied by Greguš [3].
- (ix) The class of $(\frac{1}{2}, a, b, c)$ -generalized nonexpansive mappings, where $a \ge 0$, b > 0 and c > 0, was defined and studied by Popescu [6].

In connection with Theorem 1, the following problem arises:

Problem 1. Determine all $(\lambda, a, b, c) \in [0, 1) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ with a + 2b + 2c = 1, such that every (λ, a, b, c) -generalized nonexpansive mapping $T : X \to X$ has a fixed point, where (X, d) is a complete metric space.

Fixed point theory for generalized nonexpansive mappings, that recall in example 1, have been studied by some authors [1-6]. In this paper, we give a partial answer to the problem 1, which is also a generalization of Theorem 1.

2. MAIN RESULT

García-Falset et al. [2], studied a class of mappings satisfying the following condition.

Definition 2. Let *C* be a nonempty subset of a metric space *X*. For $\mu \ge 1$, we say that a mapping $T: C \to X$ satisfies condition (E_{μ}) on *C* if for each $x, y \in C$,

$$d(x,Ty) \le \mu d(x,Tx) + d(x,y).$$

We say that T satisfies condition (E) on C whenever T satisfies (E_{μ}) on C for some $\mu \ge 1$.

Proposition 1. Let $T: X \to X$ be $(\frac{1}{2}, a, b, c)$ -generalized nonexpansive mapping with $b \ge 0$, $c \ge 0$ and b + c < 1. Then, T satisfies condition (E) with $\mu = \frac{3+b+c}{1-b-c}$.

Proof. Since $\frac{1}{2}d(x,Tx) \le d(x,Tx)$, for each $x \in X$, then $d(T,T^2) \le d(x,Tx) + h(d(x,Tx)) + d(Tx,T^2)) + d(x,Tx)$

$$d(Tx, T^{2}x) \leq ad(x, Tx) + b(d(x, Tx) + d(Tx, T^{2}x)) + cd(x, T^{2}x)$$

$$\leq ad(x, Tx) + b(d(x, Tx) + d(Tx, T^{2}x)) + c(d(x, Tx) + d(Tx, T^{2}x)).$$

Using the assumptions b + c < 1 and a + 2b + 2c = 1, from the above we conclude that (note that the above inequality holds for any (λ, a, b, c) -generalized nonexpansive mapping with $\lambda \in [0, 1)$),

$$d(Tx, T^2x) \le d(x, Tx) \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$
(2.1)

Now, we prove that for each $x, y \in X$,

either
$$\frac{1}{2}d(x,Tx) \le d(x,y)$$
 or $\frac{1}{2}d(Tx,T^2x) \le d(Tx,y).$ (2.2)

On the contrary, we assume that there exist $x, y \in X$ such that

$$\frac{1}{2}d(x,Tx) > d(x,y)$$
 and $\frac{1}{2}d(Tx,T^2x) > d(Tx,y).$

Hence by (2.1), we have

$$d(x,Tx) \le d(x,y) + d(y,Tx) < \frac{1}{2}d(x,Tx) + \frac{1}{2}d(Tx,T^{2}x) \le \frac{1}{2}d(x,Tx) + \frac{1}{2}d(x,Tx) = d(x,Tx),$$

a contradiction. So, (2.2) holds for each $x, y \in X$. Now, from (2.2) we get that for each $x, y \in X$, either

$$d(Tx,Ty) \le ad(x,y) + b(d(x,Tx) + d(y,Ty)) + c(d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)),$$
(2.3)

or

$$d(T^{2}x,Ty) \le ad(Tx,y) + b(d(Tx,T^{2}x) + d(y,Ty)) + c(d(Tx,Ty) + d(y,T^{2}x)).$$
(2.4)

Let $x, y \in X$. We first assume that (2.3) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x,Ty) &\leq d(x,Tx) + d(Tx,Ty) \\ &\leq d(x,Tx) + ad(x,y) \\ &+ b(d(x,Tx) + d(y,Ty)) + c(d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)) \\ &\leq (1+b+c)d(x,Tx) + (b+c)d(x,Ty) + (1-b-c)d(x,y). \end{aligned}$$

