



ON ρ -STATISTICAL CONVERGENCE OF ORDER α OF SEQUENCES OF SETS

NAZLIM DENİZ ARAL, HACER ŞENGÜL KANDEMİR, AND MIKAIL ET

Received 26 December, 2020

Abstract. In this paper we introduce the concepts of Wijsman ρ -statistical convergence of order α and Wijsman strongly ρ -convergence of order α . In addition, some inclusion theorems are also presented.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 40A05; 40C05; 46A45

Keywords: statistical convergence, Wijsman convergence, sequences of sets

1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of statistical convergence was introduced by Steinhaus [30] and Fast [18]. Schoenberg [28] established some basic properties of statistical convergence and studied the concept as a summability method. Later on it was further investigated from the sequence space point of view and linked with summability theory by Altınok et al. [1], Aral et al. ([2,3]), Bhardwaj and Dhawan [5], Çakallı et al. ([6–8]), Caserta et al. [9], Çınar et al. [10], Connor [12], Çolak [11], Demirci et al. [13], Et et al. ([14–17]), Fridy [19], Gadjiev and Orhan [20], Işık and Akbaş [21], Salat [26], Savaş and Et [27], Şengül [29] and many others.

Let (X, σ) be a metric space. The distance $d(x, A)$ from a point x to a non-empty subset A of (X, σ) is defined to be

$$d(x, A) = \inf_{y \in A} \sigma(x, y).$$

If $\sup_k d(x, A_k) < \infty$ (for each $x \in X$), then we say that the sequence $\{A_k\}$ is bounded. The set of all bounded sequences of sets denoted $L_\infty(X)$.

The concepts of Wijsman statistical convergence for the sequence were given by Nuray and Rhoades [25] and the concept were generalized by Uluşu et al. ([31],[32]). In this paper we introduce the concepts of Wijsman ρ -statistical convergence of order α and Wijsman strongly ρ -convergence of order α .

2. RESULTS

Definition 1. Let (X, σ) be a metric space and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. For any non-empty closed subsets $A, A_k \subset X$, we say that the sequence $\{A_k\}$ is Wijsman ρ -statistical convergent to A of order α (or WS_ρ^α -convergent to A) if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} |\{k \leq n : |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| = 0,$$

where $\rho = (\rho_n)$ is a non-decreasing sequence of positive real numbers tending to ∞ such that

$$\limsup_n \frac{\rho_n}{n} < \infty, \quad \Delta \rho_n = O(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta \rho_n = \rho_{n+1} - \rho_n \quad (2.1)$$

for each positive integer n . In this case, we write $A_k \longrightarrow A(WS_\rho^\alpha)$. The set of all Wijsman ρ -statistical convergent sequences to A of order α will be denoted by WS_ρ^α .

If $\rho_n = n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Wijsman ρ -statistical convergence of order α is coincided with Wijsman statistical convergence of order α denoted by $A_k \longrightarrow A((WS^\alpha))$. If $\alpha = 1$, Wijsman ρ -statistical convergence of order α is coincided with Wijsman ρ -statistical convergence which were defined by Aral et al. [4]. In this case we write $A_k \rightarrow A(WS_\rho)$. If $\rho_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha = 1$, Wijsman ρ -statistical convergence of order α is coincided with Wijsman statistical convergence which were defined by Nuray and Rhoades [25].

Definition 2. Let (X, σ) be a metric space and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. For any non-empty closed subsets $A, A_k \subset X$, we say that the sequence $\{A_k\}$ is said to be Wijsman strongly ρ -summable of order α to A , if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in X$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| = 0.$$

The set of all Wijsman strongly ρ -summable sequences of order α to A will be denoted by W_ρ^α . In this case we write $A_k \longrightarrow A(W_\rho^\alpha)$. If $\rho_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Wijsman strong ρ -summability of order α is reduced to Wijsman strong summability of order α denoted by $A_k \longrightarrow A((W^\alpha))$. If $\alpha = 1$, Wijsman strong ρ -summability of order α is reduced to Wijsman strong ρ -summability denoted by $A_k \longrightarrow A((W_\rho))$ which were defined by Aral et al. [4]. If $\rho_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\alpha = 1$, Wijsman strong ρ -summability of order α is reduced to Wijsman strong summability which were defined by Nuray and Rhoades [25].

