

## ENERGY CONCENTRATION OF THE P-LANDAU-LIFSCHITZ FUNCTIONAL WITH RADIAL STRUCTURE

### HAIYAN HAN AND QINGHUA CHEN

Received 19 September, 2021

Abstract. This paper is concerned with the asymptotic behavior of a p-Landau-Lifschitz type functional with radial structure as parameter goes to zero. We study the concentration compactness and give several global properties in the case of p > 2.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35B25; 35J70; 35Q56

Keywords: Landau-Lifschitz functional, radial minimizer, asymptotic behavior, energy, liquid crystals

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $B = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2; x_1^2 + x_2^2 < 1\}$ . Denote  $\mathbb{S}^1 = \{x = (x_1 + ix_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}; x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 1, x_3 = 0\}$  and  $\mathbb{S}^2 = \{x \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}; x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = 1\}$ . Let  $g(x) = (e^{id\theta}, 0)$  where  $x = (\cos\theta, \sin\theta)$  on  $\partial B$ ,  $d \in N$ . We are concerned with the minimizer of the energy functional of p-Landau-Lifschitz type

$$E_{\varepsilon}(u,B) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{B} |\nabla u|^{p} dx + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^{p}} \int_{B} u_{3}^{2} dx \quad (p > 2)$$

in the function class

$$W = \{ u(x) = (\sin f(r)e^{id\theta}, \cos f(r)) \in W^{1,p}(B, \mathbb{S}^2); u|_{\partial B} = g \},\$$

which is named the radial minimizer of  $E_{\varepsilon}(u, B)$ .

When p = 2, the functional  $E_{\varepsilon}(u, B)$  was introduced in the study of some simplified model of high-energy physics, which controls the statics of planar ferromagnets and antiferromagnets (cf. [8] and [15]). In addition, it is helpful to understand the dynamics of singularities appearing in the liquid crystals (cf. [2, 7, 12, 14] and [6]). In particular, the authors of [7] discussed the asymptotic behaviour of the radial minimizer of  $E_{\varepsilon}(u, B)$  in §5. When the penalization term  $\frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} \int_B u_3^2 dx$  is replaced by  $\frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2} \int_B (1 - |u|^2)^2 dx$  and  $\mathbb{S}^2$  is replaced by  $\mathbb{C}$ , the functional becomes the Ginzburg-Landau energy introduced in the theory of superconductors (cf. [3] and the references therein). Nineteen problems were proposed in [3]. Comte and Mironescu studied Problem 7 in [4, 5, 13]. Problem 7 and Theorems VII.2 and VII.3 in [3] describe the

© 2022 Miskolc University Press

### H. HAN AND Q. CHEN

global properties of the Ginzburg-Landau functional. For the Landau-Lifschitz functional, Theorem 4.2 in [7] shows analogous results of Theorems VII.2 and VII.3 in [3].

When p > 2, Lei studied the behaviour of minimizers of  $E_{\varepsilon}(u,B)$  as  $\varepsilon \to 0$  (cf. [10]). In addition, he also proved the  $W_{loc}^{1,p}$  convergence of the radial minimizers, and obtained some estimates of the convergent rate of the radial minimizer (cf. [9]). For the p-Ginzburg-Landau functional, the behaviour of radial minimizers was studied in [1] and [11]. In particular, the analogous global properties are shown in [11].

In polar coordinates, for  $u(x) = (\sin f(r)e^{id\theta}, \cos f(r))$ , we have

$$|\nabla u| = (f_r^2 + d^2 r^{-2} \sin^2 f)^{1/2}.$$

Sometimes we denote  $\sin f(r)e^{id\theta}$  by u'. If we denote

$$V = \{ f \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(0,1]; r^{1/p} f_r, r^{(1-p)/p} \sin f \in L^p(0,1), f(r) \ge 0, f(1) = \frac{\pi}{2} \},\$$

then  $V = \{f(r); u(x) = (\sin f(r)e^{id\theta}, \cos f(r)) \in W\}.$ Substituting  $u(x) = (\sin f(r)e^{id\theta}, \cos f(r)) \in W$  into F(u, r)

