



Miskolc Mathematical Notes  
Vol. 13 (2012), No 2, pp. 569-580

HU e-ISSN 1787-2413  
DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2012.397

# Characterizations of Rad-supplemented modules

*Ergül Türkmen and Ali Pancar*



## CHARACTERIZATIONS OF Rad-SUPPLEMENTED MODULES

ERGÜL TÜRKMEN AND ALİ PANCAR

*Received 15 September, 2011*

*Abstract.* We prove that a commutative ring  $R$  is an artinian principal ideal ring if and only if the ring is semilocal and every Rad-supplemented  $R$ -module is a direct sum of w-local  $R$ -modules. Moreover, we study of extensions of Rad-supplemented modules over commutative noetherian rings, and we show that if  $\frac{M}{N}$  is reduced,  $M$  is Rad-supplemented if and only if  $N$  and  $\frac{M}{N}$  are Rad-supplemented. We also prove that over a dedekind domain an indecomposable, amply Rad-supplemented radical module is hollow radical.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 16G10; 16D10; 16D99

*Keywords:* Rad-supplement, Rad-supplemented module, extension, semilocal ring, artinian principal ideal ring

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this note  $R$  will be an associative ring with identity. Unless otherwise mentioned, all modules will be unital left  $R$ -modules. Let  $R$  be such a ring and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. The notation  $N \subseteq M$  means that  $N$  is a submodule of  $M$ . A submodule  $S$  of  $M$  is called *small* in  $M$ , denoted by  $S \ll M$ , if  $S + N \neq M$  for every proper submodule  $N$  of  $M$ . We denote by  $\text{Rad}(M)$  the radical of  $M$ . A non-zero module  $M$  is called *hollow* if every proper submodule of  $M$  is small in  $M$ , and it is called *local* if it is hollow and  $\text{Rad}(M)$  is a maximal submodule of  $M$ . Let  $M$  be a module.  $M$  is called *supplemented* if every submodule  $N$  of  $M$  has a *supplement*, that is a submodule  $K$  of  $M$  minimal with respect to  $N + K = M$ . Equivalently,  $N + K = M$  and  $N \cap K \ll K$  ([12]). Following [12],  $M$  is called *amply supplemented* if, for any two submodules  $U$  and  $V$  of  $M$  with  $U + V = M$ ,  $V$  contains a supplement of  $U$  in  $M$ . Clearly, hollow modules are amply supplemented and amply supplemented modules are supplemented.

Recall from Lomp [7] that a module  $M$  is said to be *semilocal* if  $\frac{M}{\text{Rad}(M)}$  is semisimple, and a ring  $R$  is said to be *semilocal* if it is semilocal as a left (right) module over itself. It is shown in [7, Theorem 3.5] that a ring  $R$  is semilocal if and only if every left  $R$ -module is semilocal.

As a proper generalization of supplemented modules, the notion of Rad-supplemented modules, which has been introduced by Xue [13], has been studied recently

(see [1, 4, 5]). Let  $M$  be a module and  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ . A submodule  $K$  of  $M$  is called a *Rad-supplement* of  $N$  in  $M$  (according to [13], *generalized supplement*) if  $N + K = M$  and  $N \cap K \subseteq \text{Rad}(K)$ . Since  $\text{Rad}(K)$  is the sum of all small submodules of  $K$ , every supplement submodule is a Rad-supplement in  $M$ . A module  $M$  is called *Rad-supplemented* (according to [13], *generalized supplemented*) if every submodule  $N$  of  $M$  has a Rad-supplement  $K$  in  $M$ , and it is called *amply Rad-supplemented* (according to [13], *generalized amply supplemented*) if every submodule  $N$  of  $M$  has *ample* Rad-supplements in  $M$ , i. e.,  $N + L = M$  implies that  $N$  has a Rad-supplement  $K \subseteq L$ . In [5], the various properties of Rad-supplemented modules are extensively studied. In addition, it is shown in [1, 2.2.(2) and 2.3.(3)] that factor modules of a Rad-supplemented module and finite sums of Rad-supplemented modules are Rad-supplemented. It is of obvious interest to investigate extensions and characterizations of Rad-supplemented modules. This is the focus of our investigations in this paper.

Let  $\Gamma$  be a class of modules and let  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$  be any short exact sequence. Here  $M$  is an *extension* of  $N$  by  $K$  and  $\Gamma$  is called *closed under extensions* if  $N, K \in \Gamma$  implies  $M \in \Gamma$ . It is clear that, for modules  $N \subseteq M$ ,  $M$  is an extension of  $N$ .

