



## NONLINEAR INVERSE PROBLEM FOR IDENTIFYING A COEFFICIENT OF THE LOWEST TERM IN HYPERBOLIC EQUATION WITH NONLOCAL CONDITIONS

GALINA YU MEHDIYEVA, YASHAR T. MEHRALIYEV, AND ELVIN AZIZBAYOV

*Received 15 October, 2021*

*Abstract.* In this paper, a nonlinear inverse boundary value problem for the second-order hyperbolic equation with nonlocal conditions is studied. To investigate the solvability of the original problem, we first consider an auxiliary inverse boundary value problem and prove its equivalence (in a certain sense) to the original problem. Then using the Fourier method and contraction mappings principle, the existence and uniqueness theorem for auxiliary problem is proved. Further, on the basis of the equivalency of these problems the existence and uniqueness theorem for the classical solution of the considered inverse coefficient problem is proved for the smaller value of time.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 35R30; 35L10; 35A01; 35A02; 35A09

*Keywords:* inverse problem, hyperbolic equation, overdetermination condition, classical solution, existence, uniqueness

### 1. INTRODUCTION AND PROBLEM STATEMENT

Let  $0 < T < +\infty$  be some fixed number and  $D_T$  be a rectangular region in the  $xt$ -plane defined by  $0 \leq x \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$ . Consider the problem of determining the unknown functions  $u = u(x, t)$  and  $a = a(t)$  such that the pair  $\{u, a\}$  satisfies the following hyperbolic equation of second order

$$u_{tt}(x, t) - u_{xx}(x, t) = a(t)u(x, t) + f(x, t) \quad (x, t) \in D_T, \quad (1.1)$$

with the nonlocal initial conditions

$$u(x, 0) + \delta_1 u(x, T) = \varphi(x), \quad u_t(x, 0) + \delta_2 u_t(x, T) = \psi(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1, \quad (1.2)$$

the boundary conditions

$$u(0, t) = \beta u(1, t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\int_0^1 u(x, t) dx = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (1.4)$$

and overdetermination condition

$$u\left(\frac{1}{2}, t\right) = h(t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (1.5)$$

in which  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \geq 0$  and  $\beta \neq \pm 1$  are given numbers,  $f(x, t)$ ,  $\varphi(x)$ , and  $\psi(x)$  are known functions of  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $t \in [0, T]$ .

In the present work we investigate a nonlinear inverse problem for identifying a coefficient of the lowest term in hyperbolic equation from the overdetermination data. Such problems are called inverse problems in mathematical physics. The applied importance of inverse problems is so great (seismology, mineral exploration, biology, medicine, desalination of seawater, movement of liquid in a porous medium, acoustics, electromagnetics, fluid dynamics, calculating the density of the Earth from measurements of its gravity field, for example) which puts them a series of the most actual problems of modern mathematics. Strictly speaking, the inverse problems for hyperbolic/wave equations are of prime interest in seismology. Besides, vibrations of structures (as buildings and beams) are modeled by hyperbolic differential equations. However, it should be noted that an inverse problem is called linear if the recovery function enters the given equation linearly, and nonlinear otherwise.

Nowadays, inverse problems for hyperbolic equations have been well studied by many authors using different methods (see, e.g., [2, 5–7, 9, 10, 19–22], and the references given therein). Moreover, in [15–18] the authors present a regularity result for solutions of partial differential equations in the framework of mixed Morrey spaces.

A distinctive feature of presented article is the investigation of an inverse hyperbolic problem with both spatial and time nonlocal conditions.

This article is based on ideas close to those used in [1, 3, 8, 13].

**Definition 1.** A pair of functions  $\{u(x, t), a(t)\}$  is said to be a classical solution of problem (1.1)–(1.5) if all three of the following conditions are satisfied:

- a. The function  $u(x, t)$  with the derivatives  $u_{xx}(x, t)$  and  $u_{tt}(x, t)$  are continuous in the domain  $D_T$ .
- b. The function  $a(t)$  is continuous on the interval  $[0, T]$ .
- c. The Eq. (1.1) and conditions (1.2)–(1.5) are satisfied in the classical (usual) sense.

Now, to study problem (1.1)–(1.5), we consider the following auxiliary inverse boundary value problem: it is required to find a pair of functions  $u(x, t) \in C^2(D_T)$ ,  $a(t) \in C[0, T]$  from (1.1)–(1.3) and

$$u_x(0, t) = u_x(1, t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad (1.6)$$

$$h''(t) - u_{xx}\left(\frac{1}{2}, t\right) = a(t)h(t) + f\left(\frac{1}{2}, t\right), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (1.7)$$

Similarly (see [12], Theorem 2.2, p.4) it can be proved that

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that  $\varphi(x), \psi(x) \in C[0, 1]$ ,  $h(t) \in C^2[0, T]$ ,  $h(t) \neq 0$ ,  $f(x, t) \in C(D_T)$ ,  $\int_0^1 f(x, t) dx = 0$ ,  $0 \leq t \leq T$  and the compatibility conditions

$$\int_0^1 \varphi(x) dx = 0, \int_0^1 \psi(x) dx = 0,$$

$$h(0) + \delta_1 h(T) = \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), h'(0) + \delta_2 h'(T) = \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right),$$

hold. Then the following statements are true:

- (i) Each classical solution  $\{u(x, t), a(t)\}$  of problem (1.1)–(1.5) is the solution of problem (1.1)–(1.3), (1.6), (1.7), as well
- (ii) each solution  $\{u(x, t), a(t)\}$  of problem (1.1)–(1.3), (1.6), (1.7) is a classical solution to the problem (1.1)–(1.5), if

$$\frac{(1 + 2\delta_1 + 3\delta_2 + \delta_1\delta_2)T^2}{2(1 + \delta_1)(1 + \delta_2)} \|a(t)\|_{C[0, T]} < 1.$$

## 2. AUXILIARY FACTS AND DENOTATIONS

It is known that sequences of functions [14]

$$X_0(x) = px + q, X_{2k-1}(x) = (px + q) \cos \lambda_k x, X_{2k}(x) = \sin \lambda_k x, k = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{2.1}$$

$$Y_0(x) = 2, Y_{2k-1}(x) = 4 \sin \lambda_k x, Y_{2k}(x) = q(1 - q - px) \cos \lambda_k x, k = 1, 2, \dots, \tag{2.2}$$

form a biorthogonal system and system (2.1) forms a Riesz basis in  $L_2(0, 1)$  for  $\lambda_k = 2k\pi$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Here  $p$  and  $q$  denotes, in turn, the numbers

$$p = \frac{1 - \beta}{1 + \beta} \neq 0, q = \frac{\beta}{1 + \beta}.$$

We state the following lemmas without proof.

