

Miskolc Mathematical Notes Vol. 24 (2023), No. 3, pp. 1495–1513

STATE ESTIMATION OF MEMRISTOR-BASED STOCHASTIC NEURAL NETWORKS WITH MIXED VARIABLE DELAYS

RAMASAMY SARAVANAKUMAR AND HEMEN DUTTA

Received 11 December, 2021

Abstract. This paper studies the state estimation problem for memristor-based stochastic neural networks (MSNNs) with mixed variable delays. A new Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional (LKF) with quadruple integral terms is incorporated. Then, asymptotic stability conditions are established for the error system using a linear matrix inequality technique. The estimator gain can be obtained by solving the linear matrix inequalities. Numerical simulations are given to demonstrate the effectiveness and superiority of the new scheme.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 92B20; 93D20; 93E15

Keywords: distributed variable delay, memristor-based stochastic neural networks, quadruple integral, state estimation

1. INTRODUCTION

The memristor-based neural networks (MNNs) made of hybrid complementary metal-oxide semiconductors have a vast range of applications in bioinspired engineering [5, 7, 8]. One can get a good range of useful applications in new classes of artificial neural systems [8], neural learning circuits [15], associative memories [5] by investigating MNNs. Recently, many research works have been published on MNNs [4, 12]. In the electronic circuit implementation of the neural networks (NNs), time delay plays a vital role due to the finite switching speed of amplifiers [3, 16]. The existence of time delays may cause divergence and instability in system performance [16]. A particular class of time delay exists in engineering systems, called time-varying interval delays, which generally exists in networked control systems [23]. In the previous decade, distributed delays were found in the realistic NN model, and significant research attention was brought to the stability of NNs with discrete and distributed time-varying delays [1, 24]. Thus, analyzing the dynamics of NNs with mixed variable delays has attracted more research attention [4, 12].

© 2023 Miskolc University Press

The first author is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Research Activity Start-up No.20K23328, funded by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

In practice, synaptic transmission is a silent process brought on by the cause of random probabilities in the actual neuron system. The methods in [1, 20] show that some stochastic inputs can destabilize or degrade NNs. So, it is essential to study stochastic perturbations, and their effects on the NNs [18, 22]. In addition, the state estimation problem for stochastic neural networks (SNNs) is a more challenging task than for the deterministic NNs. Thus, it is of great importance to study state estimation problems for NNs with stochasticities [6, 19].

The state estimation problem for NNs has brought up a lot of research interest in the current decade (see [9, 10]). There are some difficulties in estimating the neurons in NNs due to the complicated composition of NNs. Therefore, it is challenging and essential to study the state estimation problem of NNs. In recent years, the state estimation of NNs was proposed in [2, 11, 14, 17]. In [18], synchronization of MS-NNs with mixed delays has been proposed. Stability criteria for MSNNs with mixed delays were considered in [14]. State estimation problem for delayed generalized NNs was studied in [16]. Exponential stability of uncertain SNNs with mixed delays was established in [6]. Stability criteria for Markovian jump static SNNs with time delays are considered in [19]. To the author's best knowledge, state estimation of MSNNs with mixed variable delays has not been completely studied yet. Hence, our primary intention is to study the state estimation for delayed MSNNs.

The main contribution of this paper are as follows:

- (1) Most of the existing results in the literature consider the state estimation problems for only deterministic cases. Therefore, the state estimation problem for MSNNs with mixed variable delays is considered in this paper. A novel approach is proposed to solve the considered problem.
- (2) In this article, a stochastic quadruple integral LKF is proposed to deal with the state estimation problem for MSNNs with mixed time-varying delays.
- (3) The quadruple integral term of activation function such as

$$\int_{-\vartheta_1}^0 \int_{\rho}^0 \int_{\chi}^0 \int_{t+\kappa}^t e(s) ds d\kappa d\chi d\rho \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\vartheta_2}^{-\vartheta_1} \int_{\rho}^0 \int_{\chi}^0 \int_{t+\kappa}^t e(s) ds d\kappa d\chi d\rho$$

are utilized in estimating the time derivative of the LKF.

From the above comments, the main focus is on the state estimation problem for MSNNs with mixed variable delays. By establishing a new quadruple stochastic integral LKF and using the linear matrix inequalities (LMIs) technique, a sufficient condition for the feasibility of the state estimation problem has been presented, which assures the asymptotic stability of the estimation error system. At last, an example is provided to reveal the usefulness and superiority of our approach.

Notation 1. \Re^n denotes the *n*-dimensional Euclidean space, and $\Re^{n \times n}$ denotes the set of all $n \times n$ real matrices. Y^T denotes the matrix transpose of Y. X > 0 denotes that X is a real symmetric positive definite matrix. I denotes the identity matrix. *

denotes the term that is induced by symmetry. diag $\{a, b, ..., z\}$ denotes the blockdiagonal matrix with a, b, ..., z in the diagonal entries.

2. PROBLEM STATEMENT AND PRELIMINARIES

As in [5], the memductance of a memristor is given as $\mathbf{\sigma}(\phi) = \frac{d\hat{q}(\phi)}{d\phi}$, where $d\hat{q}(\phi)$ denotes the charge passing through the memristor and ϕ denotes the flux. Since *q* and ϕ , respectively, represent the time integral of the current i(t) and voltage v(t) applied to the memristor; namely $q(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} i(\tau)d\tau$, and $\phi(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{t} v(\tau)d\tau$ the memductance $\mathbf{\sigma}$ is the function of voltage *v* and $\mathbf{\sigma}(v) = \frac{d\hat{q}(\phi)}{d\phi} = \frac{dq/dt}{d\phi/dt} = \frac{i(t)}{v(t)}$.



The mathematical model of the memductance is presented to accurately describe the memory function and the hysteresis properties of the memory, according to the current-voltage characteristics of the memory in Figure 1:

[8].

$$\boldsymbol{\varpi}(\mathbf{v}(t)) = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\varpi}'(\mathbf{v}(t)), & \mathbf{v}(s) \downarrow, s \in (t-\rho, t];\\ \boldsymbol{\varpi}''(\mathbf{v}(t)), & \mathbf{v}(s) \uparrow, s \in (t-\rho, t];\\ \lim_{s \to t^{-}} \boldsymbol{\varpi}(\mathbf{v}(s)), & \mathbf{v}(s) \text{ unchage, } s \in (t-\rho, t], \end{cases}$$
(2.1)

[8].

where \uparrow means increase, \downarrow means decrease, ρ is a sufficiently small positive constant, $\lim_{s\to t^-}$ is either equal to $\varpi'(v(t))$ or $\varpi''(v(t))$, and it means that the memductance keeps the voltage value. Hence, the memductance function may be discontinuous. As mentioned in [5], the memristor must display two adequate equilibrium levels, R_0 and R_1 , where $R_0 \gg R_1$, which makes it easy to switch between high resistance levels. Fast resistance as low as possible, or vice versa when consuming as little energy as possible. Therefore, with this property a memductance of the memristor can be defined as (2.1), where the constants defined by $\varpi'(v(t)) = \varpi'$ and $\varpi''(v(t)) =$ ϖ'' . Figure 2 shows the current-voltage characteristics of this memristor. The use of memristors to change the resistance of the circuit sensor in the connection of the NN called the MNN. Now, consider the MSNN model based on the methods in [8]:

$$dx(t) = [-Ax(t) + W_0(x)f(x(t)) + W_1(x)f(x(t - \vartheta(t))) + W_2(x) \int_{t-d(t)}^t f(x(s))ds]dt + [Ex(t) + E_1x(t - \vartheta(t)) + H_0(x)f(x(t)) + H_1(x)f(x(t - \vartheta(t))) + H_2(x) \int_{t-d(t)}^t f(x(s))ds]dv(t),$$
(2.2)

where

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= [x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_n(t)]^T \text{ is the state vector;} \\ A &= \text{diag}\{a_1(t), a_2(t), \dots, a_n(t)\}, \\ W_0(x) &= [w_{0ij}(f_j(x_j(t)) - x_i(t))]_{n \times n}, \\ W_1(x) &= [w_{1ij}(f_j(x_j(t - \vartheta(t))) - x_i(t))]_{n \times n}, \\ W_2(x) &= \left[w_{2ij}\left(\int_{t-d(t)}^t f_j(x_j(s))ds - x_i(t)\right)\right]_{n \times n}, \\ H_0(x) &= [h_{0ij}(f_j(x_j(t)) - x_i(t))]_{n \times n}, \\ H_1(x) &= [h_{1ij}(f_j(x_j(t - \vartheta(t))) - x_i(t))]_{n \times n}, \\ H_2(x) &= \left[h_{2ij}(\int_{t-d(t)}^t f_j(x_j(s))ds) - x_i(t))\right]_{n \times n} \end{aligned}$$

are the feedback connection weight matrices. $\vartheta(t)$, and d(t) are respectively representing the time-varying and time-varying distributed delays.

Assumption 1. There exist scalars $\vartheta_1 > 0$, $\vartheta_2 > 0$, $\mu \ge 0$ and d > 0 such that $0 \le \vartheta_1 \le \vartheta(t) \le \vartheta_2$, $\dot{\vartheta}(t) \le \mu$ and $0 \le d(t) \le d$, respectively.