In this article, we prove that a commutative ring  $R$  is an artinian principal ideal ring if and only if the ring is semilocal and every Rad-supplemented  $R$ -module is a direct sum of  $w$ -local  $R$ -modules if and only if every left  $R$ -module is a direct sum of  $w$ -local  $R$ -modules. We give a characterization of semisimple rings via Rad-supplements. We show that a semilocal ring  $R$  is left perfect if and only if every Rad-supplemented module is (generalized) semiperfect. Some examples are given in order to show that the class of Rad-supplemented modules is not generally closed under extensions. Let  $R$  be a commutative noetherian ring and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module with  $N \subseteq M$ . If  $\frac{M}{N}$  is reduced,  $M$  is Rad-supplemented if and only if  $N$  and  $\frac{M}{N}$  are Rad-supplemented. It follows that a ring  $R$  is semilocal if and only if every left  $R$ -module with Rad-supplemented radical is Rad-supplemented. Over a dedekind domain a radical module is amply Rad-supplemented and indecomposable if and only if the module is hollow radical. Every indecomposable,  $w$ -local and amply Rad-supplemented module over a dedekind domain is local.

## 2. Rad-SUPPLEMENTED MODULES OVER ANY RINGS

Let  $R$  be any ring and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. A submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is called *radical* if  $N$  has no maximal submodules, i.e.  $N = \text{Rad}(N)$ . Note that radical modules are Rad-supplemented. This fact plays a key role in our study. By  $P(M)$  we denote the sum of all radical submodule of a module  $M$ . It is clear that, for any module  $M$ ,  $P(M)$  is the largest radical submodule and so  $P(M)$  is Rad-supplemented. Using the mentioned facts, we give examples of a module, which is Rad-supplemented but not supplemented. We see, for example, the left  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M =_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ .

Firstly we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $M$  be a module and  $N \subseteq U \subseteq M$ . Then  $U$  is Rad-supplemented if and only if  $\frac{U}{P(N)}$  is Rad-supplemented.*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $U$  be Rad-supplemented. By [1, 2.2 (2)],  $\frac{U}{P(N)}$  is Rad-supplemented as a factor module of  $U$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $U'$  be any submodule of  $U$ . By the assumption, there exists a submodule  $\frac{V}{P(N)}$  of  $\frac{U}{P(N)}$  such that  $\frac{U'+P(N)}{P(N)} + \frac{V}{P(N)} = \frac{U}{P(N)}$  and

$$\left(\frac{U'+P(N)}{P(N)}\right) \cap \left(\frac{V}{P(N)}\right) \subseteq \text{Rad}\left(\frac{V}{P(N)}\right).$$

Then  $(U' + P(N)) + V = U$  and hence  $U' + V = U$ . Since  $P(N) = \text{Rad}(P(N)) \subseteq \text{Rad}(V)$ , it follows that  $\frac{U' \cap V + P(N)}{P(N)} = \frac{(U'+P(N)) \cap V}{P(N)} = \left(\frac{U'+P(N)}{P(N)}\right) \cap \left(\frac{V}{P(N)}\right) \subseteq \text{Rad}\left(\frac{V}{P(N)}\right) = \frac{\text{Rad}(V)}{P(N)}$ , which means that  $U' \cap V \subseteq \text{Rad}(V)$ . So  $V$  is a Rad-supplement of  $U'$  in  $U$ . Hence  $U$  is Rad-supplemented.  $\square$

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $M$  be a module and  $N$  be a submodule of  $M$ .  $M$  is Rad-supplemented if and only if  $\frac{M}{P(N)}$  is Rad-supplemented. In particular,  $M$  is Rad-supplemented if and only if  $\frac{M}{P(M)}$  is Rad-supplemented.*

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 1.  $\square$

Recall from [5, Corollary 4.2] that if a submodule  $V$  of a module  $M$  is a Rad-supplement in  $M$ , then  $\text{Rad}(V) = V \cap \text{Rad}(M)$ .

Now we shall show that the rings whose modules are Rad-supplement submodules in every extension are semisimple in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $R$  be any ring. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $R$  is semisimple.
- (2) Every left  $R$ -module is a Rad-supplement in every extension.
- (3) Every left  $R$ -module is a Rad-supplement in every injective extension.
- (4) Every left ideal of  $R$  is a Rad-supplement in every injective extension.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $N$  be an  $R$ -module and  $M$  be any extension of  $N$ . By the hypothesis and [6, Corollary 8.2.2 (a)],  $M$  is semisimple, and so  $N$  is a direct summand of  $M$ . It follows that  $N$  is a Rad-supplement in  $M$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4) Clear.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $I$  be any left ideal of  $R$ . By the hypothesis,  $I$  is a Rad-supplement in its injective hull  $E(I)$ . Then we have  $I + J = E(I)$  and  $I \cap J \subseteq \text{Rad}(I)$  for some submodule  $J \subseteq E(I)$ . If  $m \in I \cap J$ , then  $Rm \subseteq \text{Rad}(I) \subseteq \text{Rad}(E(I))$ . By (4),  $Rm$  is a Rad-supplement in  $E(I)$  and so  $\text{Rad}(Rm) = Rm \cap \text{Rad}(E(I)) = Rm$ .