We give the following results without proof.

Theorem 1. Let (X, σ) be a metric space and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. If $A_k \longrightarrow A(WS_\rho^\alpha)$, then A is unique.

Theorem 2. Let (X, σ) be a metric space, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and A, A_k (for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$) be non-empty closed subsets of X , then

- (i) If $A_k \rightarrow A(W S_\rho^\alpha)$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$, then $cA_k \rightarrow cA(W S_\rho^\alpha)$.
- (ii) If $A_k \rightarrow A(W S_\rho^\alpha)$ and $B_k \rightarrow B(W S_\rho^\alpha)$, then $(A_k + B_k) \rightarrow (A + B)(W S_\rho^\alpha)$.
- (iii) If $A_k \rightarrow A(W_\rho^\alpha)$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$, then $cA_k \rightarrow cA(W_\rho^\alpha)$.
- (iv) If $A_k \rightarrow A(W_\rho^\alpha)$ and $B_k \rightarrow B(W_\rho^\alpha)$, then $(A_k + B_k) \rightarrow (A + B)(W_\rho^\alpha)$.

Corollary 1. In the case $\rho_n = n$ (for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$) (i)-(iv) hold in the above Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. Let (X, σ) be a metric space, $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 1]$ such that $\alpha \leq \beta$ and A, A_k (for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$) be non-empty closed subsets of X , then $W S_\rho^\alpha \subset W S_\rho^\beta$ and the inclusion is strict.

Proof. The inclusion part of the proof is easy. To prove the inclusion is strict define a sequence $\{A_k\}$ such that

$$A_k := \begin{cases} \{k, k\} & \text{if } k = n^2; \\ \{0, 0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $\{A_k\} \in W S_\rho^\beta$ for $\frac{1}{2} < \beta \leq 1$ but $\{A_k\} \notin W S_\rho^\alpha$ for $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$. □

Corollary 2. Let (X, σ) be a metric space, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and A, A_k (for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$) be non-empty closed subsets of X . If $A_k \rightarrow A(W S_\rho^\alpha)$, then $A_k \rightarrow A(W S_\rho)$.

Theorem 4. Let (X, σ) be a metric space, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and A, A_k (for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$) be non-empty closed subsets of X , then

- (i) $A_k \rightarrow A(W_\rho^\alpha) \Rightarrow A_k \rightarrow A(W S_\rho^\alpha)$ and W_ρ^α is a proper subset of $W S_\rho^\alpha$.
- (ii) $\{A_k\} \in L_\infty(X)$ and $A_k \rightarrow A(W S_\rho) \Rightarrow A_k \rightarrow A(W_\rho)$.
- (iii) $W S_\rho \cap L_\infty(X) = W_\rho \cap L_\infty(X)$.

Proof.

- (i) The inclusion part of the proof is easy. In order to show that the inclusion $W_\rho^\alpha \subseteq W S_\rho^\alpha$ is proper, we define a sequence $\{A_k\}$ as follows:

$$A_k = \begin{cases} \{\sqrt{k}\} & \text{if } k = n^2; \\ \{0\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, $d(x, y) = |x - y|$ ($x, y \in X$) and $\rho_n = n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $\varepsilon > 0$, $x > 0$ and $\frac{1}{2} < \alpha \leq 1$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} |\{k \leq n : |d(x, A_k) - d(x, \{0\})| \geq \varepsilon\}| \leq \frac{(\sqrt{n})}{n^\alpha} \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

and so we get $A_k \rightarrow 0(W S_\rho^\alpha)$.