**Lemma 1.** (see [4, 11]) For any function  $v(x)$  with the properties:

$$v(x) \in C^{2i-1}[0, 1], v^{(2i)}(x) \in L_2(0, 1),$$

$$v^{(2s)}(0) = \beta v^{(2s)}(1), v^{(2s+1)}(0) = v^{(2s+1)}(1) \quad (i \geq 1; s = \overline{0, i}),$$

the estimates are valid

$$\left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^{2i} v_{2k-1})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2\sqrt{2} \|v^{(2i)}(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)},$$

$$\left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^{2i} v_{2k})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2\sqrt{2} \left\| v^{(2i)}(x)(1-q-px) - 2ipv^{(2i-1)}(x) \right\|_{L_2(0,1)}, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$v_k = \int_0^1 v(x) Y_k(x) dx, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

**Lemma 2.** (see [4, 11]) Under the assumptions:

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) \in C^{2i}[0, 1], \quad v^{(2i+1)}(x) \in L_2(0, 1), \\ v^{(2s)}(0) = \beta v^{(2s)}(1), \quad v^{(2s+1)}(0) = v^{(2s+1)}(1) \quad (i \geq 1; \quad s = \overline{0, i}), \end{aligned}$$

we establish the validity of the estimates

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^{2i+1} v_{2k-1})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq 2\sqrt{2} \left\| v^{(2i+1)}(x) \right\|_{L_2(0,1)}, \\ \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^{2i+1} v_{2k-1})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq 2\sqrt{2} \left\| v^{(2i+1)}(x)(1-q-px) - (2i+1)pv^{(2i)}(x) \right\|_{L_2(0,1)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

We now look at the following functional spaces:

$B_{2,T}^3$  [11] denotes a set of all functions of the form

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k(t) X_k(x),$$

considered in  $D_T$ , where  $u_k(t) \in C[0, T]$  and

$$\begin{aligned} J(u) \equiv \|u_0(t)\|_{C[0,T]} + \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^3 \|u_{2k-1}(t)\|_{C[0,T]})^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ + \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^3 \|u_{2k}(t)\|_{C[0,T]})^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Actually, the functions  $X_k(x)$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots$ ) defined by the relation (2.1). The norm on the set  $J(u)$  is established as follows

$$\|u(x, t)\|_{B_{2,T}^3} = J(u).$$

Let  $E_T^3$  denote the space consisting of the topological product  $B_{2,T}^3 \times C[0, T]$ , which is the norm of the element  $z = \{u, a\}$  defined by the formula

$$\|z(x, t)\|_{E_T^3} = \|u(x, t)\|_{B_{2,T}^3} + \|a(t)\|_{C[0,T]}.$$

3. CLASSICAL SOLVABILITY OF INVERSE BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM

Suppose that the data of problem (1.1)–(1.3),(1.6),(1.7) satisfies the following conditions:

- C<sub>1</sub>.  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \geq 0, 1 + \delta_1 \delta_2 \geq \delta_1 + \delta_2$ ;
- C<sub>2</sub>.  $\varphi(x) \in C^2[0, 1], \varphi'''(x) \in L_2(0, 1), \varphi(0) = \beta\varphi(1),$   
 $\varphi'(0) = \varphi'(1), \varphi''(0) = \beta\varphi''(1)$ ;
- C<sub>3</sub>.  $\psi(x) \in C^1[0, 1], \psi''(x) \in L_2(0, 1), \psi(0) = \beta\psi(1), \psi'(0) = \psi'(1)$ ;
- C<sub>4</sub>.  $f(x, t), f_x(x, t) \in C(D_T), f_{xx}(x, t) \in L_2(D_T), f(0, t) = \beta f(1, t),$   
 $f_x(0, t) = f_x(1, t), 0 \leq t \leq T$ ;
- C<sub>5</sub>.  $h(t) \in C^2[0, T], h(t) \neq 0, 0 \leq t \leq T$ .

Since the system (2.1) forms a Riesz basis in  $L_2(0, 1)$ . Then each solution to problem (1.1)–(1.3),(1.6),(1.7) can be sought in the form:

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k(t)X_k(x), \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$u_k(t) = \int_0^1 u(x, t)Y_k(x)dx, k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Moreover,  $X_k(x)$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots$ ) and  $Y_k(x)$  ( $k = 0, 1, \dots$ ) are defined by relations (2.1) and (2.2), respectively.

To determine of the desired functions  $u_k(t)$  ( $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ ), using separation of variables and by (1.1) and (1.2), we get

$$u_0''(t) = F_0(t; u, a), 0 \leq t \leq T, \tag{3.2}$$

$$u_{2k-1}''(t) + \lambda_k^2 u_{2k-1}(t) = F_{2k-1}(t; u, a), k = 1, 2, \dots; 0 \leq t \leq T, \tag{3.3}$$

$$u_{2k}''(t) + \lambda_k^2 u_{2k}(t) = F_{2k}(t; u, a) - 2p\lambda_k u_{2k-1}(t), k = 1, 2, \dots; 0 \leq t \leq T, \tag{3.4}$$

$$u_k(0) + \delta_1 u_k(T) = \varphi_k, u_k'(0) + \delta_2 u_k'(T) = \psi_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$F_k(t; u, a) = a(t)u_k(t) + f_k(t), f_k(t) = \int_0^1 f(x, t)Y_k(x)dx, k = 0, 1, \dots$$