Therefore  $m = 0$ . This means that  $I \oplus J = E(I)$  and so  $I$  is injective, and hence a direct summand of  $R$ . By [6, Corollary 8.2.2 (a)],  $R$  is semisimple.  $\square$

A ring  $R$  is Rad-supplemented if  ${}_R R$  (or  $R_R$ ) is a Rad-supplemented module. It is clear that semiperfect (i.e., supplemented) rings are Rad-supplemented. Characterizations of semiperfect rings have been studied extensively by many authors recently. Now we shall give a characterization of Rad-supplemented rings. Firstly, we need the following simple lemmas.

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $R$  be any ring with identity. Then  $R$  is Rad-supplemented if and only if every cyclic  $R$ -module is Rad-supplemented.*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be a Rad-supplemented ring. Suppose that  $M$  is any cyclic  $R$ -module. Then there exists an element  $m$  of  $M$  such that  $M = Rm$ . Note that  $\frac{R}{\text{Ann}(m)} \cong Rm$ , where  $\text{Ann}(m)$  is the set of all elements  $r$  of  $R$  such that  $rm = 0$ . From [1, 2.2.(2)] the hypothesis implies that  $\frac{R}{\text{Ann}(m)}$  is Rad-supplemented and so  $Rm$  is Rad-supplemented. The converse is clear.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $M$  be a module with  $U + V = M$  for submodules  $U, V$  of  $M$ . If  $V$  contains a Rad-supplement of  $U$  in  $M$ , then  $U \cap V$  has a Rad-supplement in  $V$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that a submodule  $K$  of  $V$  is a Rad-supplement of  $U$  in  $M$ . Then, we have  $U + K = M$  and  $U \cap K \subseteq \text{Rad}(K)$ . From the modular law,  $U \cap V + K = V$ . Since  $K \subseteq V$ , then  $(U \cap V) \cap K = U \cap K \subseteq \text{Rad}(K)$ . So  $K$  is a Rad-supplement of  $U \cap V$  in  $V$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** *The following statements are equivalent for any ring  $R$ .*

- (1)  $R$  is Rad-supplemented.
- (2)  $R$  has ample Rad-supplements in every finitely generated extension.
- (3) Every cyclic  $R$ -module has ample Rad-supplements in every finitely generated extension.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $N$  be any cyclic  $R$ -module and  $M$  be any finitely generated extension of  $N$ . Since  $R$  is Rad-supplemented, by Lemma 2, every cyclic submodule of  $M$  is Rad-supplemented and so  $M$  is amply Rad-supplemented by [11, Corollary 3.6]. Therefore  $N$  has ample Rad-supplements in  $M$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) It is obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) For any left ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , consider the finitely generated pushout  $R$ -module  $N = \frac{R \oplus R}{K}$ , where  $K$  is the set of all elements  $k$  of  $R \oplus R$  such that  $k = (r, -r)$  for all  $r \in I$ . Then there exist monomorphisms  $f, g : R \rightarrow N$  such that  $N = f(R) + g(R)$ . The hypothesis implies that  $f(R)$  has a Rad-supplement  $V$  in  $N$  with  $V \subseteq g(R)$ . So, by Lemma 3,  $V$  is a Rad-supplement of  $f(R) \cap g(R)$  in  $g(R)$ . Note that  $I = g^{-1}(f(R) \cap g(R))$ . It follows that  $R = I + g^{-1}(V)$  and  $I \cap g^{-1}(V) \subseteq \text{Rad}(g^{-1}(V))$ . Hence  $R$  is Rad-supplemented.  $\square$

We say that a module  $M$  *w-local* if  $\text{Rad}(M)$  is a maximal submodule of  $M$  as in [4]. Every local module is *w-local*. It is well known that a commutative ring  $R$  has the property that every  $R$ -module is a direct sum of local  $R$ -modules if and only if  $R$  is an artinian principal ideal ring. Now, we prove that if  $R$  is a commutative ring and every  $R$ -module is a direct sum of *w-local*  $R$ -modules, then  $R$  is an artinian principal ideal ring in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *The following are equivalent for a commutative ring  $R$ .*