On the other hand, for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and $x > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n |d(x, A_k) - d(x, \{0\})| = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\sqrt{n} + 1)}{2n^\alpha} \rightarrow \infty$$

and for $\alpha = 1$

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\sqrt{n}+1)}{n^\alpha} \rightarrow 1.$$

So $A_k \rightarrow 0 (W_\rho^\alpha)$.

(ii) Omitted.

(iii) Omitted. □

Theorem 5. Let (X, σ) be a metric space, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and A, A_k (for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$) be non-empty closed subsets of X . Then, $WS^\alpha \subset WS_\rho^\alpha$ if $\liminf_n \frac{\rho_n^\alpha}{n^\alpha} > 0$.

Proof. Proof follows from the following inequality:

$$\frac{1}{n^\alpha} |\{k \leq n : |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \geq \frac{\rho_n^\alpha}{n^\alpha} \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} |\{k \leq n : |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}|.$$

□

Theorem 6. Let (X, σ) be a metric space, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and A, A_k (for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$) be non-empty closed subsets of X . $WS \subset WS_\rho^\alpha$ if

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_n^\alpha}{n} > 0.$$

Proof. Omitted. □

Theorem 7. Let (X, σ) be a metric space, $\rho = (\rho_n)$ and $\tau = (\tau_n)$ be two sequences satisfying (2.1) conditions such that $\rho_n \leq \tau_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. If

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_n^\alpha}{\tau_n^\beta} = a > 0, \tag{2.2}$$

then $WS_\tau^\beta \subseteq WS_\rho^\alpha$.

Proof. Omitted. □

Corollary 3. Let (X, σ) be a metric space, $\rho = (\rho_n)$ and $\tau = (\tau_n)$ be two sequences satisfying (2.1) conditions such that $\rho_n \leq \tau_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. If (2.2) holds, then

(i) $WS_\tau^\alpha \subseteq WS_\rho^\alpha$.

(ii) $WS_\tau \subseteq WS_\rho^\alpha$.

Theorem 8. Let (X, σ) be a metric space, $\rho = (\rho_n)$ and $\tau = (\tau_n)$ be two sequences satisfying (2.1) conditions such that $\rho_n \leq \tau_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. If

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_n^\alpha}{\tau_n^\beta} < \infty, \tag{2.3}$$

then $WS_\rho^\alpha \subseteq WS_\tau^\beta$.

Proof. Let $A_k \rightarrow A(WS_\rho^\alpha)$ and for $\varepsilon > 0$. We have

$$\frac{1}{\tau_n^\beta} |\{k \leq n : |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \leq \frac{\rho_n^\alpha}{\tau_n^\beta} \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} |\{k \leq n : |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}|.$$

Using the condition and $A_k \rightarrow A(WS_\rho^\alpha)$ we have $WS_\rho^\alpha \subseteq WS_\tau^\beta$. \square

Corollary 4. Let (X, σ) be a metric space, $\rho = (\rho_n)$ and $\tau = (\tau_n)$ be two sequences satisfying (2.1) conditions such that $\rho_n \leq \tau_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. If (2.3) holds, then

- (i) $WS_\rho^\alpha \subseteq WS_\tau^\alpha$.
- (ii) $WS_\rho^\alpha \subseteq WS_\tau$.

3. RESULTS RELATED TO ORLICZ FUNCTION

An Orlicz function is a function $M: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, which is continuous, non-decreasing and convex with $M(0) = 0$, $M(x) > 0$ for $x > 0$ and $M(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

The study of Orlicz sequence spaces was initiated with a certain specific purpose in Banach space theory. Indeed, Lindberg [23] got interested in Orlicz sequence spaces in connection with finding Banach spaces with symmetric Schauder bases having complementary subspaces isomorphic to c_0 or ℓ_p ($0 \leq p < \infty$). Subsequently, Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [24] used the idea of Orlicz function to construct the sequence space

$$\ell_M = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) < \infty \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

The space ℓ_M with the norm

$$\|x\| = \inf \left\{ \rho > 0 : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} M\left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1 \right\}$$

becomes a Banach space, called an Orlicz sequence space. The space ℓ_M is closely related to the space ℓ_p which is an Orlicz sequence space with $M(x) = |x|^p$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri [24] proved that every Orlicz sequence space ℓ_M contains a subspace isomorphic to ℓ_p ($1 \leq p < \infty$). The Orlicz sequence spaces are the special cases of Orlicz spaces studied in [22].