$$\varphi_k = \int_0^1 \varphi(x)Y_k(x)dx, \psi_k = \int_0^1 \psi(x)Y_k(x)dx, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Solving problem (3.2)–(3.5), we find

$$u_0(t) = \frac{\varphi_0}{1 + \delta_1} + \frac{t - \delta_1(T - t)}{(1 + \delta_1)(1 + \delta_2)}\psi_0 + \int_0^T G_0(t, \tau)F_0(\tau; u, a)d\tau, \tag{3.6}$$

$$u_{2k-1}(t) = \frac{1}{\rho_k(T)} [\varphi_{2k-1}(\cos \lambda_k t + \delta_2 \cos \lambda_k(T-t)) + \frac{\Psi_{2k-1}}{\lambda_k}(\sin \lambda_k t - \delta_1 \sin \lambda_k(T-t))] + \int_0^T G_k(t, \tau) F_{2k-1}(\tau; u, a) d\tau, \quad (3.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u_{2k}(t) = & \frac{1}{\rho_k(T)} \left[ \varphi_{2k}(\cos \lambda_k t + \delta_2 \cos \lambda_k(T-t)) + \frac{\Psi_{2k}}{\lambda_k}(\sin \lambda_k t - \delta_1 \sin \lambda_k(T-t)) \right] \\ & + \int_0^T G_k(t, \tau) (F_{2k}(\tau; u, a)) d\tau \\ & - \varphi_{2k-1} \left\{ -\frac{1}{\rho_k^2(T)} \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \left[ \delta_1 \cos \lambda_k t \left( \frac{T}{2} \sin \lambda_k T + \delta_2 \frac{1}{4} (1 - \cos 2\lambda_k T) \right) \right. \right. \\ & + \delta_2 \sin \lambda_k t \left( \frac{1}{2\lambda_k} \sin \lambda_k T + \frac{T}{2} \cos \lambda_k T + \delta_2 \left( \frac{T}{2} + \frac{1}{4\lambda_k} \sin 2\lambda_k T \right) \right) \\ & + \delta_1 \delta_2 \left( \frac{1}{4\lambda_k} (\cos \lambda_k(2T-t) - \cos \lambda_k t) + \frac{T}{2} \sin \lambda_k t \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \delta_2 \left( -\frac{T}{2} \sin \lambda_k(T-t) + \frac{1}{4\lambda_k} (\cos \lambda_k(T-t) - \cos \lambda_k(T+t)) \right) \right) \right] \\ & + \frac{t}{2} \sin \lambda_k t + \delta_2 \left( -\frac{t}{2} \sin \lambda_k(T-t) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{4\lambda_k} (\cos \lambda_k(T-t) - \cos \lambda_k(T+t)) \right) \left. \right\} \\ & + \frac{\Psi_{2k-1}}{\lambda_k} \left\{ -\frac{1}{\rho_k^2(T)} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \left[ \delta_1 \cos \lambda_k t \left( \frac{1}{2\lambda_k} \sin \lambda_k T - \frac{T}{2} \cos \lambda_k T \right) \right. \right. \\ & - \delta_1 \left( \frac{T}{2} - \frac{1}{4\lambda_k} \sin 2\lambda_k T \right) + \delta_2 \sin \lambda_k t \left( \frac{T}{2} \sin \lambda_k T - \frac{\delta_1}{4\lambda_k} (1 - \cos 2\lambda_k T) \right) \\ & + \delta_1 \delta_2 \left( \frac{1}{4\lambda_k} (\sin \lambda_k(2T-t) + \sin \lambda_k t) - \frac{T}{2} \cos \lambda_k t \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \delta_1 \left( \frac{T}{2} \cos \lambda_k(T-t) - \frac{1}{4\lambda_k} (\sin \lambda_k(T-t) + \sin \lambda_k(T+t)) \right) \right) \right] \\ & + \left( \frac{1}{2\lambda_k} \sin \lambda_k t - \frac{t}{2} \cos \lambda_k t - \delta_1 \left( \frac{t}{2} \cos \lambda_k(T-t) + \frac{1}{4\lambda_k} (\sin \lambda_k(T-t) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \sin \lambda_k(T+t)) \right) \right) \left. \right\} + \int_0^T G_k(t, \tau) \left( \int_0^T G_k(\tau, \xi) F_{2k-1}(\xi; u, a) d\xi \right) d\tau, \quad (3.8) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_0(t, \tau) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\delta_2 t + \delta_1(T-\tau) + \delta_1 \delta_2(t-\tau)}{(1+\delta_1)(1+\delta_2)}, & t \in [0, \tau], \\ -\frac{\delta_2 t + \delta_1(T-\tau) - (1+\delta_1+\delta_2)(t-\tau)}{(1+\delta_1)(1+\delta_2)}, & t \in [\tau, T], \end{cases}$$

$$G_k(t, \tau) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{\rho_k(T)} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_k} [\delta_1 \sin \lambda_k(T - \tau) \cos \lambda_k t + \delta_2 \cos \lambda_k(T - \tau) \sin \lambda_k t \\ + \delta_1 \delta_2 \sin \lambda_k(t - \tau)], t \in [0, \tau], \\ -\frac{1}{\rho_k(T)} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_k} [\delta_1 \sin \lambda_k(T - \tau) \cos \lambda_k t + \delta_2 \cos \lambda_k(T - \tau) \sin \lambda_k t \\ + \delta_1 \delta_2 \sin \lambda_k(t - \tau)] + \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \sin \lambda_k(t - \tau), t \in [\tau, T], \end{cases}$$

and

$$\rho_k(T) = 1 + (\delta_1 + \delta_2) \cos \lambda_k T + \delta_1 \delta_2.$$

Substituting the expressions of (3.6), (3.7), and (3.8) into (3.1), we find the component  $u(x, t)$  of the classical solution to problem (1.1)–(1.3), (1.6), (1.7) to be