- (1) *Every left  $R$ -module is a direct sum of  $w$ -local  $R$ -modules.*
- (2)  *$R$  is semilocal and every  $\text{Rad}$ -supplemented left  $R$ -module is a direct sum of  $w$ -local  $R$ -modules.*
- (3)  *$R$  is an artinian principal ideal ring.*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Write  $\frac{R}{\text{Rad}(R)} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} N_i$ , where each  $N_i$  is *w-local*. Since  $\text{Rad}(\frac{R}{\text{Rad}(R)}) = 0$ , for all  $i \in I$ ,  $\text{Rad}(N_i) = 0$ . So  $N_i$  is simple. Thus  $\frac{R}{\text{Rad}(R)}$  is semisimple and so  $R$  is semilocal. The rest of the proof is clear.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Let  $F = R^{(\Lambda)}$  any index set  $\Lambda$ . Suppose that  $\text{Rad}(\frac{F}{N}) = \frac{F}{N}$  for some submodule  $N$  of  $F$ . By the assumption, we can write  $\frac{F}{N} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  where  $M_i$  is *w-local* for all  $i \in I$ . By [12, 21.6.(5)],  $\text{Rad}(\frac{F}{N}) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \text{Rad}(M_i)$  and so each  $M_i$  is radical as a direct summand of  $\frac{F}{N}$ . Since  $M_i$  is *w-local*, we obtain that, for all  $i \in I$ ,  $M_i = 0$ . Therefore  $\frac{F}{N} = 0$ . This means that  $\text{Rad}(F) \ll F$ . It follows from [12, 43.9] that  $R$  is left perfect. Applying [12, 43.9] again, we deduce that every left  $R$ -module is  $\text{Rad}$ -supplemented and so every left  $R$ -module is a direct sum of *w-local*  $R$ -modules. If  $N$  is *aw-local*, then  $N$  is local because  $R$  is left perfect. Hence every left  $R$ -module is a direct sum of cyclic  $R$ -modules. By [9, Theorem 6.7],  $R$  is an artinian principal ideal ring.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is clear. □

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $R$  be a commutative semilocal ring. Then,  $R$  is an artinian principal ideal ring if and only if every  $\text{Rad}$ -supplemented left  $R$ -module is a direct sum of  $w$ -local  $R$ -modules.*

Let  $f : P \rightarrow M$  be an epimorphism. Xue [13] calls  $f$  a (*generalized*) *cover* if  $(\text{Ker}(f) \subseteq \text{Rad}(P)) \text{Ker}(f) \ll P$ , and calls a (*generalized*) *cover*  $f$  a (*generalized*) *projective cover* if  $P$  is a projective module. In the spirit of [13], a module  $M$  is said to be (*generalized*) *semiperfect* if every factor module of  $M$  has a (*generalized*) projective cover. He [13, Theorem 2.2] proved that every *generalized semiperfect* module is  $\text{Rad}$ -supplemented. Now, we obtain the following result.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $R$  be a semilocal ring. Every  $\text{Rad}$ -supplemented left  $R$ -module is (*generalized*) *semiperfect* if and only if  $R$  is left perfect.*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $M = \text{Rad}(M)$ . Since  $M$  is Rad-supplemented, it follows from the hypothesis that  $M$  is generalized semiperfect. Then, there exists a generalized cover  $f : F \rightarrow M$  with a projective module  $F$ . Since  $\text{Ker}(f) \subseteq \text{Rad}(F) \neq F$ , it follows that  $M = 0$ . By [12, 43.9],  $R$  is left perfect.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) This is immediate.  $\square$

### 3. Rad-SUPPLEMENTED MODULES OVER COMMUTATIVE NOETHERIAN RINGS

Throughout this section, unless otherwise stated, we shall consider commutative noetherian rings.

An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called *coatomic* if every proper submodule of  $M$  is contained in a maximal submodule of  $M$ , and it is called *reduced* if every submodule of  $M$  contains a maximal submodule, that is,  $P(M) = 0$ . Note that  $\text{Rad}(M)$  is small in  $M$  for every coatomic  $R$ -module  $M$ .

**Lemma 4.** *The following statements are equivalent for a Rad-supplemented module  $M$ .*

- (1)  $M$  is coatomic.
- (2)  $M$  is reduced.
- (3)  $\text{Rad}(M)$  is small in  $M$ .

*If the module  $M$  satisfies one of the equivalent conditions, then  $M$  is supplemented.*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $M$  be a coatomic module. By [15, Lemma 1.1], every submodule of  $M$  is coatomic and so  $P(M) = 0$ , which means that  $M$  is reduced.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) Suppose that  $M = \text{Rad}(M) + N$  for some submodule  $N$  of  $M$ . Then we can write  $\text{Rad}\left(\frac{M}{N}\right) = \frac{M}{N}$ . Since  $M$  is Rad-supplemented,  $N$  has a Rad-supplement  $V$  in  $M$ . From (2) it follows that  $V$  has a maximal submodule  $K$ . So  $\frac{K}{N \cap V}$  is a maximal submodule of  $\frac{V}{N \cap V}$ . Note that

$$\frac{M}{N} \cong \frac{V}{N \cap V}$$

contains a maximal submodule and thus  $\frac{M}{N} = 0$ . Therefore  $M = N$ . This proves (3).

