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#### Abstract

In this paper, we prove the stability of Hadamard homomorphisms and Hadamard derivations in Banach algebras. This is applied to investigate Hadamard isomorphisms between Banach algebras.
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## 1. Introduction

In 1941, D. H. Hyers [3] gave the first partial solution to Ulam's question for the case of approximate additive mappings under the assumption that $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ are Banach spaces. In 1978, Th. M. Rassias [14] generalized the theorem of Hyers [3] by considering the stability problem with unbounded Cauchy differences. In 1991 , Z. Gajda [1], following the same approach as that by Th. M. Rassias [14] gave an affirmative solution to this question for $p>1$. It was shown by Z. Gajda [1] as well as by Th. $M_{¿}$ Rassias and P. Šemrl [15], that one cannot prove a Rassias-type theorem when $p=1$. P. Gǎvruta [2] obtained the generalized result of the Rassias theorem which allows the Cauchy difference to be controlled by a general unbounded function.

The stability problems for several functional equations or inequalities have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem (see [4, 5, 7]. The method provided by D. H. Hyers [3] which produces the additive function will be called a direct method. This method is the most important and useful tool to study the stability of different functional equations.

During the last two decades, a number of articles and research monographs have been published on various generalizations and applications of the Hyers-Ulam stability to a number of functional equations and mappings, for example, Cauchy-Jensen mappings, $k$-additive mappings, invariant means, multiplicative mappings, bounded
$n$th differences, convex functions, generalized orthogonality mappings, Euler-Lagrange functional equations, differential equations, and Navier-Stokes equations (see [9, 16-20]).

The theory of stability is a significant branch of the qualitative theory of differential equations and dynamical systems. During the recent decades many interesting results have been studied on some types differential equations (see [10, 11, 21]).

The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results, containing ternary homomorphisms and ternary derivations, concerning this problem (see [8, 13]).

Let $(A,\|\|$.$) be a normed linear space over real or complex number field. For$ $X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in A^{2}$, we define

$$
\left\|\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right\|_{\infty}:=\max \left\{\left\|x_{1}\right\|,\left\|x_{2}\right\|\right\} .
$$

Let $A$ and $B$ be complex vector spaces. A mapping $f: A \times A \longrightarrow B \times B$ is 2-additive if

$$
f\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}+y_{2}\right)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{2} f\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)
$$

for all $x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2} \in A$, and a mapping $f: A \times A \rightarrow B \times B$ is called a $\mathbb{C}$-2-linear mapping if $f$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linear for each variable.

Lemma 1. [12] Let $A$ and $B$ be real or complex normed linear spaces. Then the mapping $f: A \times A \longrightarrow B \times B$ is 2-additive if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}-y_{2}\right)+f\left(x_{1}-x_{2}, y_{1}+y_{2}\right)=2 f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)-2 f\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2} \in A$.

## 2. Stability of Hadamard homomorpisms and Hadamard ISOMORPHISMS IN COMPLEX BANACH ALGEBRAS

Let $A$ and $B$ be complex Banach algebras. Throughout this section, $A \times A$ (resp. $B \times B$ ) will be complex Banach algebra with norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ and unital ( $e, e$ ) (resp. $\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right)$ ).

Definition 1. Let $A$ be a complex Banach algebra. For $X=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), Y=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in$ $A^{2}$, the inner Hadamard product (entry-wise product) of $X$ and $Y$ is defined by

$$
X \cdot{ }_{H} Y=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \cdot{ }_{H}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right):=\left(x_{1} x_{2}, y_{1} y_{2}\right) .
$$

Definition 2. Let $A$ and $B$ be two complex Banach algebras. A $\mathbb{C}$-2-linear mapping $\varphi: A \times A \rightarrow B \times B$ is called a Hadamard homomorphism if it satisfies

$$
\varphi\left(X \cdot{ }_{H} Y\right)=\varphi(X) \cdot{ }_{H} \varphi(Y)
$$

for all $X=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), Y=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in A^{2}$.

Example 1. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ be defined by $\varphi(x, y)=(x y, x y)$. Then $\varphi$ is a Hadamard homomorphism.