Assumption 2. Each neuron activation function $f_i(t)$ (i = 1, 2, ..., n) is continuous and bounded, and satisfies the Lipschitz condition: $|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \le F|(x_1 - x_2)|$, with $F \in \Re^{n \times n}$ and $x_1, x_2 \in \Re$, $x_1 \neq x_2$ are constants. The network measurements are assumed to satisfy:

$$y(t) = Cx(t) + l(t, x(t)),$$

where $y(t) \in \Re^n$ is the network measurement, *C* is a known constant matrix with appropriate dimension, $l: \Re \times \Re^n \to \Re^m$ is the neuron-dependent nonlinear disturbances on the network outputs, and satisfies: $|l(t,x_1) - l(t,x_2)| \le |L(x_1 - x_2)|$, with $L \in \Re^{n \times n}$. Consider the full-order state estimator ([21]):

$$d\hat{x}(t) = [-A\hat{x}(t) + W_0(x)f(\hat{x}(t)) + W_1(x)f(\hat{x}(t-\vartheta(t)))$$

STATE ESTIMATION OF MEMRISTOR-BASED STOCHASTIC NEURAL NETWORKS

$$+ W_{2}(x) \int_{t-d(t)}^{t} f(\hat{x}(s)) ds + K[y(t) - C\hat{x}(t) - l(t, \hat{x}(t))]] dt + [E\hat{x}(t) \\ + E_{1}\hat{x}(t - \vartheta(t)) + H_{0}(x)f(\hat{x}(t)) + H_{1}(x)f(\hat{x}(t - \vartheta(t))) \\ + H_{2}(x) \int_{t-d(t)}^{t} f(\hat{x}(s)) ds] dv(t),$$

$$(2.3)$$

1499

where $\hat{x}(t) \in \Re^n$ denotes the estimated state, and $K \in \Re^{n \times m}$ is the estimator gain matrix to be calculated. Define the error state $e(t) = x(t) - \hat{x}(t)$. From (2.2) and (2.3), one can obtain the following error system:

$$de(t) = [-Ae(t) + W_0(x)\varphi(t) + W_1(x)\varphi(t - \vartheta(t)) + W_2(x)\Upsilon_1 - KC\psi(t)]dt + [Ee(t) + E_1e(t - \vartheta(t)) + H_0(x)\varphi(t) + H_1(x)\varphi(t - \vartheta(t)) + H_2(x)\Upsilon_1]dv(t),$$
(2.4)

where $\Upsilon_1 = \int_{t-d(t)}^t \varphi(s) ds$, $e(t) = [e_1(t), e_2(t), \dots, e_n(t)]^T \in \Re^n$ is the state vector of the transformed system and $\varphi(t) = f(x(t)) - f(\widehat{x}(t))$ with $\varphi(t) = [\varphi_1(t), \dots, \varphi_n(t)]^T$.

Definition 1. For the error-state system (2.4) and every $\zeta \in L^2_{\mathcal{F}_0}([-\vartheta_2, 0]; \mathcal{R}^n)$ where ϑ_2 is the upper bound of the time delay, the trivial solution is globally asymptotically stable if it is locally stable in the sense of Lyapunov and globally attractive.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. For given positive scalars $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \mu, d$ and the matrix K, the error-state system (2.4) of MSNN (2.2) and (2.3) is globally asymptotically mean-square stable if there exist matrices $\mathbb{P}_{5\times5} > 0$, $R_j > 0$, (j = 1, 2, 3), $S_p > 0$, (p = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5), $T_q > 0$, $Z_q > 0$, q = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) and any appropriate dimensional matrices \mathcal{P}_s , \mathcal{Q}_s , \mathcal{R}_s , $\mathcal{S}_s, \mathcal{T}_s, \mathcal{U}_s, \mathcal{V}_s$, (s = 1, 2), such that the following LMI hold for i = 1, 2, 3:

$$\widehat{\Pi}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \Pi_1^i & \Pi_2^i \\ * & \Pi_3^i \end{bmatrix} < 0, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Pi_{1}^{i} &= \Pi_{r \times s}, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3, r, s = 1, 2, \dots, 12), \\ \mathbb{P} &= \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} & P_{13} & P_{14} & P_{15} \\ * & P_{22} & P_{23} & P_{24} & P_{25} \\ * & * & P_{33} & P_{34} & P_{35} \\ * & * & * & P_{44} & P_{45} \\ * & * & * & * & P_{55} \end{bmatrix}, \quad R_{j} &= \begin{bmatrix} R_{11}^{j} & R_{12}^{j} \\ * & R_{22}^{j} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (j = 1, 2, 3) \\ \Pi_{1,1} &= -P_{11}A - A^{T}P_{11} + P_{12} + P_{12}^{T} + R_{11}^{1} + \vartheta_{1}S_{1} + \vartheta_{21}S_{2} + \mathcal{P}_{1} + \mathcal{P}_{1}^{T} + \vartheta_{1}S_{1} \\ &+ \vartheta_{1}S_{1}^{T} + \vartheta_{21}\mathcal{T}_{1} + \vartheta_{21}\mathcal{T}_{1}^{T} + \frac{\vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}\mathcal{U}_{1} + \frac{\vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}\mathcal{U}_{1}^{T} + \frac{\vartheta_{2}^{2} - \vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}\mathcal{V}_{1} + \frac{\vartheta_{2}^{2} - \vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}\mathcal{V}_{1}^{T} \\ &+ \varepsilon_{1}F^{T}F + \varepsilon_{3}L^{T}L, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \Pi_{1,2} &= \mathcal{P}_2^T - Q_1 + \mathcal{R}_1 + \vartheta_1 \mathcal{S}_2^T + \vartheta_{21} \mathcal{T}_2^T + \frac{\vartheta_1^2}{2} \mathcal{U}_2^T + \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} \mathcal{V}_2^T, \\ \Pi_{1,3} &= -P_{12} + P_{13} - \mathcal{P}_1 + Q_1, \quad \Pi_{1,4} &= -\mathcal{R}_1 - P_{13}, \quad \Pi_{1,5} &= P_{11} W_0 + P_{14} + \mathcal{R}_{12}^1, \\ \Pi_{1,6} &= P_{11} W_1, \quad \Pi_{1,7} = P_{15} - P_{14}, \quad \Pi_{1,8} &= -P_{15}, \quad \Pi_{1,9} &= -P_{11} KC, \\ \Pi_{1,10} &= P_{11} W_2, \quad \Pi_{1,11} &= -\mathcal{A}^T P_{14} + \mathcal{P}_{24}, \quad \Pi_{1,12} &= -\mathcal{A}^T P_{15} + \mathcal{P}_{25}, \\ \Pi_{2,2} &= -(1 - \mu) \mathcal{R}_{11}^2 - Q_2 - Q_2^T + \mathcal{R}_2 + \mathcal{R}_2^T + \mathcal{E} \mathcal{F}^T \mathcal{F}, \quad \Pi_{2,3} &= -\mathcal{P}_2 + Q_2, \\ \Pi_{2,4} &= -\mathcal{R}_2, \quad \Pi_{2,6} &= -(1 - \mu) \mathcal{R}_{12}^2, \quad \Pi_{3,3} &= -\mathcal{R}_{11}^1 + \mathcal{R}_{11}^2 + \mathcal{R}_{13}^3, \\ \Pi_{3,7} &= -\mathcal{R}_{12}^1 + \mathcal{R}_{12}^2 + \mathcal{R}_{13}^3, \quad \Pi_{4,11} &= -\mathcal{P}_{34}, \quad \Pi_{4,12} &= -\mathcal{P}_{35}, \\ \Pi_{4,4} &= -\mathcal{R}_{11}^3, \quad \Pi_{4,8} &= -\mathcal{R}_{12}^3, \quad \Pi_{4,11} &= -\mathcal{P}_{34}, \quad \Pi_{4,12} &= -\mathcal{P}_{35}, \\ \Pi_{5,5} &= \mathcal{R}_{12}^1 + \mathcal{Q}^2 + \mathcal{Q}^2 + \mathcal{R}_{22}^2 + \mathcal{R}_{22}^3, \quad \Pi_{6,11} &= \mathcal{W}_1^T \mathcal{P}_{14}, \\ \Pi_{5,12} &= \mathcal{W}_0^T \mathcal{P}_{15} + \mathcal{P}_{45}, \quad \Pi_{6,6} &= -(1 - \mu) \mathcal{R}_{22}^2 - \mathcal{E}_2, \quad \Pi_{6,11} &= \mathcal{W}_1^T \mathcal{P}_{14}, \\ \Pi_{6,12} &= \mathcal{W}_1^T \mathcal{P}_{15}, \quad \Pi_{7,7} &= -\mathcal{R}_{12}^1 + \mathcal{R}_{22}^2 + \mathcal{R}_{23}^2, \quad \Pi_{7,11} &= -\mathcal{P}_{44} + \mathcal{P}_{45}^T, \\ \Pi_{7,12} &= -\mathcal{P}_{45} + \mathcal{P}_{55}, \quad \Pi_{8,8} &= -\mathcal{R}_{32}^3, \quad \Pi_{8,11} &= -\mathcal{P}_{45}^T, \\ \Pi_{9,12} &= -\mathcal{C}^T \mathcal{K}^T \mathcal{P}_{15}, \quad \Pi_{1,10} &= -\mathcal{S}_3, \quad \Pi_{10,11} &= \mathcal{W}_2^T \mathcal{P}_{14}, \\ \Pi_{10,12} &= \mathcal{W}_2^T \mathcal{P}_{15}, \quad \Pi_{11,11} &= -\mathcal{S}_4, \quad \Pi_{12,12} &= -\mathcal{S}_5, \quad \vartheta_{21} = \vartheta_2 - \vartheta_1, \\ \Pi_{12}^2 &= \left[\Omega_1 \quad \left(-\vartheta_1 \widetilde{\mathcal{P}} - \frac{\vartheta_1^2}{2} \widetilde{\mathcal{S}} - \frac{\vartheta_1^3}{6} \widetilde{\mathcal{U}} \right) \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{E}^T} \mathcal{P}_{11} \quad \vartheta_{21} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}^T} \mathcal{T}_2 \\ \quad \vartheta_1 \widetilde{\mathcal{E}^T} \mathcal{T}_1 \quad \frac{\vartheta_1^2}{2} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}^T} \mathcal{Z}_3 \quad \frac{\vartheta_1^3}{6} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}^T} \mathcal{Z}_2 \quad \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} \widetilde{\mathcal{U}^T} \mathcal{T}_2 \\ \quad \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{\mathcal{A}^T} \mathcal{T}_4 \quad \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^3}{6} \widetilde{\mathcal{A}^T} \mathcal{T}_6 \quad \vartheta_{21} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}^T} \mathcal{Z}_2 \quad \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}^T} \mathcal{Z}_4 \quad \frac{\vartheta_2^3 - \vartheta_1^3}{6} \widetilde{\mathcal{E}^T} \mathcal{Z}_6 \\ \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}} \quad \vartheta_{21}^T \quad \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} \widetilde{\mathcal$$