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) The assumption implies that, for any proper submodule  $U \subseteq M$ , there exists a submodule  $V$  of  $M$  such that  $U + V = M$  and  $U \cap V \subseteq \text{Rad}(V)$ . Since  $\text{Rad}(M) \ll M$ ,  $V$  is not contained in a maximal submodule  $K$  of  $M$ . Then the submodule  $U + V \cap K$  of  $M$  is maximal. Thus  $M$  is coatomic.

Suppose that Rad-supplemented module  $M$  satisfies one of these conditions. Then  $M$  is supplemented by [5, Proposition 7.3].  $\square$

The following result follows from [5, Proposition 7.3]. We give this result as a consequence of Lemma 4.

**Corollary 3.** *For a module  $M$ ,  $M$  is Rad-supplemented if and only if  $\frac{M}{P(M)}$  is supplemented.*

A submodule of a Rad-supplemented module need not be Rad-supplemented, in general. To see this actuality, we shall consider the left  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $M = {}_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Q}$ . It is well known that  $M$  is Rad-supplemented. On the other hand, the submodule  ${}_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathbb{Z}$  of  $M$  is not semisimple.

Now, we show that a submodule of a Rad-supplemented module is Rad-supplemented under a certain condition.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $M$  be a module and  $N \subseteq M$ . Suppose that  $\frac{M}{N}$  is reduced. If  $M$  is Rad-supplemented, then  $N$  is Rad-supplemented.*

*Proof.* According to [1, 2.2.(2)],  $\frac{M}{N}$  is Rad-supplemented as a factor module of  $M$ . Since  $\frac{M}{N}$  is reduced,  $P(\frac{M}{N}) = 0$ . Therefore  $\frac{M}{N}$  is supplemented by Lemma 4. Since  $M$  is Rad-supplemented,  $\frac{M}{P(N)}$  is Rad-supplemented by Corollary 1. Note that

$$\frac{\frac{M}{P(N)}}{\frac{N}{P(N)}} \cong \frac{M}{N}$$

is reduced and thus  $\frac{M}{P(N)}$  is reduced by [14, Lemma 1.5 (a)]. It follows from Lemma 4 that  $\frac{M}{P(N)}$  is supplemented. Thus  $\frac{N}{P(N)}$  is supplemented by [8, Proposition 2.6]. So  $\frac{N}{P(N)}$  is Rad-supplemented. Hence  $N$  is Rad-supplemented by Lemma 1.  $\square$

Using Proposition 2, we obtain the following result.

**Corollary 4.** *The following statements are equivalent for any module  $M$ .*

- (1)  $M$  is Rad-supplemented.
- (2) Every maximal submodule of  $M$  is Rad-supplemented.
- (3) Every cofinite submodule of  $M$  is Rad-supplemented.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) If  $N$  is a cofinite submodule of  $M$ , then  $\frac{M}{N}$  is finitely generated and so  $\frac{M}{N}$  is reduced. From Proposition 2, the proof follows.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is clear.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $M = M_1 + M_2$ , where  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are maximal submodules of  $M$ . Since  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  are Rad-supplemented modules,  $M$  is Rad-supplemented according to [1, 2.3.(3)]. If  $M$  is w-local,  $\text{Rad}(M)$  is maximal and so  $M = \text{Rad}(M) + U$  for every proper submodule  $U$  of  $M$  with  $U \not\subseteq \text{Rad}(M)$ . By [1, 2.3.(1)],  $U$  has a Rad-supplement in  $M$  since  $\text{Rad}(M)$  is Rad-supplemented. Hence  $M$  is Rad-supplemented.  $\square$

The following example shows that the class of Rad-supplemented modules is not closed under extensions, in general.

*Example 1.* Let  $\Lambda$  be a collection of maximal ideals of the noetherian commutative ring  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose that  $M$  is the left  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\prod_{p \in \Lambda} (\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p})$ . Then  $\text{Rad}(M) = 0$ . By [3, Lemma 2.9], for some submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , we have  $\frac{N}{T} \cong \mathbb{Q}$ , where  $T$  is the



direct sum of simple  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules  $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p}$ . Then  $N$  is an extension of  $T$  by  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $T$  is semisimple, it is Rad-supplemented. On the other hand, the submodule  $N$  is not Rad-supplemented.

Later we shall give another example of such modules (see Example 2).

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$  be a short exact sequence. Suppose that  $K$  is reduced. Then  $M$  is Rad-supplemented if and only if  $N$  and  $K$  are Rad-supplemented.*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) It follows from Proposition 2 and [1, 2.2.(2)].