Example 2. Let $M_{m n}$ be the set of all $m \times n$ real matrices, and $\varphi: \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{m n}} \rightarrow \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{m n}}$ be defined by $\varphi(X, Y)=\left(X \cdot_{H} Y, 0\right)$ for $X=\left[x_{i j}\right], Y=\left[y_{i j}\right] \in M_{m n}$, where the Hadamard product (entry-wise product) of $X$ and $Y$ is defined by

$$
X \cdot H=Z=\left[z_{i j}\right], \quad \text { where } z_{i j}=x_{i j} y_{i j} \text { for all } 1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq n
$$

Then $\varphi$ is a Hadamard homomorphism.
Lemma 2. [12] Let $A$ and $B$ be complex Banach algebras and $f: A \times A \rightarrow B \times B$ be a 2-additive mapping such that $f(\mu x, \nu y)=\mu \nu f(x, y)$ for all $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}:=\{\xi \in \mathbb{C}$ : $|\xi|=1\}$ and all $x, y \in A$. Then $f$ is 2-linear over $\mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Let $\lambda, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ be nonzero numbers and $M, N$ be two integers such that $M>$ $4|\lambda|$ and $N>4|\beta|$. Then $\left|\frac{\lambda}{M}\right|<\frac{1}{4}<1-\frac{2}{3}$ and $\left|\frac{\beta}{N}\right|<\frac{1}{4}<1-\frac{2}{3}$. By [6] Theorem 1, there exist $\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}, \nu_{1}, \nu_{2}, \nu_{3} \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$ such that $3 \frac{\lambda}{M}=\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+\mu_{3}$ and $3 \frac{\beta}{N}=v_{1}+v_{2}+$ $v_{3}$. Since $f$ is 2-additive, $f(x, y)=f\left(3 \cdot \frac{1}{3} x, 3 \cdot \frac{1}{3} y\right)=9 f\left(\frac{1}{3} x, \frac{1}{3} y\right)$ for all $x, y \in A$. Thus $f\left(\frac{1}{3} x, \frac{1}{3} y\right)=\frac{1}{9} f(x, y)$. So

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\lambda x, \beta y) & =f\left(\frac{M}{3} \cdot 3 \frac{\lambda}{M} x, \frac{N}{3} \cdot 3 \frac{\beta}{N} y\right)=M N f\left(\frac{1}{3} \cdot 3 \frac{\lambda}{M} x, \frac{1}{3} \cdot 3 \frac{\beta}{N} y\right) \\
& =\frac{M N}{9} f\left(3 \frac{\lambda}{M} x, 3 \frac{\beta}{N} y\right)=\frac{M N}{9} f\left(\mu_{1} x+\mu_{2} x+\mu_{3} x, v_{1} y+v_{2} y+v_{3} y\right) \\
& =\frac{M N}{9}\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+\mu_{3}\right)\left(v_{1}+v_{2}+v_{3}\right) f(x, y)=\frac{M N}{9} \cdot 3 \frac{\lambda}{M} \cdot 3 \frac{\beta}{N} f(x, y) \\
& =\lambda \beta f(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in A$. Therefore the mapping $f: A \times A \rightarrow B \times B$ is 2-linear over $\mathbb{C}$.
Lemma 3. Let $A$ and $B$ be complex Banach algebras and $f: A \times A \rightarrow B \times B$ be $a$ mapping such that

$$
f\left(\mu\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right), \nu\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)\right)+f\left(\mu\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right), v\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right)\right)=2 \mu \nu f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)-2 \mu v f\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)
$$

for all $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$ and all $x, y \in A$, then $f$ is 2-linear over $\mathbb{C}$.
Proof. By the use of Lemma 1 with $\mu=\mathrm{v}=1$ and Lemma 2 with $x_{2}=y_{2}=0$, the mapping $f$ is 2-linear over $\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 1. Let $A$ and $B$ be complex Banach algebras. Let $p+q<2, r+s<2$ and $\eta$ be positive real numbers. Suppose that $f: A \times A \rightarrow B \times B$ is a mapping satisfying $f(0,0)=0$ and the inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
& \| f\left(\lambda\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right), \mu\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)\right)+f\left(\lambda\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right), \mu\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right)\right)-2 \lambda \mu f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
& \quad+2 \lambda \mu f\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \|_{\infty} \leq \eta\left\{\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{p}\left\|x_{2}\right\|^{q}+\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{r}\left\|y_{2}\right\|^{s}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{T}^{1}$ and $x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2} \in A$. If the mapping $f: A \times A \rightarrow B \times B$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f\left(X \cdot_{H} Y\right)-f(X) \cdot{ }_{H} f(Y)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \eta\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{p}\left\|x_{2}\right\|^{q}\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{r}\left\|y_{2}\right\|^{s} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), Y=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in A^{2}$, then there exists a unique Hadamard homomorphism $\varphi: A \times A \rightarrow B \times B$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\varphi(x, y)-f(x, y)\|_{\infty} \leq 3 \eta\left(\frac{\|x\|^{p+q}}{4-2^{p+q}}+\frac{\|y\|^{r+s}}{4-2^{r+s}}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in A$.
Proof. Letting $\lambda=\mu=1, x_{1}=x_{2}$ and $y_{2}=-y_{1}$ in (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f\left(2 x_{1}, 2 y_{1}\right)-2 f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+2 f\left(x_{1},-y_{1}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \eta\left(\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{p+q}+\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{r+s}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, y_{1} \in A$. Setting $\lambda=\mu=1, x_{1}=y_{1}=0$ in (2.1), we get