Substituting  $u(x) = (\sin f(r)e^{id\theta}, \cos f(r)) \in W$  into  $E_{\varepsilon}(u, B)$  we obtain

$$E_{\varepsilon}(u,B) = 2\pi E_{\varepsilon}(f,(0,1)),$$

where

$$E_{\varepsilon}(f,(0,1)) = \int_0^1 \left[\frac{1}{p}(f_r^2 + d^2r^{-2}\sin^2 f)^{p/2} + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^p}\cos^2 f\right] r dr.$$

This shows that  $u = (\sin f(r)e^{id\theta}, \cos f(r)) \in W$  is the minimizer of  $E_{\varepsilon}(u, B)$  if and only if  $f(r) \in V$  is the minimizer of  $E_{\varepsilon}(f, (0, 1))$ . Applying the direct method in the calculus of variations we can see that the functional  $E_{\varepsilon}(u, B)$  achieves its minimum on W by a function  $u_{\varepsilon}(x) = (\sin f_{\varepsilon}(r)e^{id\theta}, \cos f_{\varepsilon}(r))$ , hence  $f_{\varepsilon}(r)$  is the minimizer of  $E_{\varepsilon}(f, (0, 1))$ .

Recall some results in [9]. Let  $u_{\varepsilon} = (\sin f_{\varepsilon}(r)e^{id\theta}, \cos f_{\varepsilon}(r))$  be a radial minimizer of  $E_{\varepsilon}(u, B)$  on W. Then Theorem 1.1 in [9] shows that for any  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ , there exists a constant  $h = h(\gamma)$  which is independent of  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$Z_{\varepsilon} = \{ x \in B; |u_{\varepsilon 3}| > \gamma \} \subset B(0, h\varepsilon).$$
(1.1)

This implies that all the points where  $u_{\varepsilon_3}^2 = 1$  are contained in  $B(0,h\varepsilon)$ . Hence as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , these points converge to 0. Furthermore, Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 1.3 in [9] show that for any compact subset  $K \subset \overline{B} \setminus \{0\}$ , there exists a positive constant *C* (independent of  $\varepsilon$ ), such that

$$E_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, K) \le C \tag{1.2}$$

$$\sup_{x \in K} |u_{\varepsilon 3}(x)| \le C \varepsilon^{\frac{p-2}{2}}.$$
(1.3)

716

Here  $K = \overline{B} \setminus B(0, \eta)$ . In addition, Proposition 2.1 in [9] shows

$$E_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, B) \le C \varepsilon^{2-p}. \tag{1.4}$$

In this paper, we will study the global properties of the p-Landau-Lifschitz model, which are described by the concentration properties.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $u_{\varepsilon} = (\sin f_{\varepsilon}(r)e^{id\theta}, \cos f_{\varepsilon}(r))$  be a radial minimizer of  $E_{\varepsilon}(u, B)$  on *W*. Then as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , there exists a subsequence  $\varepsilon_k$  such that

$$\frac{1}{2\varepsilon_k^2}|u_{\varepsilon_k3}|^2 \to L_1\delta_o, \quad weakly \ star \ in \ C(\overline{B}), \tag{1.5}$$

$$\varepsilon_k^{p-2} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon_k}|^p \to \frac{2p}{p-2} L_1 \delta_o, \quad weakly \ star \ in \ C(\overline{B}).$$
 (1.6)

Here  $\delta_o$  is the Dirac mass at the origin, and the positive constant  $L_1$  satisfies

$$\frac{\pi d^p}{p} \sup_{\gamma \in (0,1)} (1 - \gamma^2)^{p/2} h^{2-p}(\gamma) \le L_1 \le (1 - \frac{2}{p}) \min_{W} E_1(u, B) + \frac{2\pi d^p}{p^2}, \tag{1.7}$$

where  $h(\gamma)$  is a positive constant in (1.1).

**Theorem 2.** Let  $u_{\varepsilon} = (\sin f_{\varepsilon}(r)e^{id\theta}, \cos f_{\varepsilon}(r))$  be a radial minimizer of  $E_{\varepsilon}(u, B)$ on W. Then for any  $\alpha \ge 2 - 4/p$ , we can find a subsequence  $\varepsilon_k$  of  $\varepsilon$ , and constants  $L_3 > 0$  and  $L_4 \ge 0$  which are independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that as  $k \to \infty$ ,

$$|u_{\varepsilon_k 3}|^{\alpha} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon_k}|^2 \to L_3 \delta_o, \quad weakly \ star \ in \ C(\overline{B}), \tag{1.8}$$

$$\varepsilon_k^{p-2} |\det(\nabla u'_{\varepsilon_k})|^{p/2} \to L_4 \delta_o, \quad weakly \ star \ in \ C(\overline{B}).$$
(1.9)

The related results in higher dimension to Theorems 2 and 1 can be found in [16] and [17].