STATE ESTIMATION OF MEMRISTOR-BASED STOCHASTIC NEURAL NETWORKS

$$\begin{split} \Pi_{3}^{1} &= \left[-\vartheta_{1}S_{1} \ \left(-\vartheta_{1}T_{1} - \frac{\vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}T_{3} - \frac{\vartheta_{1}^{3}}{6}T_{5} \right) - P_{11} \ -\vartheta_{1}T_{1} \ -\frac{\vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}T_{3} \ -\frac{\vartheta_{1}^{3}}{6}T_{5} \\ &-\vartheta_{1}Z_{1} \ -\frac{\vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}Z_{3} \ -\frac{\vartheta_{1}^{3}}{6}Z_{5} \ -Z_{1} \ -\vartheta_{1}Z_{3} \ -\frac{\vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}Z_{5} \right], \\ \Pi_{3}^{2} &= \left[-\vartheta_{21}S_{2} \ \left(-\vartheta_{1}T_{2} - \frac{\vartheta_{2}^{2} - \vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}T_{4} - \frac{\vartheta_{2}^{3} - \vartheta_{1}^{3}}{6}T_{6} \right) - P_{11} \ -\vartheta_{21}T_{2} \\ &-\frac{\vartheta_{2}^{2} - \vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}T_{4} \ -\frac{\vartheta_{2}^{3} - \vartheta_{1}^{3}}{6}T_{6} \ -\vartheta_{21}Z_{2} \ -\frac{\vartheta_{2}^{2} - \vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}Z_{4} \ -\frac{\vartheta_{2}^{3} - \vartheta_{1}^{3}}{6}Z_{6} \\ &-Z_{2} \ -\vartheta_{21}Z_{4} \ -\frac{\vartheta_{2}^{2} - \vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}Z_{6} \right], \\ \Pi_{3}^{3} &= \left[-\vartheta_{21}S_{2} \ \left(-\vartheta_{21}T_{2} - \frac{\vartheta_{2}^{2} - \vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}T_{4} - \frac{\vartheta_{2}^{3} - \vartheta_{1}^{3}}{6}T_{6} \right) - P_{11} \ -\vartheta_{21}T_{2} \\ &-\frac{\vartheta_{2}^{2} - \vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}T_{4} \ -\frac{\vartheta_{2}^{3} - \vartheta_{1}^{3}}{6}T_{6} \ -\vartheta_{21}Z_{2} \ -\frac{\vartheta_{2}^{2} - \vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}Z_{4} \ -\frac{\vartheta_{2}^{3} - \vartheta_{1}^{3}}{6}Z_{6} \\ &-Z_{2} \ -\vartheta_{21}Z_{4} \ -\frac{\vartheta_{2}^{2} - \vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}T_{6} \right], \\ \Omega_{1} &= \left[\left(\vartheta_{1}P_{22} - \vartheta_{1}A^{T}P_{12} - \vartheta_{1}S_{1} - \frac{\vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}U_{1} \right) \ \left(-\vartheta_{1}S_{2} - \frac{\vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}U_{2} \right) \\ & \left(-\vartheta_{1}P_{24}^{2} + \vartheta_{1}P_{23}^{2} \right) \ -\vartheta_{1}P_{23}^{T} \ \left(\vartheta_{1}W_{0}^{T}P_{12} + \vartheta_{1}P_{1}^{T} \right) \ \vartheta_{1}W_{1}^{T}P_{12} \\ & \left(-\vartheta_{1}P_{24}^{2} + \vartheta_{1}P_{23}^{T} \right) \ -\vartheta_{1}P_{25}^{T} \ -\vartheta_{1}C^{T}K^{T}P_{12} \ \vartheta_{1}W_{2}^{T}P_{12} \ \vartheta_{1} \right], \\ \Omega_{2} &= \left[\left(\vartheta_{21}P_{23} - \vartheta_{21}A^{T}P_{13} - \vartheta_{21}T_{1} - \frac{\vartheta_{2}^{2} - \vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}V_{1} \right) \ \left(-\vartheta_{21}T_{2} - \frac{\vartheta_{2}^{2} - \vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}V_{2} \right) \\ & \left(-\vartheta_{21}P_{23} + \vartheta_{21}P_{33} \right) \ -\vartheta_{21}P_{3}^{T} \ -\vartheta_{21}P_{3}^{T} \ -\vartheta_{21}P_{3}^{T} \ -\vartheta_{21}P_{3}^{T} \ -\vartheta_{21}W_{2}^{T} P_{13} \ \vartheta_{21}W_{2}^{T}P_{13} \ \vartheta_{21}W_{2}^{T}P_{13} \ \vartheta_{21}W_{2}^{T}P_{13} \ \vartheta_{21}W_{2}^{T}P_{13} \ \vartheta_{21}W_{2}^{T}P_{13} \ \vartheta_{21}W_{2}^{T} \ \left(Z_{1}^{T} - U_{2}^{T} - U_{1}M_{2} \right), \\ \tilde{R}^{T} &= \left[\mathcal{R}_{1}^{T} \mathcal{R}_{2}^{T} \ \vartheta_{1} \otimes 1 \ \theta_{1} \ \tilde{R}^{T} = \left[\mathcal{R}_{1}^{T} \mathcal{R}_{2}^{T} \ \vartheta_{1} \otimes 1 \right], \\ \tilde{R}^{T} &= \left[\mathcal{R}_{1}^{T} \mathcal{R$$

Proof. Choose the LKF:

$$V(e_t) = \sum_{p=1}^{5} V_p(e_t),$$
(3.2)

where

$$\begin{split} V_{1}(e_{t}) &= \eta_{1}^{T}(t)\mathbb{P}\eta_{1}(t), \\ V_{2}(e_{t}) &= \int_{t-\vartheta_{1}}^{t} \eta_{2}^{T}(s)R_{1}\eta_{2}(s)ds + \int_{t-\vartheta(t)}^{t-\vartheta_{1}} \eta_{2}^{T}(s)R_{2}\eta_{2}(s)ds + \int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t-\vartheta_{1}} \eta_{2}^{T}(s)R_{3}\eta_{2}(s)ds, \\ V_{3}(e_{t}) &= \Upsilon_{2}e^{T}(s)S_{1}e(s)dsd\rho + \Upsilon_{3}e^{T}(s)S_{2}e(s)dsd\rho + d\int_{-d}^{0} \int_{t+\rho}^{t} \varphi^{T}(s)S_{3}\varphi(s)dsd\rho \\ &\quad + \vartheta_{1}\Upsilon_{2}\varphi^{T}(s)S_{4}\varphi(s)dsd\rho + \vartheta_{21}\Upsilon_{3}\varphi^{T}(s)S_{5}\varphi(s)dsd\rho, \\ V_{4}(e_{t}) &= \Upsilon_{2}\mathfrak{z}^{T}(s)T_{1}\mathfrak{z}(s)dsd\rho + \Upsilon_{3}\mathfrak{z}^{T}(s)T_{2}\mathfrak{z}(s)dsd\rho + \Upsilon_{4}\mathfrak{z}^{T}(s)T_{3}\mathfrak{z}(s)dsd\chi d\rho \\ &\quad + \Upsilon_{5}\mathfrak{z}^{T}(s)T_{4}\mathfrak{z}(s)dsd\chi d\rho + \Upsilon_{6}\mathfrak{z}^{T}(s)T_{5}\mathfrak{z}(s)dsd\kappa d\chi d\rho \\ &\quad + \Upsilon_{7}\mathfrak{z}^{T}(s)T_{6}\mathfrak{z}(s)dsd\kappa d\chi d\rho, \\ V_{5}(e_{t}) &= \Upsilon_{2}\mathfrak{y}^{T}(s)Z_{1}\mathfrak{y}(s)dsd\rho + \Upsilon_{3}\mathfrak{y}^{T}(s)Z_{2}\mathfrak{y}(s)dsd\rho + \Upsilon_{4}\mathfrak{y}^{T}(s)Z_{3}\mathfrak{y}(s)dsd\chi d\rho \\ &\quad + \Upsilon_{5}\mathfrak{y}^{T}(s)Z_{4}\mathfrak{y}(s)dsd\chi d\rho + \Upsilon_{6}\mathfrak{y}^{T}(s)Z_{5}\mathfrak{y}(s)dsd\kappa d\chi d\rho \\ &\quad + \Upsilon_{7}\mathfrak{y}^{T}(s)Z_{6}\mathfrak{y}(s)dsd\kappa d\chi d\rho, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \eta_1(t) &= \left[e^T(t) \quad \int_{t-\vartheta_1}^t e^T(s) ds \quad \int_{t-\vartheta_2}^{t-\vartheta_1} e^T(s) ds \quad \int_{t-\vartheta_1}^t \varphi^T(s) ds \quad \int_{t-\vartheta_2}^{t-\vartheta_1} \varphi^T(s) ds \right]^T, \\ \eta_2(t) &= \left[e^T(s) \quad \varphi^T(s) \right]^T, \\ \mathfrak{z}(s) &= -Ae(t) + W_0 \varphi(t) + W_1 \varphi(t-\vartheta(t)) + W_2 \Upsilon_1 - KC \Psi(t), \\ \mathfrak{y}(s) &= Ee(t) + E_1 e(t-\vartheta(t)) + H_0 \varphi(t) + H_1 \varphi(t-\vartheta(t)) + H_2 \Upsilon_1, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \Upsilon_2 &= \int_{-\vartheta_1}^0 \int_{t+\rho}^t, \qquad \Upsilon_3 = \int_{-\vartheta_2}^{-\vartheta_1} \int_{t+\rho}^t, \qquad \Upsilon_4 = \int_{-\vartheta_1}^0 \int_{\rho}^0 \int_{t+\chi}^t, \\ \Upsilon_5 &= \int_{-\vartheta_2}^{-\vartheta_1} \int_{\rho}^0 \int_{t+\chi}^t, \qquad \Upsilon_6 = \int_{-\vartheta_1}^0 \int_{\rho}^0 \int_{\chi}^0 \int_{t+\kappa}^t, \qquad \Upsilon_7 = \int_{-\vartheta_2}^{-\vartheta_1} \int_{\rho}^0 \int_{\chi}^0 \int_{t+\kappa}^t. \end{split}$$