It is well known that if M is a convex function and $M(0) = 0$, then $M(\lambda x) \leq \lambda M(x)$ for all λ with $0 < \lambda < 1$.

Definition 3. Let (X, σ) be a metric space. Let M be an Orlicz function, $p = (p_k)$ be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers, $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, $\rho = (\rho_n)$ be a sequence

such as above and for $\lambda > 0$, now we define

$$(W_\rho^\alpha, [M, (p)]) = \left\{ \{A_k\} \in X : \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)|}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{p_k} \rightarrow 0, \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } A \text{ and for } x \in X \right\}.$$

If $M(x) = x$ and $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then we shall write $(W_\rho^\alpha, [M, (p)]) = W_\rho^\alpha$ and if $M(x) = x$, then we shall write $(W_\rho^\alpha, [M, (p)]) = (W_\rho^\alpha, (p))$. If $p_k = 1$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ then we shall write $(W_\rho^\alpha, [M, (p)]) = (W_\rho^\alpha, [M])$.

In the following theorems, assume that the sequence $p = (p_k)$ is bounded and $0 < h = \inf_k p_k \leq p_k \leq \sup_k p_k = H < \infty$.

Theorem 9. *Let $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$, M be an Orlicz function and $\rho = (\rho_n)$ be a sequence such as above, then $(W_\rho^\alpha, [M, (p)]) \subset WS_\rho^\beta$.*

Proof. Let $\{A_k\} \in (W_\rho^\alpha, [M, (p)])$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. As $\rho_n^\alpha \leq \rho_n^\beta$ for each n we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)|}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{p_k} = \\ & \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon}}^n \left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)|}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & + \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| < \varepsilon}}^n \left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)|}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\rho_n^\beta} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon}}^n \left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)|}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & + \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| < \varepsilon}}^n \left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)|}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\rho_n^\beta} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon}}^n \left[M \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{p_k} \geq \frac{1}{\rho_n^\beta} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon}}^n \min \left([M(\varepsilon_1)]^h, [M(\varepsilon_2)]^H \right) \\ & \geq \frac{1}{\rho_n^\beta} |\{k \leq n : |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \min \left([M(\varepsilon_1)]^h, [M(\varepsilon_1)]^H \right). \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda}$. From the above inequality we have $\{A_k\} \in WS_\rho^\beta$. □

Corollary 5.

- (i) Let $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, M be an Orlicz function and $\rho = (\rho_n)$ be a sequence as above, then $(W_\rho^\alpha, [M, (p)]) \subset WS_\rho^\alpha$.
- (ii) Let $\rho = (\rho_n)$ be a sequence as above and $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$, then $(W_\rho^\alpha, [M]) \subseteq (WS_\rho^\beta)$.

Theorem 10. Let M be an Orlicz function, $\{A_k\}$ be a sequence in $L_\infty(X)$ and $\rho = (\rho_n)$ be a sequence. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_n}{\rho_n^\alpha} = 1$, then $WS_\rho^\alpha \subseteq (W_\rho^\alpha, [M, (p)])$.