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

2.1. *Proofs of* (1.5) *and* (1.6)

In view of (1.4), there exist two Radon measures  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$ , such that as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\varepsilon_k^{p-2} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon_k}|^p \to \omega_1, \quad \text{weakly star in} \quad C(\overline{B}),$$
 (2.1)

$$\frac{1}{2\varepsilon_k^2} u_{\varepsilon_k 3}^2 \to \omega_2, \quad \text{weakly star in} \quad C(\overline{B}), \tag{2.2}$$

for some subsequence  $\varepsilon_k$  of  $\varepsilon$ . Sometimes we also denote  $u_{\varepsilon_k}$  by  $u_{\varepsilon}$  for convenience. Furthermore, (1.2) implies that as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{p-2} \int_{K} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p} dx &\to 0, \\ \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^{2}} \int_{K} u_{\varepsilon^{3}}^{2} dx &\to 0, \end{aligned}$$

### H. HAN AND Q. CHEN

where *K* is an arbitrary compact subset of  $B \setminus \{0\}$ . These results lead to  $\supp(\omega_i) \subset \{0\}$  for i = 1, 2. Then we can find constants  $L_1$  and  $L_2$  such that

$$\omega_1 = L_2 \delta_o, \quad \omega_2 = L_1 \delta_o. \tag{2.3}$$

Next, we shall point out the relation between  $L_1$  and  $L_2$ . It is not difficult to see that the radial minimizer  $u_{\varepsilon}$  solves the system

$$-\operatorname{div}[|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u] = u|\nabla u|^{p} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{p}}(uu_{3}^{2} - u_{3}e_{3}) \text{ in } B.$$
(2.4)

Multiplying (2.4) by  $x \cdot \nabla u$  and integrating by parts, we can obtain the Pohozaev type identity

$$-\int_{\partial B_{R}(0)} |x| |\nabla u|^{p-2} |\partial_{v} u|^{2} ds + \int_{B_{R}(0)} |\nabla u|^{p} dx - \frac{2}{p} \int_{B_{R}(0)} |\nabla u|^{p} dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial B_{R}(0)} |x| |\nabla u|^{p} ds = -\frac{1}{2\epsilon^{p}} \int_{\partial B_{R}(0)} |x| u_{3}^{2} ds + \frac{1}{\epsilon^{p}} \int_{B_{R}(0)} u_{3}^{2} dx \quad (2.5)$$

for any  $R \in (0, 1]$ . Hereafter, we denote  $f_{\varepsilon}$  by f. By (1.2) and the mean value theorem, there exists  $\sigma \in (1/4, 1/2)$  such that

$$r[(f_r)^2 + d^2 r^{-2} (n-1) \sin^2 f]^{p/2}|_{r=\sigma} + \frac{r}{\epsilon^p} \cos^2 f|_{r=\sigma} \le C.$$
(2.6)

Then, we take  $R = \sigma$  in (2.5) and multiply it by  $\varepsilon^{p-2}$  to obtain

$$-\varepsilon^{p-2}\sigma^{2}[(f_{r})^{2} + \frac{d^{2}}{r^{2}}\sin^{2}f]^{p/2}|_{r=\sigma} + (1 - \frac{2}{p})\varepsilon^{p-2}\int_{0}^{\sigma}[(f_{r})^{2} + \frac{d^{2}}{r^{2}}\sin^{2}f]^{p/2}rdr$$
$$+ \frac{\sigma^{2}}{p}\varepsilon^{p-2}[(f_{r})^{2} + \frac{d^{2}}{r^{2}}\sin^{2}f]^{p/2}|_{r=\sigma} = -\frac{\sigma^{2}}{2\varepsilon^{2}}\cos^{2}f|_{r=\sigma} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\int_{0}^{\sigma}\cos^{2}frdr.$$

Using (2.6), we get

$$(1-\frac{2}{p})\varepsilon^{p-2}\int_{B_{\sigma}(0)}|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^{p}dx - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}\int_{B_{\sigma}(0)}u_{\varepsilon^{3}}^{2}dx \to 0$$
(2.7)

as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Combining this result with (2.1)-(2.3), we obtain

$$L_2 = \frac{2p}{p-2}L_1.$$

Thus, (1.5) and (1.6) are proved.