Using Ito's formula ([13]), the generator $\mathcal{L}V$ for the evolution of $V(x_t)$ in (3.2) is therefore given by

$$dV(e_{t}) = \mathcal{L}V(x_{t})dt + 2[e^{T}(t)P_{11}\mathfrak{y}(t) + \int_{t-\vartheta_{1}}^{t} e^{T}(s)dsP_{12}^{T}\mathfrak{y}(t) + \int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t-\vartheta_{1}} e^{T}(s)dsP_{13}^{T}\mathfrak{y}(t) + \int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t} \varphi^{T}(s)dsP_{14}^{T}\mathfrak{y}(t) + \int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t-\vartheta_{1}} \varphi^{T}(s)dsP_{15}^{T}\mathfrak{y}(t)]dv(t),$$
(3.3)

where

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{L}V(e_{t}) &= \sum_{p=1}^{5} \mathcal{L}V_{p}(x_{t}), \\ \mathcal{L}V_{1}(e_{t}) &= 2\left[e^{T}(t) \int_{t-\vartheta_{1}}^{t} e^{T}(s)ds \int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t-\vartheta_{1}} e^{T}(s)ds \int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t} \varphi^{T}(s)ds \int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t-\vartheta_{1}} \varphi^{T}(s)ds \int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t-\vartheta_{1}} \varphi^{T}(s)ds \int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t-\vartheta_{1}} \varphi^{T}(s)ds \right] \\ &= \mathbb{P} \times \left[\mathfrak{z}(t)^{T} - e(t-\vartheta_{1})^{T} - e(t-\vartheta_{1})^{T} - e(t-\vartheta_{2})^{T} - \varphi(t-\vartheta_{2})^{T}\right]^{T} + \mathfrak{y}^{T}(t)P_{11}\mathfrak{y}(t), \\ \mathcal{L}V_{2}(e_{t}) &\leq \mathfrak{n}_{2}^{T}(t)R_{1}\mathfrak{n}_{2}(t) - \mathfrak{n}_{2}^{T}(t-\vartheta_{1})[-R_{1}+R_{2}+R_{3}]\mathfrak{n}_{2}(t-\vartheta_{1}) \\ &- (1-\mu)\mathfrak{n}_{2}^{T}(t-\vartheta(t))R_{2}\mathfrak{n}_{2}(t-\vartheta(t)) - \mathfrak{n}_{2}^{T}(t-\vartheta_{2})R_{3}\mathfrak{n}_{2}(t-\vartheta_{2}), \\ \mathcal{L}V_{3}(e_{t}) &= e^{T}(t)[\vartheta_{1}S_{1}+\vartheta_{2}_{1}S_{2}]e(t) - \int_{t-\vartheta_{1}}^{t} e^{T}(s)S_{1}e(s)ds - \int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t-\vartheta_{1}} e^{T}(s)S_{2}e(s)ds \\ &+ \varphi^{T}(t)[d^{2}S_{3}+\vartheta_{1}^{2}S_{4}+\vartheta_{2}^{2}_{1}S_{5}]\varphi(t) - d\int_{t-\vartheta}^{t} \varphi^{T}(s)S_{3}\varphi(s)ds \\ &- \vartheta_{1}\int_{t-\vartheta_{1}}^{t} \varphi^{T}(s)S_{4}\varphi(s)ds - \vartheta_{21}\int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t-\vartheta_{1}} \varphi^{T}(s)S_{5}\varphi(s)ds, \\ \mathcal{L}V_{4}(e_{t}) &= \mathfrak{z}^{T}(t)[\vartheta_{1}T_{1}+\vartheta_{2}T_{2} + \left(\frac{\vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)T_{3} + \left(\frac{\vartheta_{2}^{2}-\vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)T_{4} + \left(\frac{\vartheta_{1}^{3}}{6}\right)T_{5} \\ &+ \left(\frac{\vartheta_{2}^{3}-\vartheta_{1}^{3}}{6}\right)T_{6}[\mathfrak{z}(t) - \int_{t-\vartheta_{1}}^{t} \mathfrak{z}^{T}(s)T_{1}\mathfrak{z}(s)ds - \int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t-\vartheta_{1}}\mathfrak{z}^{T}(s)T_{2}\mathfrak{z}(s)ds \\ &- \Upsilon_{4}\mathfrak{z}^{T}(s)T_{5}\mathfrak{z}(s)dsd\chi d\rho - \Upsilon_{5}\mathfrak{z}^{T}(s)T_{6}\mathfrak{z}(s)dsd\chi d\rho, \\ \mathcal{L}V_{5}(e_{t}) &= \mathfrak{y}^{T}(t)[\vartheta_{1}Z_{1} + \vartheta_{2}T_{2} + \left(\frac{\vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)Z_{3} + \left(\frac{\vartheta_{2}^{2}-\vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)Z_{4} + \left(\frac{\vartheta_{1}^{3}}{6}\right)Z_{5} \\ &+ \left(\frac{\vartheta_{3}^{3}-\vartheta_{1}^{3}}{6}\right)Z_{6}[\mathfrak{y}(t) - \int_{t-\vartheta_{1}}^{t} \mathfrak{y}^{T}(s)Z_{1}\mathfrak{y}(s)dsd\rho, \\ - \Upsilon_{2}\mathfrak{y}^{T}(s)Z_{3}\mathfrak{y}(s)dsd\rho - \Upsilon_{3}\mathfrak{y}^{T}(s)Z_{4}\mathfrak{y}(s)dsd\rho \\ &- \Upsilon_{2}\mathfrak{y}^{T}(s)Z_{5}\mathfrak{y}(s)dsd\chi d\rho - \Upsilon_{3}\mathfrak{y}^{T}(s)Z_{4}\mathfrak{y}(s)dsd\rho, \\ - \Upsilon_{4}\mathfrak{y}^{T}(s)Z_{5}\mathfrak{y}(s)dsd\chi d\rho - \Upsilon_{5}\mathfrak{y}^{T}(s)Z_{4}\mathfrak{y}(s)dsd\rho, \\ \end{array}$$

By using Jensen's inequality implies

$$-d\int_{t-d}^{t} \varphi^{T}(s)S_{3}\varphi(s)ds \leq -\Upsilon_{1}^{T}S_{3}\Upsilon_{1},$$

$$-\vartheta_{1}\int_{t-\vartheta_{1}}^{t} \varphi^{T}(s)S_{4}\varphi(s)ds \leq -\Upsilon_{8}^{T}S_{4}\Upsilon_{8},$$

$$-\vartheta_{21}\int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t-\vartheta_{1}} \varphi^{T}(s)S_{5}\varphi(s)ds \leq -\Upsilon_{9}^{T}S_{5}\Upsilon_{9},$$

where $\Upsilon_8 = \int_{t-\vartheta_1}^t \varphi(s) ds$, $\Upsilon_9 = \int_{t-\vartheta_2}^{t-\vartheta_1} \varphi(s) ds$. To derive the stability criteria, zero equations with any matrices \mathcal{P} , \mathcal{Q} , \mathcal{R} , \mathcal{S} , \mathcal{T} , \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} of appropriate dimensions, to be chosen as follows,