( $\Leftarrow$ ) By Lemma 4,  $K$  is supplemented. Since  $N$  is Rad-supplemented,  $\frac{N}{P(N)}$  is supplemented by Corollary 3. It follows from [8, Proposition 2.6] that  $\frac{M}{P(N)}$  is Rad-supplemented. Hence  $M$  is Rad-supplemented by Corollary 1.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** *A module  $M$  is Rad-supplemented if and only if it is an extension of a Rad-supplemented submodule by a reduced supplemented module.*

*Proof.* If  $M$  has no maximal submodules, the result is obvious as  $\frac{M}{P(M)} = 0$ . Suppose that  $M \neq P(M)$ . Then this gives the existence of a reduced factor module of  $M$ . Therefore the assertion follows from Theorem 4.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $M$  be a module.  $M$  is Rad-supplemented if and only if  $M$  is semilocal and  $\text{Rad}(M)$  is Rad-supplemented.*

*Proof.* If  $M$  is Rad-supplemented, then  $M$  is semilocal. Thus  $\frac{M}{\text{Rad}(M)}$  is reduced. By Proposition 2,  $\text{Rad}(M)$  is Rad-supplemented. Conversely, suppose that  $M$  is semilocal and  $\text{Rad}(M)$  is Rad-supplemented. From Theorem 4 the assumption implies that  $M$  is Rad-supplemented.  $\square$

Using the above proposition we obtain the following characterization of semilocal rings.

**Corollary 6.** *The following conditions on a ring  $R$  is equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is semilocal.
- (2) Every left  $R$ -module with Rad-supplemented radical is Rad-supplemented.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) If  $R$  is semilocal, then every left  $R$ -module is semilocal by [7, Theorem 3.5]. The result follows from Proposition 3.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Since  $\text{Rad}(\frac{R}{\text{Rad}(R)}) = 0$ , it follows from the hypothesis that  $\frac{R}{\text{Rad}(R)}$  is Rad-supplemented. So  $\frac{R}{\text{Rad}(R)}$  is semisimple, i.e.  $R$  is semilocal.  $\square$

In [5], a module  $M$  is said to be *totally Rad-supplemented* if every submodule of  $M$  is Rad-supplemented. Every semisimple module is totally Rad-supplemented. It is easy to check that the class of totally Rad-supplemented modules is closed under factor modules and submodules. The following fact is a modification of Theorem 4.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $M$  be a module and  $\frac{M}{N}$  be reduced for some submodule  $N$  of  $M$ . Then  $M$  is totally Rad-supplemented if and only if  $N$  and  $\frac{M}{N}$  are totally Rad-supplemented.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $N$  and  $\frac{M}{N}$  are totally Rad-supplemented. Let  $U$  be any submodule of  $M$ . By the hypothesis,  $U \cap N$  and  $\frac{U+N}{N}$  are Rad-supplemented. Note that

$$\frac{U+N}{N} \cong \frac{U}{U \cap N}$$

is reduced because  $\frac{M}{N}$  is reduced. By Theorem 4,  $U$  is Rad-supplemented. Hence  $M$  is totally Rad-supplemented.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $M$  be a Rad-supplemented module. Then,  $M$  is totally Rad-supplemented if and only if  $P(M)$  is totally Rad-supplemented.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $P(M)$  is totally Rad-supplemented. By the hypothesis and Corollary 3,  $\frac{M}{P(M)}$  is supplemented. Applying [8, Proposition 2.6], we deduce that  $\frac{M}{P(M)}$  is totally supplemented. Therefore  $M$  is totally Rad-supplemented by Theorem 5.  $\square$

#### 4. Rad-SUPPLEMENTED MODULES OVER COMMUTATIVE DOMAINS

In this section a ring  $R$  will be a commutative domain. Let  $R$  be such a ring and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. We denote by  $T(M)$  the set of all elements  $m$  of  $M$  for which there exists a non-zero element  $r$  of  $R$  such that  $rm = 0$ , i.e.,  $\text{Ann}(m) \neq 0$ . Then  $T(M)$ , which is a submodule of  $M$ , called the *torsion submodule* of  $M$ . If  $M = T(M)$ , then  $M$  is called a *torsion module* and  $M$  is called *torsion-free* provided  $T(M) = 0$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $R$  be a non-semilocal commutative domain and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. If  $M$  is totally Rad-supplemented,  $M$  is a torsion module.*

*Proof.* Let  $0 \neq m \in M$ . Suppose that  $\text{Ann}(m) = 0$ , i.e.  $R \cong Rm$ . Since  $M$  is totally Rad-supplemented, the left  $R$ -submodule  $Rm$  of  $M$  is Rad-supplemented. So  ${}_R R$  is Rad-supplemented. Therefore  $\frac{R}{\text{Rad}(R)}$  is semisimple, i.e.  $R$  is semilocal. This contradicts the assumption. Hence  $\text{Ann}(m) \neq 0$ , this implies that  $M$  is torsion.  $\square$

**Corollary 8.** *Let  $R$  be a non-semilocal dedekind domain and  $M$  be a totally Rad-supplemented  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  is torsion.*

Let  $R$  be a dedekind domain and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. We denote by  $\Omega$  the set of all maximal (i.e., prime) ideals of  $R$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is any element of  $\Omega$ . We denote by  $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$ , which is a submodule of  $M$ , the set of all elements  $m$  of  $M$  for which there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}^n m = 0$ . Then  $T_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$  is called the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -*primary part* of  $M$ . For a torsion module  $M$  over a dedekind domain, we have the decomposition  $M = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega} T_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$ .