Proof. Suppose that $\{A_k\}$ is in $L_\infty(X)$ and $A_k \rightarrow A(WS_\rho^\alpha)$. As $\{A_k\} \in L_\infty(X)$ there exists $T > 0$ such that $|d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \leq T$ for all k . For given $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)|}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{p_k} = \\ & \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon}}^n \left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)|}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & + \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| < \varepsilon}}^n \left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)|}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon}}^n \max \left\{ \left[M \left(\frac{T}{\lambda} \right) \right]^h, \left[M \left(\frac{T}{\lambda} \right) \right]^H \right\} \\ & + \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| < \varepsilon}}^n \left[M \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \max \left\{ \left[M \left(\frac{T}{\lambda} \right) \right]^h, \left[M \left(\frac{T}{\lambda} \right) \right]^H \right\} \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} |\{k \leq n : |d(x, A_k) - d(x, A)| \geq \varepsilon\}| \\ & + \frac{\rho_n}{\rho_n^\alpha} \max \left\{ \left[M \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} \right) \right]^h, \left[M \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda} \right) \right]^H \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{A_k\} \in (W_\rho^\alpha, [M, (p)])$. □

We give the following results without proof.

Theorem 11. Let $\rho = (\rho_n)$ be a sequence as above and $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$, then $(W_\rho^\alpha, [M]) \subseteq (W_\rho^\beta, [M])$.

Theorem 12. Let $\rho = (\rho_n)$ and $\tau = (\tau_n)$ be two sequences such that $\rho_n \leq \tau_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_n^\alpha}{\tau_n^\beta} = a > 0$, then $(W_\tau^\beta, [M]) \subseteq (W_\rho^\alpha, [M])$.

Corollary 6. Let $\rho = (\rho_n)$ and $\tau = (\tau_n)$ be two sequences such that $\rho_n \leq \tau_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_n^\alpha}{\tau_n^\beta} = a > 0$ holds, then $(W_\tau^\alpha, [M]) \subseteq (W_\rho^\alpha, [M])$ for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

Theorem 13. Let $\rho = (\rho_n)$ and $\tau = (\tau_n)$ be two sequences such that $\rho_n \leq \tau_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 < \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$. If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\rho_n^\alpha}{\tau_n^\beta} = 1$, then $(W_\tau^\beta, [M]) \subseteq (WS_\rho^\alpha)$.

Theorem 14. Let M be an Orlicz function and $\inf_k p_k > 0$, the limit of any sequence $\{A_k\} \in (W_\rho^\alpha, [M, (p)])$ is unique.

Proof. Let $\lim_k p_k = s > 0$. Suppose that $A_k \rightarrow A_1((W_\rho^\alpha, [M, (p)]))$ and $A_k \rightarrow A(W_\rho^\alpha, [M, (p)])$. Then there exists $\lambda_1 > 0$ and $\lambda_2 > 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_k) - d(x, A_1)|}{\lambda_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_k) - d(x, A_2)|}{\lambda_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0.$$

Let $\lambda = \max\{2\lambda_1, 2\lambda_2\}$. As M is nondecreasing and convex, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_1) - d(x, A_2)|}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{p_k} \\ & \leq \frac{D}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} \left(\left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_k) - d(x, A_1)|}{\lambda_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} + \left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_k) - d(x, A_2)|}{\lambda_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{D}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} \left(\left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_k) - d(x, A_1)|}{\lambda_1} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{D}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{p_k}} \left(\left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_k) - d(x, A_2)|}{\lambda_2} \right) \right]^{p_k} \right) \right) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\sup_k p_k = H$ and $D = \max(1, 2^{H-1})$. Therefore we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\rho_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_1) - d(x, A_2)|}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{p_k} = 0.$$