$$\begin{split} 0 &= 2\eta_3^T(t)\mathcal{P}[e(t) - e(t - \vartheta_1) - \int_{t - \vartheta_1}^t \mathfrak{z}(s)ds - \int_{t - \vartheta_1}^t \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)], \\ 0 &= 2\eta_3^T(t)Q[e(t - \vartheta_1) - e(t - \vartheta(t)) - \int_{t - \vartheta(t)}^{t - \vartheta_1} \mathfrak{z}(s)ds - \int_{t - \vartheta(t)}^{t - \vartheta_1} \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)], \\ 0 &= 2\eta_3^T(t)\mathcal{R}[e(t - \vartheta(t)) - e(t - \vartheta_2) - \int_{t - \vartheta_2}^{t - \vartheta(t)} \mathfrak{z}(s)ds - \int_{t - \vartheta_2}^{t - \vartheta(t)} \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)], \\ 0 &= 2\eta_3^T(t)\mathcal{S}[\vartheta_1 e(t) - \int_{t - \vartheta_1}^t e(s)ds - \Upsilon_2 \mathfrak{z}(s)dsd\rho - \Upsilon_2 \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)d\rho], \\ 0 &= 2\eta_3^T(t)\mathcal{T}[\vartheta_{21}e(t) - \int_{t - \vartheta_2}^{t - \vartheta_1} e(s)ds - \Upsilon_3 \mathfrak{z}(s)dsd\rho - \Upsilon_3 \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)d\rho], \\ 0 &= 2\eta_3^T(t)\mathcal{U}[\left(\frac{\vartheta_1^2}{2}\right)e(t) - \Upsilon_2 e(s)dsd\rho - \Upsilon_4 \mathfrak{z}(s)dsd\chi d\rho - \Upsilon_4 \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)d\chi d\rho], \\ 0 &= 2\eta_3^T(t)\mathcal{V}[\left(\frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2}\right)e(t) - \Upsilon_3 e(s)dsd\rho - \Upsilon_5 \mathfrak{z}(s)dsd\chi d\rho - \Upsilon_5 \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)d\chi d\rho], \end{split}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\eta}_{3}^{T}(t) &= \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{e}^{T}(t) & \boldsymbol{e}^{T}(t-\vartheta(t)) \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{1}^{T} & \boldsymbol{\mathcal{P}}_{2}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{T}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}_{1}^{T} & \boldsymbol{\mathcal{Q}}_{2}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{T}, \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}} &= \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}}_{1}^{T} & \boldsymbol{\mathcal{R}}_{2}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{T}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1}^{T} & \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{T}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{1}^{T} & \boldsymbol{\mathcal{T}}_{2}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{T}, \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}} &= \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_{1}^{T} & \boldsymbol{\mathcal{U}}_{2}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{T}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{1}^{T} & \boldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}_{2}^{T} \end{bmatrix}^{T}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} &-2\eta_3^T(t)\mathscr{P}\int_{t-\vartheta_1}^t \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)\\ &\leq \eta_3^T(t)\mathscr{P}Z_1^{-1}\mathscr{P}^T\eta_3(t) + [\int_{t-\vartheta_1}^t \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)]^T Z_1[\int_{t-\vartheta_1}^t \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)],\\ &-2\eta_3^T(t)\mathcal{Q}\int_{t-\vartheta(t)}^{t-\vartheta_1} \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} &\leq \eta_{3}^{T}(t)QZ_{2}^{-1}Q^{T}\eta_{3}(t) + [\int_{t-\vartheta(t)}^{t-\vartheta_{1}} \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)]^{T}Z_{2}[\int_{t-\vartheta(t)}^{t-\vartheta_{1}} \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)], \\ &\quad -2\eta_{3}^{T}(t)\mathcal{R}_{*}\int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t-\vartheta(t)} \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s) \\ &\leq \eta_{3}^{T}(t)\mathcal{R}_{*}Z_{2}^{-1}\mathcal{R}_{*}^{T}\eta_{3}(t) + [\int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t-\vartheta(t)} \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)]^{T}Z_{2}[\int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t-\vartheta(t)} \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)], \\ &\quad -2\eta_{3}^{T}(t)\mathcal{R}_{*}Z_{2}^{-1}\mathcal{R}_{*}^{T}\eta_{3}(t) + [\int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t-\vartheta(t)} \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)]^{T}Z_{2}[\int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t-\vartheta(t)} \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)], \\ &\quad -2\eta_{3}^{T}(t)\mathcal{R}_{*}2\mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)d\rho \leq \vartheta_{1}\eta_{3}^{T}(t)\mathcal{S}Z_{3}^{-1}\mathcal{S}^{T}\eta_{3}(t) + \int_{-\vartheta_{1}}^{0} \Upsilon_{10}^{T}Z_{3}\Upsilon_{10}d\rho, \\ &\quad -2\eta_{3}^{T}(t)\mathcal{T}Y_{3}\mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)d\rho \\ \leq \vartheta_{21}\eta_{3}^{T}(t)\mathcal{T}Z_{4}^{-1}\mathcal{T}^{T}\eta_{3}(t) + \int_{-\vartheta_{1}}^{0} [\int_{-\vartheta_{2}}^{-\vartheta_{1}} \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)]^{T}Z_{4}[\int_{-\vartheta_{2}}^{-\vartheta_{1}} \mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)]d\rho, \\ &\quad -2\eta_{3}^{T}(t)\mathcal{T}Y_{4}\mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)d\chi d\rho \\ \leq \left(\frac{\vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)\eta_{3}^{T}(t)\mathcal{T}Z_{5}^{-1}\mathcal{U}^{T}\eta_{3}(t) + \int_{-\vartheta_{1}}^{0} \int_{\rho}^{0} \Upsilon_{10}^{T}Z_{5}\Upsilon_{10}d\chi d\rho, \\ &\quad -2\eta_{3}^{T}(t)\mathcal{T}Y_{5}\mathfrak{y}(s)dv(s)d\chi d\rho \\ \leq \left(\frac{\vartheta_{2}^{2}-\vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}\right)\eta_{3}^{T}(t)\mathcal{V}Z_{6}^{-1}\mathcal{V}^{T}\eta_{3}(t) + \int_{-\vartheta_{2}}^{-\vartheta_{1}} \int_{\rho}^{0} \Upsilon_{10}^{T}Z_{6}\Upsilon_{10}d\chi d\rho. \end{split}$$

where $\Upsilon_{10} = \int_{t+\rho}^{t} \mathfrak{y}(s) dv(s)$. From inequalities in Assumption (A2) and in (2.3), one can have

$$0 \leq \varepsilon_{1}[e^{T}(t)F^{T}Fe(t) - \varphi^{T}(t)\varphi(t)],$$

$$0 \leq \varepsilon_{2}[e^{T}(t - \vartheta(t))F^{T}Fe(t - \vartheta(t)) - \varphi^{T}(t - \vartheta(t))\varphi(t - \vartheta(t))],$$

$$0 \leq \varepsilon_{3}[e^{T}(t)L^{T}Le(t) - \psi^{T}(t)\psi(t)].$$
(3.4)

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\xi}^{T}(t) &= \begin{bmatrix} e^{T}(t) & e^{T}(t - \vartheta(t)) & e^{T}(t - \vartheta_{1}) & e^{T}(t - \vartheta_{2}) & \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{T}(t) \\ \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{T}(t - \vartheta(t)) & \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{T}(t - \vartheta_{1}) & \boldsymbol{\varphi}^{T}(t - \vartheta_{2}) & \boldsymbol{\psi}^{T}(t) & \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{1}^{T} & \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{8}^{T} & \boldsymbol{\Upsilon}_{9}^{T} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.3) to (3.4) and applying Schur complement and the $It\hat{o}$ isometry ([13]), one can deduce that

$$\mathcal{L}V(x_{t}) \leq \frac{6}{\vartheta_{1}^{4}} \int_{-\vartheta_{1}}^{0} \int_{\rho}^{0} \int_{t+\chi}^{t} \int_{-\vartheta_{1}}^{t} \xi^{T}(s) \widehat{\Pi}_{1}\xi(s) ds d\kappa d\chi d\rho + \left(\frac{6}{\vartheta_{21}(\vartheta_{2}^{3} - \vartheta_{1}^{3})}\right) \\ \times \int_{-\vartheta_{2}}^{-\vartheta_{1}} \int_{\rho}^{0} \int_{t+\chi}^{t} \int_{t-\vartheta(t)}^{t-\vartheta_{1}} \xi^{T}(s) \widehat{\Pi}_{2}\xi(s) ds d\sigma d\chi d\rho + \left(\frac{6}{\vartheta_{21}(\vartheta_{2}^{3} - \vartheta_{1}^{3})}\right) \\ \times \int_{-\vartheta_{2}}^{-\vartheta_{1}} \int_{\rho}^{0} \int_{t+\chi}^{t} \int_{t-\vartheta_{2}}^{t-\vartheta(t)} \xi^{T}(s) \widehat{\Pi}_{3}\xi(s) ds d\sigma d\chi d\rho.$$
(3.5)

From (3.5) and Schur's complement Lemma, it can be seen that the error system (2.4) is asymptotically stable.