2.2. *Proof of* (1.7)

Step 1. Upper bound

Similar to the proof of Proposition 2.1 in [9], it is easy to derive

$$\varepsilon^{p-2}E_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon},B) \le \frac{2\pi d^p}{p(p-2)} + \min_{W} E_1(u,B) + C\varepsilon^{p-2}.$$
(2.8)

718

719

Here C > 0 is independent of  $\varepsilon$ . On the other hand, (1.5) and (1.6) lead to

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon^{p-2}}{p} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^p + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon^2} u_{\varepsilon 3}^2 \right] = \frac{p}{p-2} L_1 \delta_o, \quad \text{weakly star in} \quad C(\bar{B}).$$
(2.9)

This result, together with (2.8), implies the upper bound of  $L_1$  in (1.7).

### Step 2. Lower bound

From (1.1), we can deduce that, for any  $\sigma > 0$ , there exists  $C = C(\sigma) > 0$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that

$$\int_{h\varepsilon}^{\sigma} [(f_r)^2 + d^2 r^{-2} \sin^2 f]^{p/2} r dr \ge d^p \int_{h\varepsilon}^{\sigma} r^{1-p} \sin^p f dr \ge \frac{d^p}{p-2} (1-\gamma^2)^{p/2} h^{2-p}(\gamma) \varepsilon^{2-p} - C(\sigma).$$
(2.10)

Applying (2.7), we obtain that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{p-2} E_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, B_{\sigma}(0)) = \pi \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{p-2} \int_0^{\sigma} [(f_r)^2 + d^2 r^{-2} \sin^2 f]^{p/2} r dr.$$

Inserting (2.10) into this result, we deduce that for any  $\eta \in (0,1)$ ,

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{p-2} E_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, B_{\sigma}(0)) \geq \frac{\pi d^p}{p-2} (1-\gamma^2)^{p/2} h^{2-p}(\gamma).$$

Taking the supremum and writing

$$H := \sup_{\mathbf{y} \in (0,1)} (1 - \mathbf{y}^2)^{p/2} h^{2-p}(\mathbf{y}),$$

we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{p-2} E_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}, B_{\sigma}(0)) \ge \frac{\pi d^p}{p-2} H$$

Combining this with (2.9), we can get  $\frac{p}{p-2}L_1 \ge \frac{\pi d^p}{p-2}H$ . This means  $L_1 \ge \frac{\pi d^p}{p}H$ , thus we obtain the lower bound of  $L_1$  in (1.7).

# 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

## 3.1. *Proof of* (1.8)

According to Proposition 2.2 in [18], there exists a constant C = C(h) > 0 which is independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that

$$\|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}(B(0,h\varepsilon))} \le C\varepsilon^{-1}.$$
(3.1)

Therefore,

$$\int_{B(0,h\varepsilon)} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 |u_{\varepsilon 3}|^{\alpha} dx \le \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \pi (h\varepsilon)^2 \le C.$$
(3.2)

Next, using Hölder's inequality and (1.3) and (1.2), we see that as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\int_{B\setminus B(0,\sigma)} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 |u_{\varepsilon 3}|^{\alpha} dx \le \left[\int_{B\setminus B(0,\sigma)} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^p dx\right]^{\frac{p}{p}} \left[\int_{B\setminus B(0,\sigma)} |u_{\varepsilon 3}|^{\frac{p\alpha}{p-2}} dx\right]^{\frac{p\alpha}{p}} \to 0.$$
(3.3)

By the same derivation of (13) in [13], we also get from  $||u_{\varepsilon 3}||_{L^2(B)} \le C\varepsilon$  (which is deduced by (1.4)) that

$$\int_{h\varepsilon}^{\sigma} \frac{d^2}{r^2} (\sin f)^2 (\cos f)^{\alpha} r dr \le C$$
(3.4)

by using Hölder's inequality. In addition, noting  $\alpha \ge 2 - \frac{4}{p}$ , using Hölder's inequality and (1.4), we also deduce that

$$\int_{h\varepsilon}^{\sigma} (f_r)^2 (\cos f)^{\alpha} r dr \le C \int_{h\varepsilon}^{\sigma} (f_r)^2 (\cos f)^{2-\frac{4}{p}} r dr$$
$$\le C (\int_{h\varepsilon}^{\sigma} (\cos f)^2 r dr)^{1-\frac{2}{p}} (\int_{h\varepsilon}^{\sigma} (f_r)^p r dr)^{\frac{2}{p}} \le C \varepsilon^{2(1-\frac{2}{p})+\frac{2}{p}(2-p)} \le C. \quad (3.5)$$