As $\lim_k p_k = s$, we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_1) - d(x, A_2)|}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{p_k} = \left[M \left(\frac{|d(x, A_1) - d(x, A_2)|}{\lambda} \right) \right]^s$$

and so $A_1 = A_2$. Thus, the limit is unique. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Altınok, R. Çolak, and M. Et, “ λ -difference sequence spaces of fuzzy numbers.” *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, vol. 160, no. 21, pp. 3128–3139, 2009, doi: [10.1016/j.fss.2009.06.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fss.2009.06.002).
- [2] N. D. Aral and M. Et, “Generalized difference sequence spaces of fractional order defined by Orlicz functions.” *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Sér. A1 Math. Stat.*, vol. 69, no. 1, pp. 941–951, 2020, doi: [10.31801/cfsuasmas.628863](https://doi.org/10.31801/cfsuasmas.628863).
- [3] N. D. Aral and H. Şengül Kandemir, “ I -lacunary statistical convergence of order β of difference sequences of fractional order.” *Facta Universitatis (NIS) Ser. Math. and Infor.*, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 43–55, 2021, doi: [10.22190/FUMI200117004A](https://doi.org/10.22190/FUMI200117004A).
- [4] N. D. Aral, H. Şengül Kandemir, and M. Et, “ ρ -statistical convergence of sequences of sets.” *3rd International E-Conference on Mathematical Advances and Applications (ICOMAA 2020)*, Yıldız Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey, 2020.
- [5] V. K. Bhardwaj and S. Dhawan, “ f -statistical convergence of order α and strong Cesàro summability of order α with respect to a modulus.” *J. Inequal. Appl.*, vol. 332, p. 14 pp, 2015, doi: [10.1186/s13660-015-0850-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-015-0850-x).
- [6] H. Çakallı, “A variation on ward continuity.” *Filomat*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 1545–1549, 2013, doi: [10.2298/FIL1308545C](https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1308545C).
- [7] H. Çakallı, “A variation on statistical ward continuity.” *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.*, vol. 40, pp. 1701–1710, 2017, doi: [10.1007/s40840-015-0195-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40840-015-0195-0).
- [8] H. Çakallı and B. Hazarika, “Ideal quasi-Cauchy sequences.” *J. Inequal. Appl.*, vol. 234, p. 11 pp, 2012, doi: [10.1186/1029-242X-2012-234](https://doi.org/10.1186/1029-242X-2012-234).
- [9] A. Caserta, G. Di Maio, and L. D. R. Kočinac, “Statistical convergence in function spaces.” *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, p. 11 pp, 2011, doi: [10.1155/2011/420419](https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/420419).
- [10] M. Çınar, M. Karakaş, and M. Et, “On pointwise and uniform statistical convergence of order α for sequences of functions.” *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, vol. 33, p. 11 pp, 2013, doi: [10.1186/1687-1812-2013-33](https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2013-33).
- [11] R. Çolak, “Statistical convergence of order α .” *Modern Methods in Analysis and Its Applications*, New Delhi, India: Anamaya Pub., vol. 2010, pp. 121–129, 2010.
- [12] J. Connor, “The Statistical and strong p -Cesàro convergence of sequences.” *Analysis*, vol. 8, pp. 47–63, 1988, doi: [10.1524/anly.1988.8.12.47](https://doi.org/10.1524/anly.1988.8.12.47).
- [13] K. Demirci, D. Djurčić, L. D. R. Kočinac, and S. Yıldız, “A Theory of variations via P -statistical convergence.” *Publ. Inst. Math. (NS)*, vol. 110, no. 124, pp. 11–27, 2021, doi: [10.2298/PIM2123011D](https://doi.org/10.2298/PIM2123011D).
- [14] M. Et, A. Alotaibi, and S. A. Mohiuddine, “On (Δ^m, I) - statistical convergence of order α .” *The Scientific World Journal*, p. 5 pp, 2014, doi: [10.1155/2014/535419](https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/535419).
- [15] M. Et, R. Çolak, and Y. Altın, “Strongly almost summable sequences of order α .” *Kuwait J. Sci.*, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 35–47, 2014.
- [16] M. Et and H. Şengül, “Some Cesaro-type summability spaces of order α and lacunary statistical convergence of order α .” *Filomat*, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 1593–1602, 2014, doi: [10.2298/FIL1408593E](https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1408593E).
- [17] M. Et, B. C. Tripathy, and A. J. Dutta, “On pointwise statistical convergence of order α of sequences of fuzzy mappings.” *Kuwait J. Sci.*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 17–30, 2014.
- [18] H. Fast, “Sur la convergence statistique.” *Colloq. Math.*, vol. 2, pp. 241–244, 1951, doi: [10.4064/cm-2-3-4-241-244](https://doi.org/10.4064/cm-2-3-4-241-244).
- [19] J. A. Fridy, “On statistical convergence.” *Analysis*, vol. 5, pp. 301–313, 1985, doi: [/10.1524/anly.1985.5.4.301](https://doi.org/10.1524/anly.1985.5.4.301).
- [20] A. D. Gadjiev and C. Orhan, “Some approximation theorems via statistical convergence.” *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, vol. 32, no. 1, pp. 129–138, 2002, doi: [10.1216/rmj/1030539612](https://doi.org/10.1216/rmj/1030539612).