Since b + c < 1, we obtain

$$d(x, Ty) \le (\frac{1+b+c}{1-b-c})d(x, Tx) + d(x, y) \\\le (\frac{3+b+c}{1-b-c})d(x, Tx) + d(x, y).$$

Now assume that (2.4) holds. Then by (2.1), we have

$$\begin{split} d(x,Ty) &\leq d(x,Tx) + d(Tx,T^2x) + d(T^2x,Ty) \\ &\leq 2d(x,Tx) + ad(Tx,y) \\ &\quad + b(d(Tx,T^2x) + d(y,Ty)) + c(d(Tx,Ty) + d(y,T^2x)) \\ &\leq 2d(x,Tx) + ad(Tx,y) \\ &\quad + b(d(Tx,T^2x) + d(y,Tx) + d(x,Tx) + d(x,Ty)) \\ &\quad + c(d(x,Tx) + d(x,Ty) + d(Tx,y) + d(Tx,T^2x)) \\ &\leq 2d(x,Tx) + ad(Tx,y) \\ &\quad + b(d(y,Tx) + 2d(x,Tx) + d(x,Ty)) \\ &\quad + c(d(x,Ty) + d(Tx,y) + 2d(x,Tx)). \end{split}$$

Since b + c < 1, we obtain

$$(1-b-c)d(x,Ty) \le (2+2b+2c)d(x,Tx) + (1-b-c)d(Tx,y) \le (2+2b+2c)d(x,Tx) + (1-b-c)(d(x,Tx) + d(x,y)).$$

Hence

$$d(x,Ty) \le (\frac{3+b+c}{1-b-c})d(x,Tx) + d(x,y).$$

Now, we are ready to state our first main result.

Theorem 2. Let (X,d) be a complete metric space and let $T: X \to X$ be a (λ, a, b, c) -generalized nonexpansive mapping with $\lambda \in [0, 1)$, b > 0, c > 0 and b + c < 1. Then, T has an a.f.p.s.

Proof. Let $m = \inf_{x \in X} d(x, Tx)$. On the contrary, assume that m > 0. Let (x_n) be a sequence in X such that

$$m = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n).$$
(2.5)

By the diagonal argument, we may assume all the following limits exist:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(T^i x_n, T^j x_n), \quad \forall \, i, j \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$
(2.6)

Replacing *x* by Tx_n and *y* by T^2x_n in Definition 1, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain $d(T^2x_n, T^3x_n) \le ad(Tx_n, T^2x_n) + bd(Tx_n, T^2x_n)$

1120

$$+bd(T^{2}x_{n},T^{3}x_{n})+cd(Tx_{n},T^{3}x_{n}).$$

Thus

$$m = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(T^2 x_n, T^3 x_n)$$

$$\leq a \lim_{n \to \infty} d(T x_n, T^2 x_n) + b \lim_{n \to \infty} d(T x_n, T^2 x_n)$$

$$+ b \lim_{n \to \infty} d(T^2 x_n, T^3 x_n) + c \lim_{n \to \infty} d(T x_n, T^3 x_n).$$
(2.7)

From (2.1) and (2.5), we obtain

$$m = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(T^k x_n, T^{k+1} x_n), \text{ for each } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (2.8)

Hence by using (2.8) and (2.7), we get

$$m \leq \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Tx_n, T^3x_n),$$

and so (note the conditions (2.5) and (2.6) are also satisfied by (Tx_n))

$$m \leq \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \to \infty} d(T^2 x_n, T^4 x_n).$$

Now we prove by induction that

$$m \le \frac{1}{k} \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n) \quad \text{for each } k \ge 2.$$
(2.9)

Assume that (2.9) holds for some $k \ge 2$, then

$$m \leq \frac{1}{k} \lim_{n \to \infty} d(T^2 x_n, T^{k+2} x_n).$$

Therefore from (2.9) and for sufficiently large *n*, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda d(Tx_n, T^2x_n) &\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Tx_n, T^2x_n) = m \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k} \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n) \\ &\leq d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n), \end{aligned}$$

and so, we may assume that

$$\lambda d(Tx_n, T^2x_n) \le d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n)$$
 for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Replacing *x* by Tx_n and *y* by $T^{k+1}x_n$ in Definition (1), for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we obtain

$$d(T^{2}x_{n}, T^{k+2}x_{n}) \leq ad(Tx_{n}, T^{k+1}x_{n}) + bd(Tx_{n}, T^{2}x_{n}) + bd(T^{k+1}x_{n}, T^{k+2}x_{n}) + cd(Tx_{n}, T^{k+2}x_{n}) + cd(T^{2}x_{n}, T^{k+1}x_{n}).$$