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, t) = & \left\{ \frac{\varphi_0}{1 + \delta_1} + \frac{t - \delta_1(T - t)}{(1 + \delta_1)(1 + \delta_2)} \Psi_0 + \int_0^T G_0(t, \tau) F_0(\tau; u, a) d\tau \right\} X_0(x) \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho_k(T)} [\Phi_{2k-1}(\cos \lambda_k t + \delta_2 \cos \lambda_k(T - t)) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\Psi_{2k-1}}{\lambda_k} (\sin \lambda_k t - \delta_1 \sin \lambda_k(T - t))] + \int_0^T G_k(t, \tau) F_{2k-1}(\tau; u, a) d\tau \right\} X_{2k-1}(x) \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho_k(T)} [\Phi_{2k}(\cos \lambda_k t + \delta_2 \cos \lambda_k(T - t)) + \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{\Psi_{2k}}{\lambda_k} (\sin \lambda_k t - \delta_1 \sin \lambda_k(T - t))] + \int_0^T G_k(t, \tau) F_{2k}(\tau; u, a) d\tau \right. \\ & \left. - \Phi_{2k-1} \left\{ -\frac{1}{\rho_k^2(T)} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \left[ \delta_1 \cos \lambda_k t \left( \frac{T}{2} \sin \lambda_k T + \delta_2 \frac{1}{4} (1 - \cos 2\lambda_k T) \right) \right. \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \delta_2 \sin \lambda_k t \left( \frac{1}{2\lambda_k} \sin \lambda_k T + \frac{T}{2} \cos \lambda_k T + \delta_2 \left( \frac{T}{2} + \frac{1}{4\lambda_k} \sin 2\lambda_k T \right) \right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \delta_1 \delta_2 \left( \frac{1}{4\lambda_k} (\cos \lambda_k(2T - t) - \cos \lambda_k t) + \frac{T}{2} \sin \lambda_k t \right. \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. \left. + \delta_2 \left( -\frac{T}{2} \sin \lambda_k(T - t) + \frac{1}{4\lambda_k} (\cos \lambda_k(T - t) - \cos \lambda_k(T + t)) \right) \right) \right] \right\} \\ & \left. + \frac{t}{2} \sin \lambda_k t + \delta_2 \left( -\frac{t}{2} \sin \lambda_k(T - t) + \frac{1}{4\lambda_k} (\cos \lambda_k(T - t) - \cos \lambda_k(T + t)) \right) \right\} \\ & + \frac{\Psi_{2k-1}}{\lambda_k} \left\{ -\frac{1}{\rho_k^2(T)} \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \left[ \delta_1 \cos \lambda_k t \left( \frac{1}{2\lambda_k} \sin \lambda_k T - \frac{T}{2} \cos \lambda_k T \right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - \delta_1 \left( \frac{T}{2} - \frac{1}{4\lambda_k} \sin 2\lambda_k T \right) + \delta_2 \sin \lambda_k t \left( \frac{T}{2} \sin \lambda_k T - \frac{\delta_1}{4\lambda_k} (1 - \cos 2\lambda_k T) \right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \delta_1 \delta_2 \left( \frac{1}{4\lambda_k} (\sin \lambda_k(2T - t) + \sin \lambda_k t) - \frac{T}{2} \cos \lambda_k t \right) \right. \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\delta_1 \left( \frac{T}{2} \cos \lambda_k(T-t) - \frac{1}{4\lambda_k} (\sin \lambda_k(T-t) + \sin \lambda_k(T+t)) \right) \Big] \\
& + \left( \frac{1}{2\lambda_k} \sin \lambda_k t - \frac{t}{2} \cos \lambda_k t - \delta_1 \left( \frac{t}{2} \cos \lambda_k(T-t) + \frac{1}{4\lambda_k} (\sin \lambda_k(T-t) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. - \sin \lambda_k(T+t)) \right) \right) + \int_0^T G_k(t, \tau) \left( \int_0^T G_k(\tau, \xi) F_{2k-1}(\xi; u, a) d\xi \right) d\tau \Big\} X_{2k}(x).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Now, using (3.1) from (1.7) we have

$$a(t) = [h(t)]^{-1} \left\{ h''(t) - f\left(\frac{1}{2}, t\right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \lambda_k^2 u_{2k-1}(t) \right\}. \tag{3.10}$$

Substituting expressions  $u_{2k-1}(t)$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ) from (3.8) into (3.10), immediately yields:

$$\begin{aligned}
& a(t) = [h(t)]^{-1} \\
& \times \left\{ h''(t) - f\left(\frac{1}{2}, t\right) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \lambda_k^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{\rho_k(T)} [\varphi_{2k-1}(\cos \lambda_k t + \delta_2 \cos \lambda_k(T-t)) \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. + \frac{\Psi_{2k-1}}{\lambda_k} (\sin \lambda_k t - \delta_1 \sin \lambda_k(T-t))] + \int_0^T G_k(t, \tau) F_{2k-1}(\tau; u, a) d\tau \right\} \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Thus, the solution of problem (1.1)–(1.3), (1.6), (1.7) is reduced to the solution of system (3.9), (3.11) with respect to unknown functions  $u(x, t)$  and  $a(t)$ .

Using the same discussion in [11], one can prove

**Lemma 3.** *If  $\{u(x, t), a(t)\}$  is any solution to problem (1.1)–(1.3), (1.6), (1.7), then the functions*

$$u_k(t) = \int_0^1 u(x, t) Y_k(x) dx, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

satisfy the countable system (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8) on an interval  $[0, T]$ .

Obviously, if  $u_k(t) = \int_0^1 u(x, t) Y_k(x) dx$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$  is a solution to system (3.6),

(3.7) and (3.8), then the pair  $\{u(x, t), a(t)\}$  of functions  $u(x, t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u_k(t) X_k(x)$  and  $a(t)$  is also a solution to system (3.9), (3.11).

