



ON JORDAN HOMO-DERIVATION OF TRIANGULAR ALGEBRAS

NADEEM UR REHMAN AND HAFEDH M. ALNOGHASHI

Received 18 May, 2021

Abstract. We define an \mathbb{R} -linear map ϕ from \mathbb{A} to an \mathbb{A} -bimodule \mathbb{M} is said to be Jordan homo-derivation if $\phi(x^2) = \phi(x)x + x\phi(x) + \phi(x)^2$ for each $x \in \mathbb{A}$. In this article, we proved that every Jordan homo-derivation to be homo-derivation on triangular algebras.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16W25; 47B47; 47L35

Keywords: Jordan homo-derivation, triangular algebras

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{R} be a commutative ring, and \mathbb{A} be an algebra over \mathbb{R} . An \mathbb{R} -linear map ψ from \mathbb{A} into an \mathbb{A} -bimodule \mathbb{M} is said to be a derivation if $\psi(xy) = \psi(x)y + x\psi(y)$ hold $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{A}$. It is called a Jordan derivation if $\psi(a^2) = \psi(a)a + a\psi(a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{A}$. Any derivation is clearly a Jordan derivation. In general, the converse is not true (see [1]). Any Jordan derivation on a 2-torsion free prime ring is a derivation, according to a well-known Herstein [8] result. [4] contains a brief proof of this finding. Bresar [5], demonstrated in 1988 that Herstein's result holds in 2-torsion free semiprime rings. Jordan derivations of unital algebras with idempotents were investigated by Benkovic and Sirovnik [3] in 2012.

Let an \mathbb{R} -linear map ϕ from \mathbb{A} to an \mathbb{A} -bimodule \mathbb{M} is said to be a homo-derivation if $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)y + x\phi(y) + \phi(x)\phi(y)$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{A}$, (see [7]). We call ϕ a Jordan homo-derivation if it is \mathbb{R} -linear and $\phi(x^2) = \phi(x)x + x\phi(x) + \phi(x)^2$ for each $x \in \mathbb{A}$, (see [9]). Obviously, every homo-derivation is a Jordan homo-derivation. But the converse, in general, is not true, for example see Example 1. If $\mathfrak{S} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, then a mapping $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ preserves \mathfrak{S} if $\phi(\mathfrak{S}) \subseteq \mathfrak{S}$. A mapping $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be zero-power valued on \mathfrak{S} if ϕ preserves \mathfrak{S} and if for each $a \in \mathfrak{S}$, there exists a positive integer $n(a) > 1$ such that $\phi^{n(a)} = 0$, [7].

The \mathbb{R} -algebra $\mathfrak{T} = \text{Tri}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{B})$ is an algebra of the form

$$\text{Tri}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{B}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & m \\ & b \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{A}, b \in \mathbb{B}, m \in \mathbb{M} \right\}$$

under the usual matrix operations will be called a triangular algebra [2], where \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} are two algebras over the commutative ring \mathbb{R} , and \mathbb{M} is a (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) -bimodule that is faithful as both a left \mathbb{A} -module and a right \mathbb{B} -module. Recently, some problems on triangular algebra have been determined by many authors. Any Jordan derivation from the algebra of all upper triangular matrices into its arbitrary bimodule, as demonstrated by Benkovic [1], is the sum of a derivation and an antiderivation on triangular matrices over commutative rings. Jordan derivations of triangular algebras are derivations, according to Zhang and Yu (2006) ([10]). It's normal to ask if a Jordan homo-derivation from a triangular algebra to itself is really a derivation. The primary goal of this article is to investigate this problem.

2. JORDAN HOMO-DERIVATIONS ON TRIANGULAR MATRIX RINGS

For the sake of notational clarity, let $\mathfrak{T} = \text{Tri}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{B})$ be a triangular algebra. Set

$$\mathfrak{T}_{11} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a \in \mathbb{A} \right\}, \quad \mathfrak{T}_{12} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : m \in \mathbb{M} \right\}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{T}_{22} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} : b \in \mathbb{B} \right\}.$$

Then we can write $\mathfrak{T} = \mathfrak{T}_{11} \oplus \mathfrak{T}_{12} \oplus \mathfrak{T}_{22}$. Henceforth the element A_{ij} belongs \mathfrak{T}_{ij} and the corresponding elements are in \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B} or \mathbb{M} . By a direct calculation $A_{ij}A_{kl} = 0$ if $j \neq k$ where $i, j, k \in \{1, 2\}$.