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $R$  be a non-local dedekind domain and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  is Rad-supplemented if and only if  $\frac{M}{P(M)}$  is torsion and every  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary part of  $\frac{M}{P(M)}$  is (Rad-)supplemented.*

*Proof.* According to [14, Theorem 3.1] and [5, Theorem 7.4], the proof of the lemma is clear.  $\square$

Let  $R$  be a dedekind domain and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. By [2, Lemma 4.4],  $P(M)$  is injective and so there exists a direct summand  $N$  of  $M$  such that  $\frac{M}{P(M)} \cong N$ . This fact and Lemma 5 give the following basic result for torsion-free modules.

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $M$  be a torsion-free Rad-supplemented module over a non-local dedekind domain. Then  $M$  is radical.*

Let  $M$  be a radical module.  $M$  is called *simply radical* if  $M$  has no proper radical submodules.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $R$  be a noetherian ring and  $M$  be a simply radical  $R$ -module. If  $M$  is amply Rad-supplemented,  $M$  is hollow radical. In particular, every Rad-supplemented proper submodule of  $M$  is supplemented.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be any proper submodule of  $M$ . Suppose that  $U + V = M$  for some submodule  $V$  of  $M$ . By the hypothesis, there exists a submodule  $V'$  of  $V$  such that  $U + V' = M$  and  $U \cap V' \subseteq \text{Rad}(V')$ . Since  $M$  is simply radical, it follows that  $\text{Rad}(V') = V' \cap \text{Rad}(M) = V' \cap M = V'$ . So  $V'$  is radical. Therefore  $V' = M$  and so  $V = M$ . Then we deduce that  $U$  is small in  $M$ . Hence  $M$  is hollow radical. Suppose that a proper submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is Rad-supplemented. Since  $M$  is simply radical, every submodule of  $N$  contains a maximal submodule, i. e.,  $P(N) = 0$ . By Lemma 4,  $N$  is supplemented.  $\square$

**Corollary 10.** *Let  $R$  be a dedekind domain and  $M$  be a radical  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  is amply Rad-supplemented and indecomposable if and only if the module is hollow radical.*

*Proof.* Since indecomposable radical modules over dedekind domains is simply radical,  $M$  is hollow radical by Proposition 5. The converse is clear.  $\square$

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $M$  be a module over a Dedekind domain. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

- (1)  $M$  is indecomposable,  $w$ -local and amply Rad-supplemented.
- (2)  $M$  is local.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $U$  be any proper submodule of  $M$ . Suppose that  $U$  is not contained  $\text{Rad}(M)$ . Since  $M$  is  $w$ -local,  $\text{Rad}(M)$  is maximal and so  $U + \text{Rad}(M) = M$ . By the hypothesis, there exists a submodule  $V$  of  $\text{Rad}(M)$  such that  $U + V = M$  and  $U \cap V \subseteq \text{Rad}(V)$ . It follows that  $\text{Rad}(V) = V \cap \text{Rad}(M) = V$ , i.e.  $V$  is radical.

Then, by [2, Lemma 4.4],  $V$  is injective and so there exists a submodule  $L$  of  $M$  such that  $M = V \oplus L$ . Since  $M$  is indecomposable and  $w$ -local, we get  $V = 0$ . Thus,  $U = M$ , implying that  $M$  is local.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is clear.  $\square$

Now, we give an analogous characterization of [14, Theorem 3.1] for totally Rad-supplemented modules.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $M$  be a non-semilocal dedekind domain and  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Then  $M$  is totally Rad-supplemented if and only if  $M$  is torsion and every  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary part of  $M$  is totally Rad-supplemented.*