It follows from the Lemma 3 that

**Corollary 1.** *Let system (3.9), (3.11) have a unique solution. Then problem (1.1)–(1.3), (1.6), (1.7) cannot have more than one solution, i.e. if the problem (1.1)–(1.3), (1.6), (1.7) has a solution, then it is unique.*



Now consider the operator

$$\Phi(u, a) = \{\Phi_1(u, a), \Phi_2(u, a)\},$$

in the space  $E_T^3$ , where

$$\Phi_1(u, a) = \tilde{u}(x, t) \equiv \tilde{u}_0(t)X_0(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \tilde{u}_{2k-1}(t)X_{2k-1}(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{u}_{2k}(t)X_{2k}(x),$$

$$\Phi_2(u, a) = \tilde{a}(t),$$

and the functions  $\tilde{u}_0(t), \tilde{u}_{2k-1}(t), \tilde{u}_{2k}(t)$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), and  $\tilde{a}(t)$  are equal correspondingly to the right sides of (3.6), (3.7), (3.8), and (3.11).

It is clear that under conditions  $\delta_1, \delta_2 \geq 0, 1 + \delta_1\delta_2 > \delta_1 + \delta_2$  and using the inequality

$$\rho_k(T) \geq 1 - (\delta_1 + \delta_2) + \delta_1\delta_2$$

we can write

$$\frac{1}{\rho_k(T)} \leq \frac{1}{1 - (\delta_1 + \delta_2) + \delta_1\delta_2} \equiv \rho > 0.$$

Using this relation, from (3.6), (3.7), (3.8), and (3.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}_0(t)\|_{C[0,T]} &\leq \frac{1}{1 + \delta_1} |\varphi_0| + \frac{T}{1 + \delta_2} \psi_0 + \frac{1 + 3\delta_1 + 3\delta_2}{(1 + \delta_1)(1 + \delta_2)} T \\ &\times \left( \sqrt{T} \left( \int_0^T |f_0(\tau)|^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + T \|a(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \|u_0(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^3 \|\tilde{u}_{2k-1}(t)\|_{C[0,T]})^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq 2\rho(1 + \delta_2) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^3 |\varphi_{2k-1}|)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ 2\rho(1 + \delta_1) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^2 |\psi_{2k-1}|)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ 2(1 + 2\rho(\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_1\delta_2)) \sqrt{T} \left( \int_0^T \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^2 |f_{2k-1}(\tau)|)^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ 2(1 + 2\rho(\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_1\delta_2)) T \|a(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^3 \|u_{2k-1}(t)\|_{C[0,T]})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^3 \|\tilde{u}_{2k}(t)\|_{C[0,T]})^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq 2\sqrt{2}\rho(1 + \delta_2) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^3 |\varphi_{2k}|)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &+ 2\sqrt{2}\rho(1 + \delta_1) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^2 |\psi_{2k}|)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 2\sqrt{2}(1 + 2\rho(\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_1\delta_2))\sqrt{T} \left( \int_0^T \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^2 |f_{2k}(\tau)|)^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + 2\sqrt{2}(1 + 2\rho(\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_1\delta_2))T \|a(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^3 \|u_{2k}(t)\|_{C[0,T]})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + 2\sqrt{2}\rho_1 \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^3 |\varphi_{2k-1}|)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + 2\sqrt{2}\rho_2 \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^2 |\psi_{2k-1}|)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& + 2\sqrt{2}(1 + 2\rho(\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_1\delta_2))^2 T \left[ \sqrt{T} \left( \int_0^T \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^2 |f_{2k-1}(\tau)|)^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\
& \left. + T \|a(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^3 \|u_{2k-1}(t)\|_{C[0,T]})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right], \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\tilde{a}(t)\|_{C[0,T]} & \leq \| [h(t)]^{-1} \|_{C[0,T]} \left\{ \left\| h''(t) - f\left(\frac{1}{2}, t\right) \right\|_{C[0,T]} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\
& \times \left[ \rho(1 + \delta_2) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^3 |\varphi_{2k-1}|)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \rho(1 + \delta_1) \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^2 |\psi_{2k-1}|)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right. \\
& + (1 + 2\rho(\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_1\delta_2))\sqrt{T} \left( \int_0^T \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^2 |f_{2k-1}(\tau)|)^2 d\tau \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \left. \left. + (1 + 2\rho(\delta_1 + \delta_2 + \delta_1\delta_2))T \|a(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^3 \|u_{2k-1}(t)\|_{C[0,T]})^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] \right\}, \tag{3.15}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_1 & = \rho^2 \left[ \delta_1 \left( \frac{\delta_2}{2} + \frac{T}{2} \right) + \delta_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{T}{2} + \delta_2 \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{T}{2} \right) \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \delta_1\delta_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{T}{2} + \delta_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{T}{2} \right) \right) \right] + \frac{T}{2} + \delta_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{T}{2} \right), \\
\rho_2 & = \rho^2 \left[ \delta_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{T}{2} \right) + \delta_1 \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{T}{2} \right) + \delta_2 \left( \frac{\delta_1}{2} + \frac{T}{2} \right) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \delta_1\delta_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{T}{2} + \delta_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{T}{2} \right) \right) \right] + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{T}{2} + \delta_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{T}{2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then from (3.12), (3.13), (3.14), and (3.15), taking into account (2.3), (2.4), respectively, we find:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\tilde{u}_0(t)\|_{C[0,T]} &\leq \frac{2}{1+\delta_1} \|\varphi(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} + \frac{2T}{1+\delta_2} \|\psi(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} + \frac{1+3\delta_1+3\delta_2}{(1+\delta_1)(1+\delta_2)} T \\
 &\quad \times \left( 2\sqrt{T} \|f(x,t)\|_{L_2(D_T)} + T \|a(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \|u(x,t)\|_{B_{2,T}^3} \right), \\
 \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^3 \|\tilde{u}_{2k-1}(t)\|_{C[0,T]})^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq 4\sqrt{2}\rho(1+\delta_2) \|\varphi'''(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} \\
 &\quad + 4\sqrt{2}\rho(1+\delta_1) \|\psi''(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} \\
 &\quad + 4(1+2\rho(\delta_1+\delta_2+\delta_1\delta_2))\sqrt{2T} \|f_{xx}(x,t)\|_{L_2(D_T)} \\
 &\quad + 2(1+2\rho(\delta_1+\delta_2+\delta_1\delta_2))T \|a(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \|u(x,t)\|_{B_{2,T}^3}, \\
 \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^3 \|\tilde{u}_{2k}(t)\|_{C[0,T]})^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq 8\rho(1+\delta_2) \|\varphi'''(x)(1-q-px) - 3p\varphi''(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} \\
 &\quad + 8\rho(1+\delta_1) \|\psi''(x)(1-q-px) - 2p\psi'(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} \\
 &\quad + 8(1+2\rho(\delta_1+\delta_2+\delta_1\delta_2))\sqrt{T} \|f_{xx}(x,t)(1-q-px) - 2pf_x(x,t)\|_{L_2(D_T)} \\
 &\quad + 2\sqrt{2}(1+2\rho(\delta_1+\delta_2+\delta_1\delta_2))T \|a(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \|u(x,t)\|_{B_{2,T}^3} \\
 &\quad + 8\rho_1 \|\varphi'''(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} + 8\rho_2 \|\psi''(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} \\
 &\quad + 2\sqrt{2}(1+2\rho(\delta_1+\delta_2+\delta_1\delta_2))^2 T [2\sqrt{2T} \|f_{xx}(x,t)\|_{L_2(D_T)} \\
 &\quad + T \|a(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \|u(x,t)\|_{B_{2,T}^3}], \\
 \|\tilde{a}(t)\|_{C[0,T]} &\leq \| [h(t)]^{-1} \|_{C[0,T]} \\
 &\quad \times \left\{ \left\| h''(t) - f\left(\frac{1}{2}, t\right) \right\|_{C[0,T]} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} [2\sqrt{2}\rho(1+\delta_2) \|\varphi'''(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} \right. \\
 &\quad + 2\sqrt{2}\rho(1+\delta_1) \|\psi''(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} \\
 &\quad + (1+2\rho(\delta_1+\delta_2+\delta_1\delta_2))2\sqrt{2T} \|f_{xx}(x,t)\|_{L_2(D_T)} \\
 &\quad \left. + (1+2\rho(\delta_1+\delta_2+\delta_1\delta_2))T \|a(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \|u(x,t)\|_{B_{2,T}^3}] \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\|\tilde{u}_0(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \leq A_1(T) + B_1(T) \|a(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \|u(x,t)\|_{B_{2,T}^3}, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^3 \|\tilde{u}_{2k-1}(t)\|_{C[0,T]})^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq A_2(T) + B_2(T) \|a(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \|u(x,t)\|_{B_{2,T}^3}, \quad (3.17)$$