Throughout our discussion, \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} will be two algebras over 2-torsion free ring \mathbb{R} with the property:

(♣) Let $r \in \mathbb{A}$ (resp \mathbb{B}). If $prq + qrp = 0$ holds $\forall p, q \in \mathbb{A}$ (resp. \mathbb{B}), then $r = 0$.

Moreover, ϕ will be a Jordan homo-derivation from triangular algebra \mathfrak{T} into itself and zero-power valued on \mathfrak{T} , and preserves on \mathfrak{T}_{11} and \mathfrak{T}_{22} , where \mathbb{M} is a faithful (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) -bimodule.

We begin with Cheng and Jing's [6, Lemma 2.1] result, which is essential for developing the proof of our main theorem.

Lemma 1. *Let r be in \mathbb{A} (resp. \mathbb{B}).*

- (i) *If $prp = 0$ for any $p \in \mathbb{A}$ (resp. \mathbb{B}), then $r = 0$;*
- (ii) *If $rp + pr = 0$ for any $p \in \mathbb{A}$ (resp. \mathbb{B}), then $r = 0$.*

The main result of the paper is as follows:

Theorem 1. *Let \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} be two algebras over a 2-torsion free commutative ring \mathbb{R} with the property (♣). Let \mathbb{M} be a faithful (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) -bimodule and \mathfrak{T} be the triangular algebra $\text{Tri}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{M}, \mathbb{B})$. Let a mapping $\phi: \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}$ be zero-power valued on \mathfrak{T} , and preserves on \mathfrak{T}_{11} and \mathfrak{T}_{22} . Then every Jordan homo-derivation ϕ on \mathfrak{T} into itself is a homo-derivation.*

In Example 1, we are putting $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{B} = \mathbb{K}$ and $\mathbb{R} = \mathbb{Z}$. It is easy to see that \mathfrak{T} does not satisfy the property (\clubsuit), and ϕ is a Jordan homo-derivation, but it is not homo-derivation.

Example 1. Consider S be a ring such that $s^2 = 0$ for all $s \in S$, but the product of some elements of S is nonzero. Since $s^2 = 0$, so $(s+t)^2 = 0$ for all $s, t \in S$ this implies that $s \circ t = 0$ for all $s, t \in S$. Suppose $\mathbb{K} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p & q \\ 0 & 0 & r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : p, q, r \in S \right\}$. Let $\phi: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that $\phi \begin{pmatrix} 0 & p & q \\ 0 & 0 & r \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Note that ϕ is a Jordan homo-derivation, but it is not homo-derivation.

We start with the following Lemma due to Herstein [8]

Lemma 2. *Let \mathbb{A} be an algebra over a 2-torsion free ring commutative ring, and Let ϕ be a Jordan homo-derivation from \mathbb{A} into its bimodule. Then*

- (i) $\phi(A \circ B) = \phi(A) \circ B + A \circ \phi(B) + \phi(A) \circ \phi(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathbb{A}$;
- (ii)

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(ABA) &= \phi(A)BA + A\phi(B)A + AB\phi(A) + \phi(A)\phi(B)A \\ &\quad + A\phi(B)\phi(A) + \phi(A)B\phi(A) + \phi(A)\phi(B)\phi(A) \end{aligned}$$

for all $A, B \in \mathbb{A}$.

Proof.

(i) We compute $(A + B)^2$ in two ways, we get the required result.