Now we claim that

$$a\lim_{n\to\infty} d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n) \le akm.$$
(2.10)

In the case a < 0, the inequality follows from (2.9). In the case $a \ge 0$, (2.10) follows from the inequality

$$d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n) \le d(Tx_n, T^2x_n) + d(T^2x_n, T^3x_n) + \dots + d(T^kx_n, T^{k+1}x_n).$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} km &\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} d(T^2 x_n, T^{k+2} x_n) \\ &\leq a \lim_{n \to \infty} d(T x_n, T^{k+1} x_n) \\ &+ b \lim_{n \to \infty} d(T x_n, T^2 x_n) + b \lim_{n \to \infty} d(T^{k+1} x_n, T^{k+2} x_n) \\ &+ c \lim_{n \to \infty} d(T x_n, T^{k+2} x_n) + c \lim_{n \to \infty} d(T^2 x_n, T^{k+1} x_n) \\ &\leq a km + 2 bm + c \lim_{n \to \infty} d(T x_n, T^{k+2} x_n) + c(k-1)m, \end{split}$$

and so

$$m \leq \frac{c}{(c+2b)(k+1)-4b} \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Tx_n, T^{k+2}x_n)$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{k+1} \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Tx_n, T^{k+2}x_n),$$

and so (2.9) holds.

Let

$$M = \max\left\{ \left(\frac{a+b+c}{b}\right)m, \left(\frac{2(b+c)}{1-c}\right)m, \left(\frac{b+c}{b}\right)m \right\}.$$

We show that for each $k \ge 2$,

(2.11)

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n) \le M.$$

To prove the claim, note that by (2.1) and (2.9) and for each $k \ge 2$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \ge N$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda d(x_n, Tx_n) &\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = m \leq \frac{1}{k} \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k} \lim_{n \to \infty} d(x_n, T^kx_n) \leq d(x_n, T^kx_n). \end{aligned}$$

Thus for sufficiently large n, we obtain

$$d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n) \le ad(x_n, T^kx_n) + b(d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(T^kx_n, T^{k+1}x_n))$$

$$+ c(d(x_n, T^{k+1}x_n) + d(Tx_n, T^kx_n)).$$
(2.12)

Assume first that $a \ge 0$. Then by (2.12),

$$d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n) \le a(d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n) + d(T^kx_n, T^{k+1}x_n)) + b(d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(T^kx_n, T^{k+1}x_n)) + c(d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n))$$

1122

+
$$c(d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n) + d(T^kx_n + T^{k+1}x_n)),$$

and so by letting $n \to \infty$, we get

$$(1-a-2c)\lim_{n\to\infty}d(Tx_n,T^{k+1}x_n)\leq 2(a+b+c)m.$$

Hence for each $k \ge 2$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n) \le \left(\frac{a+b+c}{b}\right)m \le M.$$

If a < 0 and $a + c \le 0$, then by (2.12),

$$d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n) \le ad(x_n, T^kx_n) + b(d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(T^kx_n, T^{k+1}x_n)) + c(d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n)) + c(d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(x_n + T^kx_n)).$$

Thus by letting $n \to \infty$, we obtain

$$(1-c)\lim_{n\to\infty}d(Tx_n,T^{k+1}x_n)\leq (a+c)\lim_{n\to\infty}d(x_n,T^kx_n)+2(b+c)m,$$

and so (note that c < 1 and $a + c \le 0$)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n) \le \frac{2(b+c)}{1-c} m \le M.$$