$$\left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_k^3 \|\tilde{u}_{2k}(t)\|_{C[0,T]})^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq A_3(T) + B_3(T) \|a(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \|u(x,t)\|_{B_{2,T}^3}, \quad (3.18)$$

$$\|\tilde{a}(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \leq A_4(T) + B_4(T) \|a(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \|u(x,t)\|_{B_{2,T}^3}, \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$A_1(T) = \frac{2}{1+\delta_1} \|\varphi(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} + \frac{2T}{1+\delta_2} \|\psi(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} + \frac{2(1+3\delta_1+3\delta_2)}{(1+\delta_1)(1+\delta_2)} T\sqrt{T} \|f(x,t)\|_{L_2(D_T)},$$

$$B_1(T) = \frac{1+3\delta_1+3\delta_2}{(1+\delta_1)(1+\delta_2)} T^2,$$

$$A_2(T) = 4\sqrt{2\rho}(1+\delta_2) \|\varphi'''(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} + 4\sqrt{2\rho}(1+\delta_1) \|\psi''(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} + 4(1+2\rho(\delta_1+\delta_2+\delta_1\delta_2))\sqrt{2T} \|f_{xx}(x,t)\|_{L_2(D_T)},$$

$$B_2(T) = 2(1+2\rho(\delta_1+\delta_2+\delta_1\delta_2))T,$$

$$A_3(T) = 8\rho(1+\delta_2) \|\varphi'''(x)(1-q-px) - 3p\varphi''(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} + 8\rho(1+\delta_1) \|\psi''(x)(1-q-px) - 2p\psi'(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} + 8(1+2\rho(\delta_1+\delta_2+\delta_1\delta_2))\sqrt{T} \|f_{xx}(x,t)(1-q-px) - 2pf_x(x,t)\|_{L_2(D_T)} + 8\rho_1 \|\varphi'''(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} + 8\rho_2 \|\psi''(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} + 8(1+2\rho(\delta_1+\delta_2+\delta_1\delta_2))^2 T\sqrt{T} \|f_{xx}(x,t)\|_{L_2(D_T)},$$

$$B_3(T) = 2\sqrt{2}(1+2\rho(\delta_1+\delta_2+\delta_1\delta_2))T + 2\sqrt{2}(1+2\rho(\delta_1+\delta_2+\delta_1\delta_2))^2 T^2,$$

$$A_4(T) = \|[h(t)]^{-1}\|_{C[0,T]}$$

$$\times \left\{ \left\| h''(t) - f\left(\frac{1}{2}, t\right) \right\|_{C[0,T]} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} [2\sqrt{2\rho}(1+\delta_2) \|\varphi'''(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} + 2\sqrt{2\rho}(1+\delta_1) \|\psi''(x)\|_{L_2(0,1)} + (1+2\rho(\delta_1+\delta_2+\delta_1\delta_2))2\sqrt{2T} \|f_{xx}(x,t)\|_{L_2(D_T)}] \right\},$$

$$B_4(T) = \frac{1}{2} \|[h(t)]^{-1}\|_{C[0,T]} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (1+2\rho(\delta_1+\delta_2+\delta_1\delta_2))T.$$