*Proof.* The necessity of the condition is obvious by Corollary 8. Conversely, suppose that  $M$  is torsion and every  $\mathfrak{p}$ -primary part of  $M$  is totally Rad-supplemented. Let  $N \subseteq U \subseteq M$ . Since  $M = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega} T_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$ , we have  $U = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega} (U \cap T_{\mathfrak{p}}(M))$  and  $N = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega} (N \cap T_{\mathfrak{p}}(M))$ . By the hypothesis,  $N \cap T_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$  has a Rad-supplement  $V_{\mathfrak{p}}$  in  $U \cap T_{\mathfrak{p}}(M)$ . So  $U \cap T_{\mathfrak{p}}(M) = N \cap T_{\mathfrak{p}}(M) + V_{\mathfrak{p}}$  and  $N \cap V_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \text{Rad}(V_{\mathfrak{p}})$ . Let  $V = \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega} V_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Then  $N + V = U$ . Since  $N \cap V_{\mathfrak{p}} \subseteq \text{Rad}(V_{\mathfrak{p}})$  for every  $\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega$ , by [6, Corollaries 9.1.5 (c)],  $N \cap V = (\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega} (N \cap T_{\mathfrak{p}}(M))) \cap (\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in \Omega} V_{\mathfrak{p}}) \subseteq \text{Rad}(V)$ . Hence  $U$  is Rad-supplemented. This completes the proof.  $\square$

Finally, we give an example showing the class of (totally) Rad-supplemented modules is not closed under extensions, in general. For a module  $M$ ,  $\text{Soc}(M)$  will indicate the sum of all simple submodules of  $M$ .

*Example 2.* (see [10, Example 2.3]) Consider the non-Noetherian commutative ring which is the direct product  $\prod_{i \geq 1}^{\infty} F_i$ , where  $F_i = F$  is any field. Suppose that  $R$  is the subring of the ring consisting of all sequences  $(r_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that there exist  $r \in F, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $r_n = r$  for all  $n \geq m$ . Let  $M =_R R$ . Then  $M$  is a regular module which is not semisimple. Therefore  $\text{Soc}(M)$  is a maximal submodule of  $M$ . This means that  $\text{Soc}(M)$  and  $\frac{M}{\text{Soc}(M)}$  are Rad-supplemented. On the other hand,  $M$  is not Rad-supplemented.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We would like to thank the referee for the valuable suggestions and comments which improved the revision of the paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Al-Takhman, C. Lomp, and R. Wisbauer, “ $\tau$ -complemented and  $\tau$ -supplemented modules,” *Algebra Discrete Math.*, vol. 2006, no. 3, pp. 1–15, 2006.
- [2] R. Alizade, G. Bilhan, and P. F. Smith, “Modules whose maximal submodules have supplements,” *Commun. Algebra*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 2389–2405, 2001.
- [3] R. Alizade and E. Büyükaşık, “Extensions of weakly supplemented modules,” *Math. Scand.*, vol. 103, no. 2, pp. 161–168, 2008.

- [4] E. Büyükaşık and C. Lomp, “On a recent generalization of semiperfect rings,” *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, vol. 78, no. 2, pp. 317–325, 2008.
- [5] E. Büyükaşık, E. Mermut, and S. Özdemir, “Rad-supplemented modules,” *Rend. Semin. Mat. Univ. Padova*, vol. 124, pp. 157–177, 2010.
- [6] F. Kasch, *Modules and rings*, ser. London Mathematical Society Monographs, D. A. R. Wallace, Ed. London - New York: Academic Press, 1982, vol. 17.
- [7] C. Lomp, “On semilocal modules and rings,” *Commun. Algebra*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 1921–1935, 1999.
- [8] P. Rudlof, “On the structure of couniform and complemented modules,” *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, vol. 74, no. 3, pp. 281–305, 1991.
- [9] D. W. Sharpe and P. Vamos, *Injective modules*, ser. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and mathematical Physics. Cambridge: University Press, 1972, vol. 62.
- [10] P. F. Smith, “Finitely generated supplemented modules are amply supplemented,” *The Arabian Journal for Science And Engineering*, vol. 25, no. 2C, pp. 69–79, 2000.
- [11] E. Türkmen and A. Pancar, “On cofinitely Rad-supplemented modules,” *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 153–162, 2009.
- [12] R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of module and ring theory. A handbook for study and research*, ser. Algebra, Logic and Applications. Philadelphia: Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, 1991, vol. 3.
- [13] W. Xue, “Characterizations of semiperfect and perfect rings,” *Publ. Mat., Barc.*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 115–125, 1996.
- [14] H. Zöschinger, “Komplementierte moduln über Dedekindringen,” *J. Algebra*, vol. 29, pp. 42–56, 1974.
- [15] H. Zöschinger, “Koatomare moduln,” *Math. Z.*, vol. 170, pp. 221–232, 1980.

*Authors' addresses*

**Ergül Türkmen**

Ondokuz Mayıs University, Faculty of Art and Science, Department of Mathematics, 55139, Samsun, Turkey

*E-mail address:* eturkmen@omu.edu.tr

**Ali Pancar**

Ondokuz Mayıs University, Faculty of Art and Science, Department of Mathematics, 55139, Samsun, Turkey

*E-mail address:* apancar@omu.edu.tr