$$
f\left(x_{2},-y_{2}\right)+f\left(-x_{2}, y_{2}\right)+2 f\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=0
$$

for all $x_{2}, y_{2} \in A$. Replacing $x_{2}$ by $x_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ by $y_{1}$ in the above inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1},-y_{1}\right)+f\left(-x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+2 f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, y_{1} \in A$. Putting $\lambda=\mu=1, x_{2}=-x_{1}$ and $y_{1}=y_{2}$ in (2.1), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f\left(2 x_{1}, 2 y_{1}\right)-2 f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+2 f\left(-x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \eta\left(\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{p+q}+\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{r+s}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, y_{1} \in A$. By (2.4) and (2.5), we get

$$
\left\|f\left(2 x_{1}, 2 y_{1}\right)-4 f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{1},-y_{1}\right)-f\left(-x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq 2 \eta\left(\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{p+q}+\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{r+s}\right)
$$

for all $x_{1}, y_{1} \in A$. By (2.4) and (2.6), we get

$$
\left\|f\left(x_{1},-y_{1}\right)-f\left(-x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \eta\left(\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{p+q}+\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{r+s}\right)
$$

for all $x_{1}, y_{1} \in A$. By the above two inequalities, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f\left(2 x_{1}, 2 y_{1}\right)-4 f\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq 3 \eta\left(\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{p+q}+\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{r+s}\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, y_{1} \in A$. Replacing $x_{1}$ by $2^{n} x_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ by $2^{n} y_{1}$ and dividing $4^{n+1}$ in (2.7), we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\frac{1}{4^{n+1}} f\left(2^{n+1} x_{1}, 2^{n+1} y_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{4^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x_{1}, 2^{n} y_{1}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \quad \leq \frac{3 \eta}{4^{n+1}}\left(2^{n(p+q)}\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{p+q}+2^{n(r+s)}\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{r+s}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x_{1}, y_{1} \in A$ and all $n=0,1,2, \cdots$. For given integers $k, m(0 \leq k \leq m)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\frac{1}{4^{m}} f\left(2^{m} x_{1}, 2^{m} y_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{4^{k}} f\left(2^{k} x_{1}, 2^{k} y_{1}\right)\right\|_{\infty}  \tag{2.8}\\
& \quad \leq \sum_{n=k}^{m-1} \frac{3 \eta}{4^{n+1}}\left(2^{n(p+q)}\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{p+q}+2^{n(r+s)}\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{r+s}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, y_{1} \in A$. By the use of (2.8), the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{4^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x_{1}, 2^{n} y_{1}\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x_{1}, y_{1} \in A$. Since $B \times B$ is complete, the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{4^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x_{1}, 2^{n} y_{1}\right)\right\}$ converges for all $x_{1}, y_{1} \in A$. Define $\varphi: A \times A \longrightarrow B \times B$ by

$$
\varphi\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x_{1}, 2^{n} y_{1}\right)
$$

for all $x_{1}, y_{1} \in A$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \| \varphi\left(x_{1}+\right.\left.x_{2}, y_{1}-y_{2}\right)+\varphi\left(x_{1}-x_{2}, y_{1}+y_{2}\right)-2 \varphi\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+2 \varphi\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \|_{\infty} \\
&= \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \| \frac{1}{4^{n}} f\left(2^{n}\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right), 2^{n}\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right)\right)+\frac{1}{4^{n}} f\left(2^{n}\left(x_{1}-x_{2}\right), 2^{n}\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right)\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{2}{4^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x_{1}, 2^{n} y_{1}\right)+\frac{2}{4^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x_{2}, 2^{n} y_{2}\right) \|_{\infty} \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta}{4^{n}}\left[2^{n(p+q)}\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{p}\left\|x_{2}\right\|^{q}+2^{n(r+s)}\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{r}\left\|y_{2}\right\|^{s}\right] \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{\zeta n}}{4^{n}} \eta\left[\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{p}\left\|x_{2}\right\|^{q}+\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{r}\left\|y_{2}\right\|^{s}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\zeta=\max \{p+q, r+s\}, x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2} \in A$ and $n=0,1,2, \ldots$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, by Lemma 3, the mapping $\varphi: A \times A \rightarrow B \times B$ is 2-linear. Setting $k=0$ and taking $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.8), one can obtain the inequality (2.3). Let $X=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right), Y=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$. From (2.2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|\varphi(X \cdot H Y)-\varphi(X) \cdot H \varphi(Y)\|_{\infty} \\
& \quad=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{16^{n}}\left\|f\left(2^{n} x_{1} \cdot 2^{n} x_{2}, 2^{n} y_{1} \cdot 2^{n} y_{2}\right)-f\left(2^{n} x_{1}, 2^{n} y_{1}\right) \cdot H f\left(2^{n} x_{2}, 2^{n} y_{2}\right)\right\| \\
& \quad \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{n(p+q+r+s)}}{16^{n}}\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{p}\left\|x_{2}\right\|^{q}\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{r}\left\|y_{2}\right\|^{s}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2} \in A$, since $p+q+r+s<4$. Thus