Now, from (3.16)–(3.18) we obtain:

$$\|\tilde{u}(x,t)\|_{B_{2,T}^3} \leq A_5(T) + B_5(T) \|a(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \|u(x,t)\|_{B_{2,T}^3}, \quad (3.20)$$

where

$$A_5(T) = A_1(T) + A_2(T) + A_3(T), \quad B_5(T) = B_1(T) + B_2(T) + B_3(T).$$

Finally, from (3.19) and (3.20) we conclude:

$$\|\tilde{u}(x,t)\|_{B_{2,T}^3} + \|\tilde{a}(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \leq A(T) + B(T) \|a(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \|u(x,t)\|_{B_{2,T}^3}, \quad (3.21)$$

where

$$A(T) = A_4(T) + A_5(T), \quad B(T) = B_4(T) + B_5(T).$$

So, let us prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** *Let conditions  $C_1 - C_5$  be satisfied and the inequality*

$$B(T)(A(T) + 2)^2 < 1 \quad (3.22)$$

*holds. Then problem (1.1)–(1.3), (1.6), (1.7) has a unique solution in the ball  $K = K_R(\|z\|_{E_T^3} \leq R \leq A(T) + 2)$  of the space  $E_T^3$ .*

*Remark 1.* Inequality (3.22) is satisfied for sufficiently small values of  $T$ .

*Proof.* Let us denote  $z = [u(x,t), a(t)]^T$  and rewrite the system of equations (3.9) and (3.11) in the following operator equation

$$z = \Phi z, \quad (3.23)$$

where  $\Phi = [\varphi_1, \varphi_2]^T$  and  $\varphi_1(z), \varphi_2(z)$  defined by the right sides of (3.9) and (3.11), respectively.

Consider the operator  $\Phi(u, a)$  in the ball  $K = K_R$  of the space  $E_T^3$ . Similar to (3.21) we obtain that for any  $z_1, z_2, z \in K_R$  the following estimates hold:

$$\|\Phi z\|_{E_T^3} \leq A(T) + B(T) \|a(t)\|_{C[0,T]} \|u(x,t)\|_{B_{2,T}^3} \leq A(T) + B(T)(A(T) + 2)^2, \quad (3.24)$$

$$\|\Phi z_1 - \Phi z_2\|_{E_T^3} \leq B(T)R(\|a_1(t) - a_2(t)\|_{C[0,T]} + \|u_1(x,t) - u_2(x,t)\|_{B_{2,T}^3}). \quad (3.25)$$

Then taking into account (3.22) in (3.24) and (3.25), it follows that the operator  $\Phi$  acts in the ball  $K = K_R$  and is contractive. Therefore, in the ball  $K = K_R$  the operator  $\Phi$  has a unique fixed point  $\{z\} = \{u, a\}$  that is a unique solution of (3.23) in the ball  $K = K_R$ ; i.e. it is a unique solution of system (3.9), (3.11) in the ball  $K = K_R$ .  $\square$

Thus, we obtain that the function  $u(x,t)$  as an element of the space  $B_{2,T}^3$  is continuous and has continuous derivatives  $u_x(x,t)$  and  $u_{xx}(x,t)$  in  $D_T$ .

Analogous to [14] one can show that the function  $u_{tt}(x,t)$  is continuous in the region  $D_T$ .

It is easy to verify that Eq.(1.1) and conditions (1.2), (1.3), (1.6), and (1.7) are satisfied in the ordinary sense. Consequently,  $\{u(x,t), a(t)\}$  is a solution of problem (1.1)–(1.3), (1.6), (1.7) and by Remark 3.1 this solution is unique in the ball  $K = K_R$ . The theorem is proved.

From Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 immediately imply that the original problem (1.1)–(1.5) has a unique classical solution.

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that all the conditions of Theorem 2 are satisfied and*

$$\int_0^1 f(x,t)dx = 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T, \quad \int_0^1 \varphi(x)dx = 0, \quad \int_0^1 \psi(x)dx = 0,$$

$$h(0) + \delta_1 h(T) = \varphi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad h'(0) + \delta_2 h'(T) = \psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right),$$

$$\frac{(1 + 2\delta_1 + 3\delta_2 + \delta_1\delta_2)T^2(A(T) + 2)}{2(1 + \delta_1)(1 + \delta_2)} < 1.$$

Then problem (1.1)–(1.5) has a unique classical solution in the ball  $K = K_R(\|z\|_{E_T^3} \leq R \leq A(T) + 2)$  of the space  $E_T^3$ .

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In the work, the classical solvability of a nonlinear inverse boundary value problem for a second-order hyperbolic equation with nonlocal conditions was studied. The considered problem was reduced to an auxiliary inverse boundary value problem in a certain sense and its equivalence to the original problem is shown. Then using the Fourier method and contraction mappings principle, the existence and uniqueness theorem for auxiliary problem is proved. Further, on the basis of the equivalency of these problems, the existence and uniqueness theorem for the classical solution of the original problems, the existence and uniqueness theorem for the classical solution of the original inverse coefficient problem is established for the smaller value of time.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors express their profound thanks to the anonymous reviewers for their insightful comments and helpful suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Aliev and Y. Mehraliev, “An inverse boundary value problem for a second-order hyperbolic equation with nonclassical boundary conditions.” *Dokl. Math.*, vol. 90, no. 1, pp. 513–517, 2014, doi: [10.1134/S1064562414050135](https://doi.org/10.1134/S1064562414050135).
- [2] M. Alosaimi, D. Lesnic, and D. N. Hao, “Identification of the forcing term in hyperbolic equations.” *Int. J. Comput. Math.*, vol. 98, no. 9, pp. 1877–1891, 2020, doi: [10.1080/00207160.2020.1854744](https://doi.org/10.1080/00207160.2020.1854744).
- [3] E. Azizbayov, “On the unique recovery of time-dependent coefficient in a hyperbolic equation from nonlocal data.” *Politehn. Univ. Bucharest Sci. Bull. Ser. A Appl. Math. Phys.*, vol. 82, no. 4, pp. 171–182, 2020.