Theorem 2. For given positive scalars $\vartheta_1, \vartheta_2, \mu, d$, the error-state system (2.4) of MSNN (2.2) and (2.3) is asymptotically stable if there exist matrices $\mathbb{P} > 0$, $R_j > 0$, (j = 1, 2, 3), $S_p > 0$, (p = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5), $T_q > 0$, $Z_q > 0$, q = (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6), G > 0, and any appropriate dimensional matrices \mathcal{P}_s , Q_s , \mathcal{R}_s , \mathcal{S}_s , \mathcal{T}_s , \mathcal{U}_s , (s = 1, 2), such that the following LMI hold for i = 1, 2, 3:

$$\widehat{\Xi}_i = \begin{bmatrix} \Xi_1 & \Xi_2^i \\ * & \Xi_3^i \end{bmatrix} < 0, \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\begin{split} \Xi_1 &\equiv \Xi_{r\times s} \quad where \; i = 1, 2, 3, \; r, s \; = 1, 2, \ldots, 12, \\ \Xi_{1,1} &= -P_{11}A - A_{11}^T + \alpha_2 P_{11} + R_{11}^1 + \vartheta_1 S_1 + \vartheta_{21} S_2 + \mathcal{P}_1 + \mathcal{P}_1^T + \vartheta_1 S_1 + \vartheta_1 S_1^T \\ &\quad + \vartheta_{21} \mathcal{T}_1^T + \vartheta_{21}^2 \mathcal{T}_1^T + \vartheta_2^2 \mathcal{T}_1^T + \vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2 \mathcal{V}_1 + \vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_2^2 \mathcal{V}_1^T \\ &\quad + \varepsilon_1 F^T F + \varepsilon_3 L^T L, \\ \Xi_{1,2} &= \Pi_{1,2}, \quad \Xi_{1,3} = \alpha_3 P_{11} - \alpha_2 P_{11} - \mathcal{P}_1 + Q_1, \quad \Xi_{1,4} = -\mathcal{R}_1 - \alpha_3 P_{11}, \\ \Xi_{1,5} &= P_{11} W_0 + \alpha_4 P_{11} + R_{12}^1, \quad \Xi_{1,6} = P_{11} W_1, \quad \Xi_{1,7} = \alpha_5 P_{11} - \alpha_4 P_{11} + P_{24}, \\ \Xi_{1,8} &= -\alpha_5 P_{11}, \quad \Xi_{1,9} = -GC, \quad \Xi_{1,10} = P_{11} W_2, \quad \Xi_{1,11} = -\alpha_4 A^T P_{11} + P_{24}, \\ \Xi_{1,12} &= -\alpha_5 A^T P_{11} + P_{25}, \quad \Xi_{2,2} = \Pi_{2,2}, \quad \Xi_{2,3} = \Pi_{2,3}, \quad \Xi_{2,4} = \Pi_{2,4}, \\ \Xi_{2,6} &= \Pi_{2,6}, \quad \Xi_{3,3} = \Pi_{3,3}, \quad \Xi_{3,7} = \Pi_{3,7}, \quad \Xi_{3,11} = \Pi_{3,11}, \quad \Xi_{3,12} = \Pi_{3,12}, \\ \Xi_{4,4} &= -R_{11}^3, \quad \Xi_{4,8} = -R_{12}^3, \quad \Xi_{4,11} = -P_{34}, \quad \Xi_{4,12} = -P_{35}, \\ \Xi_{5,5} &= R_{12}^1 + d^2 S_3 + \vartheta_1^2 S_4 + \vartheta_2^2 S_5 - \varepsilon_1, \quad \Xi_{5,11} = \alpha_4 W_0^T P_{11} + P_{44}, \\ \Xi_{5,12} &= \alpha_5 W_0^T P_{11} + P_{45}, \quad \Xi_{6,6} = -(1 - \mu) R_{22}^2 - \varepsilon_2, \quad \Xi_{6,11} = \alpha_4 W_1^T P_{11}, \\ \Xi_{6,12} &= \alpha_5 W_1^T P_{11}, \quad \Xi_{7,7} = -R_{12}^1 + R_{22}^2 + R_{23}^3, \quad \Xi_{7,11} = -P_{44} + P_{45}^T, \\ \Xi_{7,12} &= -P_{45} + P_{55}, \quad \Xi_{8,8} = -R_{22}^3, \quad \Xi_{8,11} = -P_{45}^T, \quad \Xi_{8,12} = -P_{55}, \\ \Xi_{9,9} &= -\varepsilon_3, \quad \Xi_{9,11} = -\alpha_4 C^T G^T, \quad \Xi_{9,12} = -\alpha_5 C^T G^T, \quad \Xi_{10,10} = -S_3, \\ \Xi_{10,11} &= \alpha_4 W_2^T P_{11}, \quad \Xi_{10,12} = \alpha_5 W_2^T P_{11}, \quad \Xi_{11,11} = -S_4, \quad \Xi_{12,12} = -S_5, \\ \Xi_{12}^1 &= \left[\Sigma_1 \quad \left(-\vartheta_1 \widetilde{P} - \vartheta_1^2 \widetilde{S} - \vartheta_1^3 \widetilde{\Omega} \widetilde{\Omega} \right) \quad \widetilde{E}^T P_{11} \quad \vartheta_1 \widetilde{A}^T P_{11} \quad \vartheta_1 \widetilde{E}^T P_{11} \\ \quad \vartheta_1^3 \widetilde{A}^T P_{11} \quad \vartheta_1^2 \widetilde{E}^T P_{11} \quad \vartheta_1^3 \widetilde{E}^T P_{11} \quad \vartheta_1 \widetilde{R}^T P_{11} \\ \vdots \\ \Xi_{2}^2 &= \left[\Sigma_2 \quad \left(-\vartheta_{21} \widetilde{Q} - \vartheta_{21}^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{T}} - \vartheta_{23}^3 - \vartheta_{13}^3 \widetilde{\mathcal{V}} \right) \quad \widetilde{E}^T P_{11} \quad \vartheta_{21} \widetilde{A}^T P_{11} \\ \vdots \\ \Xi_{2}^2 &= \left[\Sigma_2 \quad \left(-\vartheta_{21} \widetilde{Q} - \vartheta_{21}^2 \widetilde{\mathcal{T}} - \vartheta_{21}^3 - \vartheta_{13}^3 \widetilde{\mathcal{V}} \right) \quad \widetilde{E}^T P_{11} \quad \vartheta$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} \widehat{A}^T P_{11} & \frac{\vartheta_2^3 - \vartheta_1^3}{6} \widehat{A}^T P_{11} & \vartheta_{21} \widehat{E}^T P_{11} & \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} \widehat{E}^T \widehat{P}_{11} \\ \frac{\vartheta_2^3 - \vartheta_1^3}{6} \widehat{E}^T P_{11} & \widetilde{Q} - \vartheta_{21} \widetilde{T} & \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} \widetilde{V} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \Xi_2^3 &= \left[\Sigma_2 \left(-\vartheta_{21} \widetilde{K} - \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} \widetilde{T} - \frac{\vartheta_2^3 - \vartheta_1^3}{6} \widetilde{V} \right) \quad \widehat{E}^T P_{11} & \vartheta_{21} \widehat{A}^T P_{11} \\ \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} \widehat{A}^T P_{11} & \frac{\vartheta_2^3 - \vartheta_1^3}{6} \widehat{A}^T P_{11} & \vartheta_{21} \widehat{E}^T P_{11} & \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} \widehat{E}^T P_{11} & \frac{\vartheta_2^3 - \vartheta_1^3}{6} \widehat{E}^T P_{11} \\ \widetilde{\chi} & \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} \widehat{A}^T P_{11} & \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} \widetilde{V} \end{bmatrix}, \\ \Xi_3^1 &= \left[-\vartheta_1 S_1 \left(-\vartheta_1 T_1 - \frac{\vartheta_1^2}{2} T_3 - \frac{\vartheta_1^3}{6} T_5 \right) - P_{11} & \vartheta_1 (-2P_{11} + T_1) \\ \frac{\vartheta_1^2}{2} (-2P_{11} + T_3) & \frac{\vartheta_1^3}{6} (-2P_{11} + T_5) & \vartheta_1 (-2P_{11} + Z_1) & \frac{\vartheta_1^2}{2} (-2P_{11} + Z_3) \\ \frac{\vartheta_1^3}{6} (-2P_{11} + Z_5) - Z_1 - \vartheta_1 Z_3 - \frac{\vartheta_1^2}{2} Z_5 \right], \\ \Xi_3^2 &= \left[-\vartheta_{21} S_2 \left(-\vartheta_{21} T_2 - \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} T_4 - \frac{\vartheta_3^2 - \vartheta_1^3}{6} T_6 \right) - P_{11} & \vartheta_{21} (-2P_{11} + T_2) \\ \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} (-2P_{11} + T_4) & \frac{\vartheta_3^2 - \vartheta_1^3}{6} (-2P_{11} + T_6) & \vartheta_{21} (-2P_{11} + T_2) \\ \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} (-2P_{11} + Z_4) & \frac{\vartheta_2^3 - \vartheta_1^3}{6} (-2P_{11} + Z_6) \\ - Z_2 - \vartheta_{21} Z_4 - \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} Z_6 \right], \\ \Xi_3^3 &= \left[-\vartheta_{21} S_2 \left(-\vartheta_{21} T_2 - \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} T_4 - \frac{\vartheta_2^3 - \vartheta_1^3}{6} T_6 \right) - P_{11} & \vartheta_{21} (-2P_{11} + T_2) \\ \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} (-2P_{11} + Z_4) & \frac{\vartheta_2^3 - \vartheta_1^3}{6} (-2P_{11} + Z_6) \\ - Z_2 - \vartheta_{21} Z_4 - \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} Z_6 \right], \\ \Xi_3^3 &= \left[-\vartheta_{21} S_2 \left(-\vartheta_{21} T_2 - \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} T_4 - \frac{\vartheta_2^3 - \vartheta_1^3}{6} (-2P_{11} + T_6) & \vartheta_{21} (-2P_{11} + Z_2) \right] \\ \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} (-2P_{11} + Z_4) & \frac{\vartheta_2^3 - \vartheta_1^3}{6} (-2P_{11} + T_6) \\ - Z_2 - \vartheta_{21} Z_4 - \frac{\vartheta_2^2 - \vartheta_1^2}{2} Z_6 \right], \\ \Sigma_1 &= \left[\left(\vartheta_1 P_{22} - \varkappa_2 \vartheta_1 A^T P_{11} - \vartheta_1 S_1 - \frac{\vartheta_1^2}{2} U_1 \right) \\ \left(-\vartheta_1 P_{22} + \vartheta_1 P_{23}^2 \right) - \vartheta_1 P_{23}^2 \left(\varkappa_2 \vartheta_1 W_0^T P_{11} + \vartheta_1 P_{24}^2 \right) \\ (-\vartheta_1 P_{22} + \vartheta_1 P_{23}^2 \right) - \vartheta_1 P_{23}^2 \left(\vartheta_1 \vartheta_1 \vartheta_1 \right] \right]$$