$$
\varphi\left(X \cdot{ }_{H} Y\right)=\varphi(X) \cdot{ }_{H} \varphi(Y)
$$

Now, let $\psi: A \times A \rightarrow B \times B$ be another 2-additive mapping satisfying (2.3). Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi(x, y)-\psi(x, y)\|_{\infty}= & \frac{1}{4^{n}}\left\|\varphi\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y\right)-\psi\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y\right)\right\|_{\infty} \\
\leq & \frac{1}{4^{n}}\left(\left\|\varphi\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y\right)-f\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y\right)\right\|_{\infty}\right. \\
& \left.+\left\|f\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y\right)-\psi\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y\right)\right\|_{\infty}\right) \\
\leq & \frac{3 \eta}{4^{n}}\left(\frac{2^{n(p+q)}\|x\|^{p+q}}{4-2^{p+q}}+\frac{2^{n(r+s)}\|y\|^{r+s}}{4-2^{r+s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq \frac{3 \eta \times 2^{n \zeta}}{4^{n}\left(4-2^{\zeta}\right)}\left(\|x\|^{p+q}+\|y\|^{r+s}\right)
$$

where $\zeta=\max \{p+q, r+s\}$. This tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x, y \in A$. So we can conclude that $\varphi(x, y)=\psi(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in A$. This proves the uniqueness of $\varphi$.

Theorem 2. Let A and B be complex Banach algebras. Let $p+q<2, r+s<2$ and $\eta$ be positive real numbers. Let $f: A \times A \rightarrow B \times B$ be a bijective mapping satisfying (2.1) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(X \cdot{ }_{H} Y\right)=f(X) \cdot{ }_{H} f(Y) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X, Y \in A^{2}$. If $f(\alpha x, \beta y)$ is continuous in $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ for each fixed $X=(x, y) \in A^{2}$ and $\frac{1}{4^{n}} f\left(2^{n} e, 2^{n} e\right)=\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right)$, then the mapping $f: A \times A \rightarrow B \times B$ is a Hadamard isomorphism.

Proof. Since $f\left(X \cdot{ }_{H} Y\right)=f(X) \cdot{ }_{H} f(Y)$ for all $X, Y \in A^{2}$, the mapping $f: A \times A \rightarrow$ $B \times B$ satisfies (2.1). By Theorem 1, there exists a Hadamard homomorphism $\varphi$ : $A^{2} \longrightarrow B^{2}$ satisfying (2.3). The mapping $\varphi: A^{2} \longrightarrow B^{2}$ is defined by

$$
\varphi(x, y)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y\right)
$$

for all $x, y \in A$.
It follows from (2.9) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(x, y) & =\varphi((e, e) \cdot H(x, y))=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^{n}} f\left(2^{n} e x, 2^{n} e y\right) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^{n}} f\left(\left(2^{n} e, 2^{n} e\right) \cdot H(x, y)\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^{n}}\left(f\left(2^{n} e, 2^{n} e\right) \cdot H f(x, y)\right) \\
& =\left(\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^{n}} f\left(2^{n} e, 2^{n} e\right)\right) \cdot H f(x, y)=\left(e^{\prime}, e^{\prime}\right) \cdot H f(x, y)=f(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x, y \in A$. So the bijective mapping $f: A \times A \rightarrow B \times B$ is a Hadamard isomorphism.

## 3. Stability of Hadamard derivations on complex Banach algebras

In this section, we prove the stability of Hadamard derivations on complex Banach algebras associated with the 2-additive functional equation.