Now if a < 0 and a + c > 0, then thanks to (2.12),

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n) &\leq ad(x_n, T^kx_n) + b(d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(T^kx_n, T^{k+1}x_n)) \\ &+ (a+c)d(x_n, T^{k+1}x_n) - ad(x_n, T^{k+1}x_n) + cd(Tx_n, T^kx_n) \\ &\leq (-a)(d(x_n, T^{k+1}x_n) - d(x_n, T^kx_n)) \\ &+ b(d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(T^kx_n, T^{k+1}x_n)) \\ &+ (a+c)(d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n)) \\ &+ c(d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n) + d(T^kx_n, T^{k+1}x_n)) \\ &\leq (-a)d(T^kx_n, T^{k+1}x_n) \\ &+ b(d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(T^kx_n, T^{k+1}x_n)) \\ &+ (a+c)(d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n)) \\ &+ (a+c)(d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n)) \\ &+ (a+c)(d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n)) \\ &+ (a+c)(d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(T^kx_n, T^{k+1}x_n)) \end{aligned}$$

Thus by letting $n \to \infty$,

$$(1-a-2c)\lim_{n\to\infty}d(Tx_n,T^{k+1}x_n)\leq 2(b+c)m.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n) \le (\frac{b+c}{b})m \le M.$$

Therefore (2.11) holds. Now from (2.9) and (2.11), we obtain

$$m \leq \frac{1}{k} \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Tx_n, T^{k+1}x_n) \leq \frac{1}{k}M$$
, for each $k \geq 2$.

and so by letting $k \to \infty$, we get m = 0, a contradiction. So, T has an a.f.p.s.

Now we state our second main result.

Theorem 3. Let (X,d) be complete metric space and let $T: X \to X$ be $(\frac{1}{2}, a, b, c)$ -generalized nonexpansive mapping with b > 0, c > 0 and b + c < 1. Then, T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we set $K_n := \{x \in X : d(x, Tx) \leq \frac{1}{n}\}$. By Theorem 2, $\inf_{x \in X} d(x, Tx) = 0$, therefore for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $K_n \neq \emptyset$. We show that diam $(K_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, where for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, diam $(K_n) := \sup_{x,y \in K_n} d(x,y)$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x, y \in K_n$. We have either $d(x,y) \leq \frac{1}{n}$ or $d(x,y) > \frac{1}{n}$. If $d(x,y) > \frac{1}{n}$, then $\frac{1}{2}d(x, Tx) \leq \frac{1}{n} < d(x,y)$. Hence

$$d(Tx,Ty) \le ad(x,y) + b(d(x,Tx) + d(y,Ty)) + c(d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x,y) &\leq d(x,Tx) + d(Tx,Ty) + d(y,Ty) \leq \frac{2}{n} + d(Tx,Ty) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n} + ad(x,y) + b(d(x,Tx) + d(y,Ty)) + c(d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)) \\ &\leq \frac{2}{n} + \frac{2b}{n} + ad(x,y) + c(d(x,y) + d(y,Ty) + d(x,y) + d(x,Tx)) \\ &\leq \frac{2 + 2b + 2c}{n} + (a + 2c)d(x,y) \\ &\leq \frac{2 + 2b + 2c}{n} + (1 - 2b)d(x,y). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$d(x,y) \le \frac{1+b+c}{nb}.$$

Then for each $x, y \in K_n$, we have

$$d(x,y) \le \max\left\{\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1+b+c}{nb}\right\}.$$

Thus diam $(K_n) \to 0$ when $n \to \infty$. Since for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, diam $(\overline{K_n}) = diam(K_n)$ and (K_n) is a decreasing sequence of nonempty sets, so $(\overline{K_n})$ is a decreasing sequence of nonempty closed sets such that diam $(\overline{K_n}) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{K_n}$ is singleton, say, $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{K_n} = \{x_0\}$. Then there exists a sequence $(x_n) \subseteq X$ with $x_n \in K_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $x_n \to x_0$. Now by Proposition 1 there exists $\mu \ge 1$, such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$d(x_n, Tx_0) \le \mu d(x_n, Tx_n) + d(x_n, x_0).$$

1125

Hence as $n \to \infty$ we obtain $d(x_0, Tx_0) = 0$, that is, x_0 is a fixed point for *T*. To prove the uniqueness, suppose that $x, y \in X$ are two fixed point of *T*. Since $\frac{1}{2}d(x, Tx) = 0 \le d(x, y)$ then (note that b > 0)

$$\begin{aligned} d(x,y) &= d(Tx,Ty) \le ad(x,y) + b(d(x,Tx) + d(y,Ty)) + c(d(x,Ty) + d(y,Tx)) \\ &\le ad(x,y) + c(d(x,y) + d(y,Ty) + d(x,y) + d(x,Tx)) \\ &= (a+2c)d(x,y) \le (1-2b)d(x,y), \end{aligned}$$

and so x = y.