R. SARAVANAKUMAR AND H. DUTTA

$$\begin{array}{l} \left(-\vartheta_{1}P_{24}^{T}+\vartheta_{1}P_{25}^{T}\right) \ -\vartheta_{1}P_{25}^{T} \ -\alpha_{2}\vartheta_{1}C^{T}G^{T} \ \alpha_{2}\vartheta_{1}W_{2}^{T}P_{11} \ 0 \ 0\right], \\ \Sigma_{2} = \left[\left(\vartheta_{21}P_{23}-\alpha_{3}\vartheta_{21}A^{T}P_{11}-\vartheta_{21}\mathcal{T}_{1}-\frac{\vartheta_{2}^{2}-\vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}\mathcal{V}_{1}\right) \\ \left(-\vartheta_{21}\mathcal{T}_{2}-\frac{\vartheta_{2}^{2}-\vartheta_{1}^{2}}{2}\mathcal{V}_{2}\right) \ \left(\vartheta_{21}P_{33}-\vartheta_{21}P_{23}\right) \ -\vartheta_{21}P_{33} \\ \left(\alpha_{3}\vartheta_{21}W_{0}^{T}P_{11}+\vartheta_{21}P_{34}^{T}\right) \ \alpha_{3}\vartheta_{21}W_{1}^{T}P_{11} \ \left(\vartheta_{21}P_{35}^{T}-\vartheta_{21}P_{34}^{T}\right) \ -\vartheta_{21}P_{35}^{T} \\ -\alpha_{3}\vartheta_{21}C^{T}G^{T} \ \alpha_{3}\vartheta_{21}W_{2}^{T}P_{11} \ 0 \ 0\right], \\ \widehat{E} = \left[E \ E_{1} \ 0 \ 0 \ H_{0} \ H_{1} \ 0 \ 0 \ H_{2} \ 0 \ 0\right], \end{array}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 & 0 & W_0 & W_1 & 0 & 0 & -P_{11}^{-1}GC & W_2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, the gain matrix K of the state estimator of (2.4) can be designed as $K = P_{11}^{-1}G$.

Proof. Defining $P_{11}K = G$, $P_{1y} = \alpha_y P_{11}$, (y = 2, 3, 4, 5). Pre and post multiplying 15 times Π_1 in (3.1) by diag{ $\overline{I, \ldots, I}$, $P_{11}T_1^{-1}, P_{11}T_3^{-1}, P_{11}T_5^{-1}, P_{11}Z_1^{-1}$, 15 times $P_{11}Z_3^{-1}, P_{11}Z_5^{-1}, I, I, I$ } and diag{ $\overline{I, \ldots, I}$, $T_1^{-1}P_{11}, T_3^{-1}P_{11}, T_5^{-1}P_{11}, Z_1^{-1}P_{11}$, $Z_3^{-1}P_{11}, Z_5^{-1}P_{11}, I, I, I$ } respectively. As well as pre and post multiplying Π_2, Π_3 in 15 times (3.1) by diag{ $\overline{I, \ldots, I}$, $P_{11}T_2^{-1}, P_{11}T_4^{-1}, P_{11}T_6^{-1}, P_{11}Z_2^{-1}, P_{11}Z_4^{-1}$, 15 times $P_{11}Z_6^{-1}, I, I, I$ } and diag{ $\overline{I, \ldots, I}$, $T_2^{-1}P_{11}, T_4^{-1}P_{11}, T_6^{-1}P_{11}, Z_2^{-1}P_{11}, Z_4^{-1}P_{11},$ $Z_6^{-1}P_{11}, I, I, I$ } respectively. Then, through the inequalities (see [1]) $(-2P_{11} + T_q) \ge -P_{11}T_q^{-1}P_{11}, (-2P_{11} + Z_q) \ge -P_{11}Z_q^{-1}P_{11}, (q = 1, 2, \ldots, 6),$ Ξ_i , (i=1,2,3) in (3.6) can be obtained. From Theorem 1, the error system (2.4) is

 Ξ_i , (1=1,2,3) in (3.6) can be obtained. From Theorem 1, the error system (2.4) is asymptotically stable.

4. EXAMPLES

A numerical example is given in this section. For convenience, denote $f_{ij}(t) = f_j(x_j(t)) - x_i(t)$, $f_{ij}(t - \vartheta(t)) = f_j(x_j(t - \vartheta(t))) - x_i(t)$ and $f_{ij}(t - d(t)) = \int_{t-d(t)}^t f_j(x_j(s)) ds - x_i(t)$).

Example 1. Consider a two-dimensional MSNNs (2.2):

$$w_{11}^{0}(t) = \begin{cases} -0.20, & f_{11}(t) \downarrow, s \in (t-\rho, t]; \\ 0.17, & f_{11}(t) \uparrow, s \in (t-\rho, t]; \\ \lim_{s \to t^{-}} w_{11}^{0}(s), & f_{11}(s) \text{ unchage } s \in (t-\rho, t], \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} w_{12}^{0}(t) &= \begin{cases} 0.15, &f_{12}(t)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ -0.19, &f_{12}(t)\uparrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{12}^{0}(s), &f_{12}(s) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ \end{cases} \\ w_{21}^{0}(t) &= \begin{cases} 0.30, &f_{21}(t)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ 0.12, &f_{21}(t)\uparrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{21}^{0}(s), &f_{21}(s) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ \end{cases} \\ w_{22}^{0}(t) &= \begin{cases} 0.10, &f_{22}(t)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ -0.45, &f_{22}(t)\uparrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{22}^{0}(s), &f_{22}(s) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ \end{cases} \\ w_{11}^{1}(t) &= \begin{cases} 0.15, &f_{11}(t-0.7)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{11}^{1}(s), &f_{11}(t-0.7)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{11}^{1}(s), &f_{12}(t-0.7)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{12}^{1}(s), &f_{21}(t-0.7)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{12}^{1}(s), &f_{21}(s-0.7)\text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ \end{cases} \\ w_{21}^{1}(t) &= \begin{cases} 0.25, &f_{22}(t-0.7)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{21}^{1}(s), &f_{21}(s-0.7)\text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{21}^{1}(s), &f_{22}(s-0.7)\text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{21}^{1}(s), &f_{22}(s-0.7)\text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \\ w_{21}^{1}(t) &= \begin{cases} 0.20, &f_{11}(t-0.2)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{21}^{1}(s), &f_{11}(s-0.7)\text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \\ w_{11}^{2}(t) &= \begin{cases} 0.20, &f_{11}(t-0.2)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{11}^{2}(s), &f_{12}(s-0.2)\text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \\ w_{12}^{2}(t) &= \begin{cases} -0.01, &f_{12}(t-0.2)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{12}^{2}(s), &f_{12}(s-0.2)\text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \\ w_{12}^{2}(t) &= \begin{cases} 0.30, &f_{21}(t-0.2)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{12}^{2}(s), &f_{21}(s-0.2)\text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{21}^{2}(s), &f_{21}(s-0.2)\text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \\ \\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{21}^{2}(s), &f_{21}(s-0.2)\text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \\ \\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{21}^{2}(s), &f_{21}(s-0.2)\text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \\ \\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{21}^{2}(s), &f_{21}(s-0.2)\text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \\ \\ \lim_{s\to t^{-}} w_{21}^{2}(s), &f_{21}(s-0.2)\text{ unc$$

$$\begin{split} w_{22}^2(t) &= \begin{cases} 0.40, &f_{22}(t-0.2)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ 0.14, &f_{22}(t-0.2)\uparrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^-} w_{22}^2(s), &f_{22}(t-0.2) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ h_{11}^0(t) &= \begin{cases} 0.12, &f_{11}(t)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ -0.20, &f_{11}(t)\uparrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^-} h_{11}^0(s), &f_{11}(s) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ \end{bmatrix}\\ h_{12}^0(t) &= \begin{cases} 0.02, &f_{12}(t)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ -0.21, &f_{12}(t)\uparrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^-} h_{12}^0(s), &f_{12}(s) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ \end{cases}\\ h_{21}^0(t) &= \begin{cases} 0.30, &f_{21}(t)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ 0.25, &f_{21}(t)\uparrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^-} h_{21}^0(s), &f_{22}(s) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ \end{cases}\\ h_{21}^0(t) &= \begin{cases} -0.09, &f_{22}(t)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^-} h_{21}^0(s), &f_{22}(s) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ 102, &f_{22}(t)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^-} h_{21}^0(s), &f_{22}(s) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ \end{cases}\\ h_{11}^1(t) &= \begin{cases} -0.09, &f_{22}(t)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^-} h_{21}^0(s), &f_{22}(s) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ -0.03, &f_{11}(t-0.7)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^-} h_{11}^1(s), &f_{11}(s-0.7) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ h_{12}^1(t) &= \begin{cases} 0.20, &f_{12}(t-0.7)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^-} h_{12}^1(s), &f_{21}(s-0.7) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ 1\lim_{s\to t^-} h_{12}^1(s), &f_{21}(s-0.7) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ -0.10, &f_{21}(t-0.7)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^-} h_{21}^1(s), &f_{21}(s-0.7) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ h_{21}^1(t) &= \begin{cases} 0.20, &f_{22}(t-0.7)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^-} h_{21}^1(s), &f_{21}(s-0.7) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ \lim_{s\to t^-} h_{21}^1(s), &f_{22}(s-0.7) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ h_{21}^1(t) &= \begin{cases} 0.20, &f_{22}(t-0.7)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^-} h_{21}^1(s), &f_{21}(s-0.7) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ h_{11}^1(t) &= \begin{cases} 0.20, &f_{22}(t-0.7)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^-} h_{21}^1(s), &f_{22}(s-0.7) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t],\\ h_{11}^1(t) &= \begin{cases} 0.22, &f_{11}(t-0.2)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^-} h_{21}^1(s), &f_{22}(s-0.7) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^-} h_{21}^1(s), &f_{22}(s-0.7) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^-} h_{21}^1(s), &f_{11}(s-0.2)\downarrow, s\in(t-\rho,t];\\ \lim_{s\to t^-} h_{11}^1(s), &f_{11}(s-0.2) \text{ unchage } s\in(t-\rho,t]; \end{cases}$$