Combining this result with (3.2)-(3.4), and noting  $|\nabla u|^2 = (f_r)^2 + \frac{d^2}{r^2}(\sin f)^2$ , we obtain that  $|\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 |u_{\varepsilon 3}|^{\alpha}$  is bounded in  $L^1(B)$ . Thus, there exists a Radon measure  $\omega_3$  such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 |u_{\varepsilon 3}|^{\alpha} = \omega_3, \quad \text{weakly star in} \quad C(\overline{B}).$$

By virtue of (3.3),  $supp(\omega_3) \subset \{0\}$ . Hence we can find  $L_3 \ge 0$  such that  $\omega_3 = L_3 \delta_o$ .

We claim  $L_3 > 0$ . Since  $f(r) \in C[0, 1]$  and f(0) = 0 (see Remark in p.68 of [9]),  $f(h\varepsilon) \ge 1/2$  (which can be deduced by (1.1) with  $\gamma = \cos(1/2)$ ), there must exist  $r_{\varepsilon} \in (0,h\varepsilon)$  such that  $f(r_{\varepsilon}) = 1/4$ . Using (3.1), we can find a sufficiently small positive constant  $\delta$  which is independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that

$$\frac{1}{8} \le f(x) \le \frac{3}{8}, \quad r \in (r_{\varepsilon}(1-\delta), r_{\varepsilon}(1+\delta)).$$

Therefore,

$$\int_{B(0,r_{\varepsilon}(1+\delta))\setminus B(0,r_{\varepsilon}(1-\delta))} (\cos f)^{\alpha} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}|^2 dx \geq 2\pi d^2 (\sin \frac{1}{8})^2 (\cos \frac{3}{8})^{\alpha} \int_{r_{\varepsilon}(1-\delta)}^{r_{\varepsilon}(1+\delta)} \frac{dr}{r} > 0.$$

This implies  $L_3 > 0$ . Equation (1.8) is proved.

3.2. Proof of (1.9)

By a direct calculation, it follows

$$\det(\nabla u'_{\varepsilon}) = \frac{d}{r^2} (\sin f \cos f) (x \cdot \nabla f).$$
(3.6)

Using Hölder's inequality and (1.2), we get

$$\int_{B\setminus B(0,\sigma)} |\det(\nabla u_{\varepsilon}')|^{p/2} dx \leq C.$$

This means that when  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ,

$$\varepsilon^{p-2} \int_{B \setminus B(0,\sigma)} |\det(\nabla u_{\varepsilon}')|^{p/2} dx \to 0.$$
(3.7)

720

In addition, in view of  $|\det(\nabla u_{\varepsilon}')| \leq \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}'|^2$ , we can deduce from (1.4) that

$$\varepsilon^{p-2}\int_{B(0,\sigma)} |\det(\nabla u_{\varepsilon}')|^{p/2} dx \leq C\varepsilon^{p-2}\int_{B(0,\sigma)} |\nabla u_{\varepsilon}'|^p dx \leq C.$$

Combining this with (3.7) yields the upper bound of  $\varepsilon^{p-2} |\det(\nabla u_{\varepsilon}')|^{p/2}$  in  $L^{1}(B)$ . Then, we can find a Radon measure  $\omega_{4}$  such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon^{p-2} |\det(\nabla u_{\varepsilon}')|^{p/2} = \omega_4, \text{ weakly star in } C(\overline{B}).$$

In view of (3.7),  $supp(\omega_4) \subset \{0\}$ . There exists a constant  $L_4 \ge 0$  such that  $\omega_4 = L_4 \delta_o$ . The proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The work is supported by NNSF of China (No. 11871278).

The authors are grateful to the referees for their valuable comments. Their suggestions have improved this article.