Definition 3. Let $A$ be a complex Banach algebra. A $\mathbb{C}$-2-linear mapping $D$ : $A \times A \longrightarrow A \times A$ is a Hadamard derivation if $D$ satisfies

$$
D\left(X \cdot{ }_{H} Y\right)=D(X) \cdot{ }_{H} Y^{2}+X^{2} \cdot{ }_{H} D(Y)
$$

for all $X, Y \in A^{2}$.

Theorem 3. Let $A$ be a complex Banach algebra. Let $p+q<2, r+s<2$ and $\eta$ be positive real numbers. Let $f: A \times A \rightarrow A \times A$ be a mapping satisfying (2.1) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f\left(X \cdot{ }_{H} Y\right)-f(X) \cdot{ }_{H} Y^{2}-X^{2} \cdot{ }_{H} f(Y)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \eta\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{p}\left\|x_{2}\right\|^{q}\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{r}\left\|y_{2}\right\|^{s} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2} \in A$. Then there exists a unique Hadamard derivation $\varphi: A \times A \rightarrow$ $A \times A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|D(x, y)-f(x, y)\| \leq 3 \eta\left(\frac{\|\left. x\right|^{p+q}}{4-2^{p+q}}+\frac{\|y\|^{r+s}}{4-2^{r+s}}\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By (2.8), the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{4^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x_{1}, 2^{n} y_{1}\right)\right\}$ is a Cauchy sequence for all $x_{1}, y_{1} \in A$. Since $A$ is complete, the sequence $\left\{\frac{1}{4^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x_{1}, 2^{n} y_{1}\right)\right\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $D: A \times A \longrightarrow A \times A$ by

$$
D(x, y)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x_{1}, 2^{n} y_{1}\right)
$$

for all $x_{1}, y_{1} \in A$. By the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1, we get the fact that $D$ is 2-bilinear.

It follows from (3.1) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|D\left(X \cdot{ }_{H} Y\right)-D(X) \cdot H Y^{2}-X^{2} \cdot H D(Y)\right\|_{\infty} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \| \frac{1}{4^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x_{1} x_{2}, 2^{n} y_{1} y_{2}\right)-\frac{1}{4^{n}} f\left(2^{n} x_{1}, 2^{n} y_{1}\right) \cdot H\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad-\frac{1}{4^{n}}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)^{2} \cdot H f\left(2^{n} x_{2}, 2^{n} y_{2}\right) \|_{\infty} \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^{4 n}} \| f\left(2^{n} x_{1} \cdot 2^{n} x_{2}, 2^{n} y_{1} \cdot 2^{n} y_{2}\right)-f\left(2^{n} x_{1}, 2^{n} y_{1}\right) \cdot H\left(2^{n} x_{2}, 2^{n} y_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& \quad \quad-\left(2^{n} x_{1}, 2^{n} y_{1}\right)^{2} \cdot H f\left(2^{n} x_{2}, 2^{n} y_{2}\right) \|_{\infty} \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{n(p+q+r+s)}}{2^{4 n}}\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{p}\left\|x_{2}\right\|^{q}\left\|y_{1}\right\|^{r}\left\|y_{2}\right\|^{s}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2} \in A$. So

$$
D\left(X \cdot{ }_{H} Y\right)=D(X) \cdot{ }_{H} Y^{2}+X^{2} \cdot{ }_{H} D(Y)
$$

for all $x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2} \in A$. Now, let $\Psi$ be another mapping satisfying (3.2). Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \|D(x, y)-\psi(x, y)\|_{\infty}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^{n}}\left\|D\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y\right)-\psi\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y\right)\right\|_{\infty} \\
& \quad \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^{n}}\left(\left\|D\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y\right)-f\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y\right)\right\|_{\infty}+\left\|f\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y\right)-\psi\left(2^{n} x, 2^{n} y\right)\right\|_{\infty}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3 \eta}{4^{n}}\left(\frac{2^{n(p+q)}\|x\|^{p+q}}{4-2^{p+q}}+\frac{2^{n(r+s)}\|y\|^{r+s}}{4-2^{r+s}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{3 \eta \times 2^{n \zeta}}{4^{n}\left(4-2^{\zeta}\right)}\left(\|x\|^{p+q}+\|y\|^{r+s}\right)
$$

By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 1, the mapping $D: A \times A \longrightarrow A \times A$ is a unique Hadamard derivation satisfying (3.2).

## 4. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of Hadamard homomorphisms and Hadamard derivations in Banach algebras associated to the bi-additive functional equation (1.1). This has been applied to investigate Hadamard isomorphisms between Banach algebras.
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