(ii) Note that $A \circ (A \circ B) = A^2 \circ B + 2ABA$. We compute $\phi(A \circ (A \circ B))$ and $\phi(A^2 \circ B + 2ABA)$ by using Lemma 2 (i) and definition of Jordan homo-derivation.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(A^2 \circ B + 2ABA) &= \phi(A^2 \circ B) + 2\phi(ABA) \\ &= \phi(A^2) \circ B + A^2 \circ \phi(B) + \phi(A^2) \circ \phi(B) \\ &\quad + 2\phi(ABA) \\ &= \phi(A)AB + A\phi(A)B + \phi(A)^2B \\ &\quad + B\phi(A)A + BA\phi(A) + B\phi(A)^2 \tag{2.1} \\ &\quad + A^2\phi(B) + \phi(B)A^2 + \phi(A)A\phi(B) \\ &\quad + A\phi(A)\phi(B) + \phi(A)^2\phi(B) + \phi(B)\phi(A)A \\ &\quad + \phi(B)A\phi(A) + \phi(B)\phi(A)^2 + 2\phi(ABA). \end{aligned}$$

$$\phi(A \circ (A \circ B)) = \phi(A) \circ (A \circ B) + A \circ \phi(A \circ B)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \phi(A) \circ \phi(A \circ B) \\
= & \phi(A)AB + \phi(A)BA + AB\phi(A) \\
& + BA\phi(A) + A\phi(A)B + AB\phi(A) + A^2\phi(B) \\
& + A\phi(B)A + A\phi(A)\phi(B) + A\phi(B)\phi(A) \\
& + \phi(A)BA + B\phi(A)A + A\phi(B)A \\
& + \phi(B)A^2 + \phi(A)\phi(B)A + \phi(B)\phi(A)A \\
& + \phi(A)^2B + \phi(A)B\phi(A) + \phi(A)A\phi(B) \\
& + \phi(A)\phi(B)A + \phi(A)^2\phi(B) + \phi(A)\phi(B)\phi(A) \\
& + \phi(A)B\phi(A) + B\phi(A)^2 + A\phi(B)\phi(A) \\
& + \phi(B)A\phi(A) + \phi(A)\phi(B)\phi(A) + \phi(B)\phi(A)^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Since \mathbb{R} is 2-torsion free and compare (2.1) and (2.2), we get (ii). \square

We note that condition (i) is analogous to the Jordan homo-derivation condition.

Lemma 3. $\phi(0) = 0$.

Proof. Putting $B = 0$ and $A = A_{11}$ in Lemma 2 (i), we have $\phi(0) = A_{11} \circ \phi(0) + \phi(A_{11}) \circ \phi(0)$. Since there exists a positive integer $n(A_{11}) > 1$ such that $\phi^n(A_{11}) = 0$, taking A_{11} by $\phi^{n-1}(A_{11})$ in the last relation, we get $\phi(0) = \phi^{n-1}(A_{11}) \circ \phi(0)$. Replacing A_{11} by $\phi(A_{11})$ in the last relation, we obtain $\phi(0) = 0$. \square

Lemma 4. For arbitrary $A_{11} \in \mathfrak{T}_{11}$ and $A_{22} \in \mathfrak{T}_{22}$, we have

- (i) $\phi(A_{11}A_{22}) = \phi(A_{11})A_{22} + A_{11}\phi(A_{22}) + \phi(A_{11})\phi(A_{22})$;
- (ii) $\phi(A_{22}A_{11}) = \phi(A_{22})A_{11} + A_{22}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{22})\phi(A_{11})$.

Proof.

- (i) From conditions of Theorem 1, we have $\phi(A_{22})_{11} = 0$ and $\phi(A_{11})_{22} = 0$. Now, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \phi(A_{22})A_{11} + A_{22}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{22})\phi(A_{11}) \\
= & [\phi(A_{22})_{11} + \phi(A_{22})_{12} + \phi(A_{22})_{22}]A_{11} \\
& + A_{22}[\phi(A_{11})_{11} + \phi(A_{11})_{12} + \phi(A_{11})_{22}] \\
& + [\phi(A_{22})_{11} + \phi(A_{22})_{12} + \phi(A_{22})_{22}][\phi(A_{11})_{11} + \phi(A_{11})_{12} + \phi(A_{11})_{22}] = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(A_{11}A_{22}) & = \phi(A_{11}A_{22} + A_{22}A_{11}) \\
& = \phi(A_{11})A_{22} + A_{11}\phi(A_{22}) + \phi(A_{11})\phi(A_{22}) \\
& \quad + \phi(A_{22})A_{11} + A_{22}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{22})\phi(A_{11}) \\
& = \phi(A_{11})A_{22} + A_{11}\phi(A_{22}) + \phi(A_{11})\phi(A_{22}), \text{ by using (2.3)}.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Also, by using (2.3), we get (ii). □

Lemma 5. For any $A_{12} \in \mathfrak{T}_{12}$, the following are true.