### REFERENCES

- Y. Almog, L. Berlyand, D. Golovaty, and I. Shafrir, "Radially symmetric minimizers for a *p*-Ginzburg-Landau type energy in R<sup>2</sup>." *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equat.*, vol. 42, no. 3-4, pp. 517–546, 2011, doi: 10.1007/s00526-011-0396-9.
- [2] N. Andre and I. Shafrir, "On Nematics Stabilized by a Large External Field." *Rev. Math. Phys.*, vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 653–710, 1999, doi: 10.1142/S0129055X99000234.
- [3] F. Bethuel, H. Brézis, and F. Hélein, *Ginzburg-Landau vortices*. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser, 1994, vol. 13.
- [4] M. Comte and P. Mironescu, "Some properties of the Ginzburg-Landau minimizers." C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., vol. 320, no. 11, pp. 1323–1326, 1995.
- [5] M. Comte and P. Mironescu, "The behavior of a Ginzburg-Landau minimizer near its zeroes." *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 323–340, 1996, doi: 10.1007/BF01190822.
- [6] S. Gala and M. A. Ragusa, "A logarithmic regularity criterion for the two-dimensional MHD equations." J. Math. Anal. Appl., vol. 444, no. 2, pp. 1752–1758, 2016, doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.07.001.
- [7] F. B. Hang and F. H. Lin, "Static Theory for Planar Ferromagnets and Antiferromagnets." Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 541–580, 2001, doi: 10.1007/s101140100136.
- [8] S. Komineas and N. Papanicolaou, "Vortex dynamics in two-dimensional antiferromagnets," Nonlinearity, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 265–290, 1998, doi: 10.1088/0951-7715/11/2/005.
- [9] Y. Lei, "Asymptotic Analysis of the Radial Minimizers of an Energy Functional." *Methods Appl. Anal.*, vol. 10, no. 1, pp. 67–80, 2003, doi: 10.4310/MAA.2003.v10.n1.a4.
- [10] Y. Lei, "Asymptotic properties of minimizers of a *p*-energy functional." *Nonlinear Anal.*, vol. 68, no. 6, pp. 1421–1431, 2008, doi: 10.1016/j.na.2006.12.051.
- [11] Y. Lei and Y. Xu, "Global analysis of a p-Ginzburg-Landau energy with radial structure." J. Math. Anal. Appl., vol. 372, no. 2, pp. 538–548, 2010, doi: 10.1016/j.jmaa.2010.06.037.

### H. HAN AND Q. CHEN

- [12] P. Milosevic, E. Milovanovic, M. Matejic, and I. Milovanovic, "On relations between Kirchhoff index, Laplacian energy, Laplacian-energy-like invariant and degree deviation of graphs." *Filomat*, vol. 34, no. 3, pp. 1025–1033, 2020, doi: 10.2298/FIL2003025M.
- [13] P. Mironescu, "Une estimation pour les minimiseurs de l'energie de Ginzburg-Landau." C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math., vol. 319, no. 9, pp. 941–943, 1994.
- [14] A. A. Mohammad, G. Sadek, and R. M. Alessandra, "On the Blow-up Criterion for Incompressible Stokes-MHD Equations." *Results Math.*, vol. 73, no. 3, pp. 110–, 2018, doi: 10.1007/s00025-018-0874-x.
- [15] N. Papanicolaou and P. N. Spathis, "Semitopological solitons in planar ferromagnets." *Nonlinear-ity*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 285–302, 1999, doi: 10.1088/0951-7715/12/2/008.
- [16] L. Qi and Y. Lei, "On energy estimates for a Landau-Lifschitz type functional in higher dimensions." J. Korean Math. Soc., vol. 46, no. 6, pp. 1207–1218, 2009, doi: 10.4134/JKMS.2009.46.6.1207.
- [17] B. Wang, Y. Cai, and Y. Lei, "Concentration compactness of a Landau-Lifschitz type energy with radial structure." *Math. Slovaca*, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 367–378, 2014, doi: 10.2478/s12175-014-0210-1.
- [18] Y. Zheng and Y. Lei, "Asymptotic behavior of radial minimizers of a *p*-energy functional with nonvanishing Dirichlet boundary condition." *Differ. Equ. Appl.*, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 237–252, 2009, doi: 10.7153/dea-01-13.

### Authors' addresses

#### Haiyan Han

Department of Teacher Education, Maanshan Teacher's College, Maanshan, Anhui, 243041, China, *E-mail address:* 349818273@qq.com

### Qinghua Chen

(**Corresponding author**) Institute of Mathematics, School of Mathematical Sciences, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing, 210023, China,

E-mail address: chenqinghua.njnu@qq.com