- [4] E. Azizbayov and Y. Mehraliyev, "Inverse boundary-value problem for the equation of longitudinal wave propagation with non-self-adjoint boundary conditions." *Filomat*, vol. 33, no. 16, pp. 5259–5271, 2019, doi: [10.2298/FIL1916259A](https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1916259A).
- [5] J. Cannon and D. Dunninger, "Determination of an unknown forcing function in a hyperbolic equation from overspecified data." *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, vol. 85, no. 1, pp. 49–62, 1970.
- [6] G. Eskin, "Inverse hyperbolic problems with time-dependent coefficients." *Commun.Part. Diff. Eq.*, vol. 32, no. 10-12, pp. 1737–1758, 2007, doi: [10.1080/03605300701382340](https://doi.org/10.1080/03605300701382340).
- [7] G.Baoqi, W.Jiantao, and Z.Li, "An inverse problem for a one-dimensional semilinear hyperbolic equation with an unknown source." *J. Harbin Inst. Technol.*, no. 4, pp. 14–20, 1989.
- [8] Y. M. I. Tekin and M. Ismailov, "Existence and uniqueness of an inverse problem for nonlinear klein-gordon equation." *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, vol. 42, no. 10, pp. 3739–3753, 2019, doi: [10.1002/mma.5609](https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.5609); [MR3961520](https://doi.org/MR3961520).
- [9] D. Jiang, Y. Liu, and M. Yamamoto, "Inverse source problem for the hyperbolic equation with a time-dependent principal part." *J Differ Equ.*, vol. 262, no. 1, pp. 653–681, 2017, doi: [10.1016/j.jde.2016.09.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jde.2016.09.036).
- [10] A. Kozhanov and R. Safiullova, "Linear inverse problems for parabolic and hyperbolic equations." *Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 1–24, 2010, doi: [10.1515/JIIP.2010.001](https://doi.org/10.1515/JIIP.2010.001).
- [11] Y. Mehraliyev, "On the identification of a linear source for the second order elliptic equation with integral condition. (in russian)," *Tr. Inst. Mat.*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 128–141, 2013.
- [12] Y. Mehraliyev and E. Azizbayov, "A time-nonlocal inverse problem for a hyperbolic equation with an integral overdetermination condition." *Electron J Qual Theory Differ Equ*, vol. 29, pp. 1–12, 2021, doi: [10.14232/ejqtde.2021.1.29](https://doi.org/10.14232/ejqtde.2021.1.29).
- [13] Y. Mehraliyev and G. Isgendarova, "On the identification of a linear source for the second order hyperbolic equation with integral condition." *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, vol. 9, pp. 1463–1473, 2015, doi: [10.12988/ams.2015.5134](https://doi.org/10.12988/ams.2015.5134).
- [14] Y. Mehraliyev and M. Yusifov, "The solution of a boundary value problem for a second order parabolic equation with integral conditions." *Proc. Inst. Math. Mech., Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb.*, vol. 30, pp. 91–104, 2009.
- [15] M. Ragusa, "On weak solutions of ultraparabolic equations." *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl.*, vol. 47, no. 1, pp. 503–511, 2001, doi: [10.1016/S0362-546X\(01\)00195-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0362-546X(01)00195-X).
- [16] M. Ragusa, "Parabolic herz spaces and their applications." *Appl. Math. Lett.*, vol. 25, no. 10, pp. 1270–1273, 2012, doi: [10.1016/j.aml.2011.11.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2011.11.022).
- [17] M. Ragusa and A. Scapellato, "Mixed morrey spaces and their applications to partial differential equations." *Nonlinear Anal. Theory Methods Appl.*, vol. 151, pp. 51–65, 2017, doi: [10.1016/j.na.2016.11.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2016.11.017).
- [18] M. Ragusa and A. Tachikawa, "Regularity for minimizers for functionals of double phase with variable exponents." *Adv. Nonlinear Anal.*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 710–728, 2020, doi: [10.1515/anona-2020-0022](https://doi.org/10.1515/anona-2020-0022).
- [19] R. Salazar, "Determination of time-dependent coefficients for a hyperbolic inverse problem." *Inverse Probl.*, vol. 29, no. 9, 2013, doi: [10.1088/0266-5611/29/9/095015](https://doi.org/10.1088/0266-5611/29/9/095015).
- [20] A. Shcheglov, "Inverse coefficient problem for a quasilinear hyperbolic equation with final overdetermination." *Comput. Math. Math. Phys.*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 616–635, 2006.
- [21] M. Slodicka and L. Seliga, "Determination of a time-dependent convolution kernel in a nonlinear hyperbolic equation." *Inverse Probl. Sci. Eng.*, vol. 24, no. 6, pp. 1011–1029, 2015, doi: [10.1080/17415977.2015.1101762](https://doi.org/10.1080/17415977.2015.1101762).
- [22] G. Uhlmann and J. Zhai, "Inverse problems for nonlinear hyperbolic equations." *Discrete Continuous Dyn Syst*, vol. 41, no. 1, pp. 455–469, 2021, doi: [10.3934/dcds.2020380](https://doi.org/10.3934/dcds.2020380).

*Authors' addresses***Galina Yu Mehdiyeva**

Baku State University, Department of Computational Mathematics, 23 Z.Khalilov Str., Az1148,  
Baku, Azerbaijan

*E-mail address:* imn\_bsu@mail.ru

**Yashar T. Mehraliyev**

Baku State University, Department of Differential and Integral Equations, 23 Z.Khalilov Str., Az1148,  
Baku, Azerbaijan

*E-mail address:* yashar\_aze@mail.ru

**Elvin Azizbayov**

**(Corresponding author)** The Academy of Public Administration under the President of the Republic of Azerbaijan, Department of Control for Intelligent Systems, 74, Lermontov Str., Az 1001, Baku, Azerbaijan

*Current address:* The Academy of Public Administration under the President of the Republic of Azerbaijan, Department of Control for Intelligent Systems, 74, Lermontov Str., Az 1001, Baku, Azerbaijan

*E-mail address:* eazizbayov@bsu.edu.az