- (i) $\phi(A_{12})_{11} = 0$;
- (ii) $\phi(A_{12})_{22} = 0$.

Proof. (i) We compute

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \phi(\phi^{n-1}(A_{11})A_{12}A_{11}) \\ &= \phi^n(A_{11})A_{12}A_{11} + \phi^{n-1}(A_{11})\phi(A_{12})A_{11} + \phi^{n-1}(A_{11})A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) \\ &\quad + \phi^n(A_{11})\phi(A_{12})A_{11} + \phi^{n-1}(A_{11})\phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11}) + \phi^n(A_{11})A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) \\ &\quad + \phi^n(A_{11})\phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11}). \end{aligned}$$

That is

$$(\phi^{n-1}(A_{11}) + \phi^n(A_{11}))[\phi(A_{12})A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11})] = 0. \quad (2.4)$$

Since $\phi^n(A_{11}) = 0$, we have

$$\phi^{n-1}(A_{11})[\phi(A_{12})A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11})] = 0.$$

Similarly, we can compute $\phi(\phi^{n-2}(A_{11})A_{12}A_{11}) = 0$, we get

$$(\phi^{n-2}(A_{11}) + \phi^{n-1}(A_{11}))[\phi(A_{12})A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11})] = 0.$$

By using (2.4) in last relation, we have

$$\phi^{n-2}(A_{11})[\phi(A_{12})A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11})] = 0.$$

Also, we can compute $\phi(\phi^k(A_{11})A_{12}A_{11}) = 0$ for $k = n-3, n-4, \dots, 1$ and $\phi(A_{11}A_{12}A_{11}) = 0$ and so we get

$$A_{11}[\phi(A_{12})A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11})] = 0.$$

Hence

$$A_{11}[\phi(A_{12})A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11})]A_{11} = 0,$$

thus

$$A_{11}[\phi(A_{12})A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11})]_{11}A_{11} = 0$$

and by using Lemma 1 (i)

$$[\phi(A_{12})A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11})]_{11} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

Also, we have

$$A_{11}[\phi(A_{12})A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11})]_{12} = 0$$

and since \mathbb{M} is a faithful left \mathbb{A} -module, we have

$$[\phi(A_{12})A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11})]_{12} = 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Similarly, we can compute $\phi(\phi^k(A_{22})A_{12}A_{11}) = 0$ for $k = n - 3, n - 4, \dots, 1$ and $\phi(A_{22}A_{12}A_{11}) = 0$ and so we get

$$A_{22}[\phi(A_{12})A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11})] = 0.$$

Hence

$$A_{22}[\phi(A_{12})A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11})]A_{22} = 0$$

thus

$$A_{22}[\phi(A_{12})A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11})]_{22}A_{22} = 0$$

and by using Lemma 1 (i), we get

$$[\phi(A_{12})A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11})]_{22} = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

From (2.5), (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$[\phi(A_{12})A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11})] = 0.$$

That is

$$\phi(A_{12})_{11}(A_{11} + \phi(A_{11})) = 0. \quad (2.8)$$

Replacing A_{11} by $\phi^{n-1}(A_{11})$, in (2.8), we get $\phi(A_{12})_{11}\phi^{n-1}(A_{11}) = 0$. Now, replacing A_{11} by $\phi^{n-2}(A_{11})$, in (2.8) and using last relation, we have

$$\phi(A_{12})_{11}\phi^{n-2}(A_{11}) = 0,$$

by continuing, we obtain

$$\phi(A_{12})_{11}A_{11} = 0 \quad \text{that is} \quad A_{11}\phi(A_{12})_{11}A_{11} = 0$$

and by Lemma 1 (i), we get $\phi(A_{12})_{11} = 0$. Similarly we can get (ii) by considering

$$\phi(A_{22}A_{12}\phi^k(A_{22})) = 0$$

for $k = n - 1, n - 2, \dots, 1$ and $\phi(A_{22}A_{12}A_{22}) = 0$. □

Lemma 6. For any $A_{11} \in \mathfrak{T}_{11}$ and $A_{12} \in \mathfrak{T}_{12}$,