In the following example, we define a metric space (X,d) and a mapping $T: X \to X$ which satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3, but it is easy to see that T is not in any classes of generalized nonexpansive mappings which are listed in Example 1. This example also shows that Theorem 3 is a real generalization of Theorem 2.1 of [6].

Example 2. Let (X,d) be a metric space such that $X := \{1,2,3,4,5\}$ and d(1,2) = d(4,5) = d(3,4) = 1, d(1,5) = d(2,3) = d(1,3) = d(2,5) = 1.7, d(1,4) = d(2,4) = 2.2 and d(3,5) = 1.8. Let $T : X \to X$ define by T1 = 5, T2 = 3 and T3 = T4 = T5 = 4. It is straightforward to show that T is $(\frac{1}{2}, -0.2, 0.3, 0.3)$ -generalized nonexpansive mapping, and so by Theorem 3 has a unique fixed point. But we show that T does not satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 of [6]. On the contrary, assume that T is $(\frac{1}{2}, a, b, c)$ -generalized nonexpansive mapping with $a \ge 0$, b > 0 and c > 0. Then, for x = 1 and y = 2 we have $\frac{1}{2}d(1, T1) \le d(1, 2)$. Then (note that $a \ge 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} 1.8 &= d(5,3) = d(T1,T2) \\ &\leq ad(1,2) + b(d(1,T1) + d(2,T2)) + c(d(1,T2) + d(2,T1)) \\ &= a + (2b + 2c)1.7 \\ &\leq (a + 2b + 2c)1.7 = 1.7, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to thank the associate editor and reviewers for their constructive comments, which helped us to improve the paper.

REFERENCES

- J. Bogin, "A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Goebel, Kirk and Shimi," *Canad. Math. Bull.*, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 7–12, 1976, doi: 10.4153/CMB-1976-002-7. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-1976-002-7
- [2] J. García-Falset, E. Llorens-Fuster, and T. Suzuki, "Fixed point theory for a class of generalized nonexpansive mappings," *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 375, no. 1, pp. 185–195, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2010.08.069. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2010.08.069

A. AMINI-HARANDI, M. GOLI, AND H. R. HAJISHARIFI

- [3] M. Greguš, Jr., "A fixed point theorem in Banach space," *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A* (5), vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 193–198, 1980.
- [4] G. A. Okeke and C. I. Ugwuogor, "Iterative construction of the fixed point of Suzuki's generalized nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces," *Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 633–651, 2022, doi: 10.24193/fpt-ro. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.24193/fpt-ro
- [5] R. Pandey, R. Pant, V. Rakočević, and R. Shukla, "Approximating fixed points of a general class of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces with applications," *Results Math.*, vol. 74, no. 1, pp. Paper No. 7, 24, 2019, doi: 10.1007/s00025-018-0930-6. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-018-0930-6
- [6] O. Popescu, "Two generalizations of some fixed point theorems," *Comput. Math. Appl.*, vol. 62, no. 10, pp. 3912–3919, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.camwa.2011.09.044. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2011.09.044

Authors' addresses

Alireza Amini-Harandi

Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, 81746-73441, Iran

E-mail address: a.amini@sci.ui.ac.ir

Mahdi Goli

Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, 81746-73441, Iran

E-mail address: golimahdi@gmail.com

Hamid Reza Hajisharifi

(**Corresponding author**) Department of Mathematics, Khansar Campus, University of Isfahan, Iran, School of Mathematics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM), P.O. Box19395-5746, Tehran, Iran

E-mail address: h.r.hajisharifi@sci.ui.ac.ir