- [21] M. Işık and K. E. Akbaş, “On λ -statistical convergence of order α in probability.” *J. Inequal. Spec. Func.*, vol. 8, no. 4, pp. 57–64, 2017.
- [22] M. A. Krasnosel’skii and Y. B. Rutickii, *Convex Functions and Orlicz Spaces*. Groningen: Noordhoff, Ltd., 1961.
- [23] K. Lindberg, “On subspaces of Orlicz sequence spaces.” *Studia Math.*, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 119–146, 1973, doi: [10.4064/sm-45-2-119-146](https://doi.org/10.4064/sm-45-2-119-146).
- [24] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, “On Orlicz sequence spaces.” *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 10, pp. 379–390, 1971.
- [25] F. Nuray and B. Rhoades, “Statistical convergence of sequences of sets.” *Fasc. Math.*, vol. 49, pp. 87–99, 2012.
- [26] T. Šalát, “On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers.” *Math. Slovaca*, vol. 30, pp. 139–150, 1980.
- [27] E. Savaş and M. Et, “ (Δ_λ^m, I) – statistical convergence of order α .” *Period. Math. Hungar.*, vol. 71, no. 2, pp. 135–145, 2015, doi: [10.1007/s10998-015-0087-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10998-015-0087-y).
- [28] I. J. Schoenberg, “The integrability of certain functions and related summability methods.” *Amer. Math. Monthly*, vol. 66, no. 5, pp. 361–375, 1959, doi: [10.2307/2308747](https://doi.org/10.2307/2308747).
- [29] H. Şengül, “Some Cesàro-type summability spaces defined by a modulus function of order (α, β) .” *Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Sér. A1 Math. Stat.*, vol. 66, no. 2, pp. 80–90, 2017, doi: [10.1501/Commua1.0000000803](https://doi.org/10.1501/Commua1.0000000803).
- [30] H. Steinhaus, “Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique.” *Colloq. Math.*, vol. 2, pp. 73–74, 1951.
- [31] U. Ulusu and E. Dündar, “ I –lacunary statistical convergence of sequences of sets.” *Filomat*, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 1567–1574, 2014, doi: [10.2298/FIL1408567U](https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL1408567U).
- [32] U. Ulusu and F. Nuray, “Lacunary statistical convergence of sequence of sets.” *Prog. Appl. Math.*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 99–109, 2012, doi: [10.3968/j.pam.1925252820120402.2264](https://doi.org/10.3968/j.pam.1925252820120402.2264).

Authors’ addresses

Nazlım Deniz Aral

(Corresponding author) Bitlis Eren University, Department of Mathematics, 13000 Bitlis, Turkey
E-mail address: ndaral@beu.edu.tr

Hacer Şengül Kandemir

Harran University, Faculty of Education, Osmanbey Campus 63190, Şanlıurfa, Turkey
E-mail address: hacer.sengul@hotmail.com

Mikail Et

Fırat University, Department of Mathematics, 23119 Elazığ, Turkey
E-mail address: mikaillet68@gmail.com