- (i) $\phi(A_{12}A_{11}) = \phi(A_{12})A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11})$;
- (ii) $\phi(A_{11}A_{12}) = \phi(A_{11})A_{12} + A_{11}\phi(A_{12}) + \phi(A_{11})\phi(A_{12})$.

Proof.

(i) We compute

$$\begin{aligned} & \phi(A_{12})A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11}) \\ &= \phi(A_{12})_{11}A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11})_{22} \\ & \quad + [\phi(A_{12})_{11} + \phi(A_{12})_{12} + \phi(A_{12})_{22}][\phi(A_{11})_{11} + \phi(A_{11})_{12} + \phi(A_{11})_{22}] \\ &= 0 = \phi(A_{12}A_{11}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

(ii) We compute

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(A_{11}A_{12}) &= \phi(A_{11}A_{12} + A_{12}A_{11}) \\ &= \phi(A_{11})A_{12} + A_{11}\phi(A_{12}) + \phi(A_{11})\phi(A_{12}) \\ &\quad + \phi(A_{12})A_{11} + A_{12}\phi(A_{11}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{11}) \\ &= \phi(A_{11})A_{12} + A_{11}\phi(A_{12}) + \phi(A_{11})\phi(A_{12}) \text{ by using (2.9)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Using similar techniques as used in the proof of Lemma 6, we get the following.

Lemma 7. For any $A_{12} \in \mathfrak{T}_{12}$ and $A_{22} \in \mathfrak{T}_{22}$,

- (i) $\phi(A_{12}A_{22}) = \phi(A_{12})A_{22} + A_{12}\phi(A_{22}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(A_{22});$
(ii) $\phi(A_{22}A_{12}) = \phi(A_{22})A_{12} + A_{22}\phi(A_{12}) + \phi(A_{22})\phi(A_{12}).$

Lemma 8. ϕ is a homo-derivation on \mathfrak{T}_{12} .

Proof. For any $A_{12}, B_{12} \in \mathfrak{T}_{12}$, by Lemma 5

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(A_{12})B_{12} + A_{12}\phi(B_{12}) + \phi(A_{12})\phi(B_{12}) \\ &= \phi(A_{12})_{11}B_{12} + A_{12}\phi(B_{12})_{22} \\ &\quad + [\phi(A_{12})_{11} + \phi(A_{12})_{12} + \phi(A_{12})_{22}][\phi(B_{12})_{11} + \phi(B_{12})_{12} + \phi(B_{12})_{22}] \\ &= 0 = \phi(A_{12}B_{12}).\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 9. ϕ is a homo-derivation on \mathfrak{T}_{11} .

Proof. Let $A_{11}, B_{11} \in \mathfrak{T}_{11}$ and $C_{12} \in \mathfrak{T}_{12}$ be arbitrary. On one side, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(A_{11}B_{11}C_{12}) &= \phi(A_{11})B_{11}C_{12} + A_{11}\phi(B_{11})C_{12} + A_{11}B_{11}\phi(C_{12}) \\ &\quad + \phi(A_{11})\phi(B_{11})C_{12} + A_{11}\phi(B_{11})\phi(C_{12}) + \phi(A_{11})B_{11}\phi(C_{12}) \\ &\quad + \phi(A_{11})\phi(B_{11})\phi(C_{12}).\end{aligned}$$

On the other side, we get

$$\phi(A_{11}B_{11}C_{12}) = \phi(A_{11}B_{11})C_{12} + A_{11}B_{11}\phi(C_{12}) + \phi(A_{11}B_{11})\phi(C_{12}).$$

Then we can see that

$$[\phi(A_{11}B_{11}) - \phi(A_{11})B_{11} - A_{11}\phi(B_{11}) - \phi(A_{11})\phi(B_{11})](C_{12} + \phi(C_{12})) = 0. \quad (2.10)$$