$$h_{12}^{2}(t) = \begin{cases} -0.10, & f_{12}(t-0.2) \downarrow, s \in (t-\rho,t]; \\ 0.73, & f_{12}(t-0.2) \uparrow, s \in (t-\rho,t]; \\ \lim_{s \to t^{-}} h_{12}^{2}(s), & f_{12}(s-0.2) \text{ unchage } s \in (t-\rho,t], \end{cases}$$

$$h_{21}^{2}(t) = \begin{cases} 0.10, & f_{21}(t-0.2) \downarrow, s \in (t-\rho,t]; \\ -0.26, & f_{21}(t-0.2) \uparrow, s \in (t-\rho,t]; \\ \lim_{s \to t^{-}} h_{21}^{2}(s), & f_{21}(s-0.2) \text{ unchage } s \in (t-\rho,t], \end{cases}$$

$$h_{22}^{2}(t) = \begin{cases} 0.50, & f_{22}(t-0.2) \downarrow, s \in (t-\rho,t]; \\ -0.14, & f_{22}(t-0.2) \uparrow, s \in (t-\rho,t]; \\ \lim_{s \to t^{-}} h_{22}^{2}(s), & f_{22}(s-0.2) \text{ unchage } s \in (t-\rho,t], \end{cases}$$

with the activation function $f_j(x_j(\cdot)), j = 1, 2$. and L = 0.5I, F = I, C = 0.5I, $a_1 = 2, a_2 = 1, be_1^0 = 0.2, e_2^0 = 0.3, e_{11}^1 = 0.1, e_{12}^1 = -0.1, e_{21}^1 = -0.05, e_{22}^1 = 0.05$, then, by solving the LMI in Theorem 2 with $d = 0.2, \vartheta_1 = 0.2, \vartheta_2 = 0.7, \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = \alpha_5 = 0.1$, and $\mu = 0.5$ the estimator gain matrix is obtained as K = [0.2283, -0.0035; 0.0577, 0.0808], which verifies the feasibility of Theorem 2.

5. CONCLUSION

In this article, the state estimation problem for MSNNs with mixed variable delays via a new quadruple stochastic integral LKF has been investigated. A novel state estimation analysis have been considered in terms of LMIs. A desired state estimator gain matrix is obtained by solving LMIs. An example is provided to explain the usefulness of the proposed approach. In the future, it is possible to extend this results to the delayed bidirectional associative memory stochastic NNs.

REFERENCES

- M. S. Ali and P. Balasubramaniam, "Global asymptotic stability of stochastic fuzzy cellular neural networks with multiple discrete and distributed time-varying delays," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 16, no. 7, pp. 2907–2916, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.cnsns.2010.10.011.
- [2] H. Bao, J. H. Park, and J. Cao, "Exponential synchronization of coupled stochastic memristorbased neural networks with time-varying probabilistic delay coupling and impulsive delay," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 190–201, 2015, doi: 10.1109/TNNLS.2015.2475737.
- [3] J. Cao and J. Wang, "Global exponential stability and periodicity of recurrent neural networks with time delays," *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems I: Regular Papers*, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 920–931, 2005, doi: 10.1109/TCSI.2005.846211.
- [4] A. Chandrasekar, R. Rakkiyappan, J. Cao, and S. Lakshmanan, "Synchronization of memristorbased recurrent neural networks with two delay components based on second-order reciprocally convex approach," *Neural Networks*, vol. 57, pp. 79–93, 2014, doi: 10.1016/j.neunet.2014.06.001.
- [5] L. Chua, "Resistance switching memories are memristors," in *Handbook of memristor networks*. Springer, 2019, pp. 197–230, doi: 10.1007/s00339-011-6264-9.

R. SARAVANAKUMAR AND H. DUTTA

- [6] F. Deng, M. Hua, X. Liu, Y. Peng, and J. Fei, "Robust delay-dependent exponential stability for uncertain stochastic neural networks with mixed delays," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 74, no. 10, pp. 1503–1509, 2011, doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2010.08.027.
- [7] Z. Guo, J. Wang, and Z. Yan, "Attractivity analysis of memristor-based cellular neural networks with time-varying delays," *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 704–717, 2013, doi: 10.1109/TNNLS.2013.2280556.
- [8] Z. Guo, J. Wang, and Z. Yan, "Passivity and passification of memristor-based recurrent neural networks with time-varying delays," *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, vol. 25, no. 11, pp. 2099–2109, 2014, doi: 10.1109/TNNLS.2014.2305440.
- [9] H. Huang, G. Feng, and J. Cao, "State estimation for static neural networks with time-varying delay," *Neural Networks*, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 1202–1207, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.neunet.2010.07.001.
- [10] H. Huang, T. Huang, and X. Chen, "Further result on guaranteed H_∞ performance state estimation of delayed static neural networks," *IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems*, vol. 26, no. 6, pp. 1335–1341, 2014, doi: 10.1109/TNNLS.2014.2334511.
- [11] S. Lakshmanan, J. H. Park, D. Ji, H. Jung, and G. Nagamani, "State estimation of neural networks with time-varying delays and Markovian jumping parameter based on passivity theory," *Nonlinear Dynamics*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 1421–1434, 2012, doi: 10.1007/s11071-012-0544-6.
- [12] N. Li and J. Cao, "New synchronization criteria for memristor-based networks: adaptive control and feedback control schemes," *Neural Networks*, vol. 61, pp. 1–9, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.neunet.2014.08.015.
- [13] X. Mao, Stochastic differential equations and applications. Elsevier, 2007.
- [14] Z. Meng and Z. Xiang, "Stability analysis of stochastic memristor-based recurrent neural networks with mixed time-varying delays," *Neural Computing and Applications*, vol. 28, no. 7, pp. 1787– 1799, 2017, doi: 10.1007/s00521-015-2146-y.
- [15] S. B. Pandu, T. Santhanakrishnan, C. K. Sundarabalan, V. Venkatachalam *et al.*, "Stability analysis and region in control parameter space of thermal system with constant delays," *Journal of Control Engineering and Applied Informatics*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 24–31, 2021.
- [16] R. Saravanakumar, M. S. Ali, J. Cao, and H. Huang, "H_∞ state estimation of generalised neural networks with interval time-varying delays," *International Journal of Systems Science*, vol. 47, no. 16, pp. 3888–3899, 2016, doi: 10.1080/00207721.2015.1135359.
- [17] R. Saravanakumar, M. S. Ali, and M. Hua, "H_∞ state estimation of stochastic neural networks with mixed time-varying delays," *Soft Computing*, vol. 20, no. 9, pp. 3475–3487, 2016, doi: 10.1007/s00500-015-1901-4.
- [18] Y. Song and S. Wen, "Synchronization control of stochastic memristor-based neural networks with mixed delays," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 156, pp. 121–128, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2014.12.077.
- [19] H. Tan, M. Hua, J. Chen, and J. Fei, "Stability analysis of stochastic Markovian switching static neural networks with asynchronous mode-dependent delays," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 151, pp. 864– 872, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.neucom.2014.10.009.
- [20] T. Wang, Y. Ding, L. Zhang, and K. Hao, "Delay-dependent exponential state estimators for stochastic neural networks of neutral type with both discrete and distributed delays," *International Journal of Systems Science*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 670–680, 2015, doi: 10.1080/00207721.2013.794908.
- [21] H. Wei, R. Li, and C. Chen, "State estimation for memristor-based neural networks with timevarying delays," *International Journal of Machine Learning and Cybernetics*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 213–225, 2015, doi: 10.1007/s13042-014-0257-x.

- [22] X. Yang, J. Cao, and J. Qiu, "Pth moment exponential stochastic synchronization of coupled memristor-based neural networks with mixed delays via delayed impulsive control," *Neural Networks*, vol. 65, pp. 80–91, 2015, doi: 10.1016/j.neunet.2015.01.008.
- [23] Y. Yue, Y. Geng, W. Wang, and K. Kwangho, "Robust finite time extended state observer design for a class of systems with time-varying disturbances," *Journal of Control Engineering and Applied Informatics*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 15–23, 2021.
- [24] G. Zhang, Y. Shen, Q. Yin, and J. Sun, "Passivity analysis for memristor-based recurrent neural networks with discrete and distributed delays," *Neural Networks*, vol. 61, pp. 49–58, 2015, doi: doi.org/10.1016/j.neunet.2014.10.004.

Authors' addresses

Ramasamy Saravanakumar

Graduate School of Advanced Science and Engineering, Hiroshima University, 1-4-1 Kagamiyama, Higashi-Hiroshima, 739-8527 Japan

E-mail address: saravanamaths30@gmail.com

Hemen Dutta

(Corresponding author) Department of Mathematics, Gauhati University, Guwahati, 781014 Assam, India

E-mail address: hemen_dutta08@rediffmail.com