Replacing C_{12} by $\phi^{n-1}(C_{12})$ in (2.10) and since $\phi^n(C_{12}) = 0$, we have

$$[\phi(A_{11}B_{11}) - \phi(A_{11})B_{11} - A_{11}\phi(B_{11}) - \phi(A_{11})\phi(B_{11})]\phi^{n-1}(C_{12}) = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Replacing C_{12} by $\phi^{n-2}(C_{12})$ in (2.10) and using (2.11), we get

$$[\phi(A_{11}B_{11}) - \phi(A_{11})B_{11} - A_{11}\phi(B_{11}) - \phi(A_{11})\phi(B_{11})]\phi^{n-2}(C_{12}) = 0.$$

By continuing, we obtain

$$[\phi(A_{11}B_{11}) - \phi(A_{11})B_{11} - A_{11}\phi(B_{11}) - \phi(A_{11})\phi(B_{11})]C_{12} = 0.$$

This yields that

$$\phi(A_{11}B_{11}) = \phi(A_{11})B_{11} + A_{11}\phi(B_{11}) + \phi(A_{11})\phi(B_{11})$$

since \mathbb{M} is a faithful left \mathbb{A} -module. \square

Using the similar arguments as used in the Lemma 9, one can get the following.

Lemma 10. ϕ is a homo-derivation on \mathfrak{T}_{22} .

Proof of Theorem 1. The proof of is follows from Lemmas 4-10. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Benkovic, "Jordan derivations and antiderivations on triangular matrices," *Linear Algebra Appl.*, vol. 397, pp. 235–244, 2005, doi: [10.1016/j.laa.2004.10.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2004.10.017).
- [2] D. Benkovic and D. Eremita, "Commuting traces and commutativity preserving maps on triangular algebras," *J. Algebra*, vol. 280, pp. 797–824, 2004, doi: [10.1016/j.jalgebra.2004.06.019](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2004.06.019).
- [3] D. Benkovic and H. Sirvonik, "Jordan derivations of unital algebras with idempotents," *Linear Algebra Appl.*, vol. 437, pp. 2271–2284, 2012, doi: [10.1016/j.laa.2012.06.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2012.06.009).
- [4] M. Bresar and J. Vukman, "Jordan derivations on prime rings," *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, vol. 37, pp. 321–322, 1988, doi: [10.1017/S0004972700026927](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0004972700026927).
- [5] M. Brešar, "Jordan derivations on semiprime rings," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 104, pp. 1003–1006, 1988, doi: [10.1090/S0002-9939-1988-0929422-1](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1988-0929422-1).
- [6] X. Cheng and W. Jing, "On Jordan derivations of triangular algebras," *Preprint*, pp. 1–5, 2007, doi: [10.48550/arXiv.0706.1942](https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.0706.1942).
- [7] M. M. El-Sofy, "Rings with some kinds of mappings," Master's thesis, Cairo University, Branch of Fayoum, Cairo, Egypt., 2000.
- [8] I. N. Herstein, "Jordan derivations of prime rings," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 8, pp. 1104–1110, 1957, doi: [10.2307/2032688](https://doi.org/10.2307/2032688).
- [9] N. Rehman and H. Alnohashi, "Jordan homo-derivations on triangular matrix rings," *An. Ştiinţ. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iaşi, Ser. Nouă, Mat.*, vol. 68, no. 2, pp. 203–216, 2022, doi: [10.47743/an-stim.2022.00016](https://doi.org/10.47743/an-stim.2022.00016).
- [10] J. Zhang and W. Yu, "Jordan derivations of triangular algebras," *Linear Algebra Appl.*, vol. 419, no. 1, pp. 251–255, 2006, doi: [10.1016/j.laa.2006.04.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2006.04.015).

Authors' addresses

Nadeem ur Rehman

Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, 202002 Aligarh, India

E-mail address: rehman100@gmail.com, nu.rehman.mm@amu.ac.in

Hafedh M. Alnohashi

Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, 202002 Aligarh, India

E-mail address: halnohashi@gmail.com