



## ON THE EXISTENCE OF SOLUTIONS FOR AN INFINITE SYSTEM OF INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

BILEL MEFTEH

Received 29 November, 2019

*Abstract.* The paper is devoted to prove the existence of solutions for an infinite system of nonlinear integral equations. This system is investigated in the  $WC$ -Banach algebra  $C(I, c_0)$ , the space of all continuous functions acting from an interval  $I$  into the sequence space  $c_0$ . Making use of the measure of weak noncompactness and the weak topology, we establish some fixed point theorems for the sum and the product of nonlinear weakly sequentially continuous operators acting on  $WC$ -Banach algebra involving  $w$ -contractive operators.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 47H08; 47H09; 47H10; 47G15

*Keywords:*  $WC$ -Banach algebra,  $w$ -contractive, fixed point, integral equations

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we are mainly concerned with the existence results for the following system of nonlinear integral equations [1, 11]

$$x_n(t) = a_n(x_n(t)) \int_0^1 b(t, s) f_n(s, x_n(s), x_{n+1}(s), \dots) ds + c_n(x_n(t)), \quad (1.1)$$

where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $t \in I = [0, 1]$ . The infinite system of equations (1.1) will be investigated in the Banach space  $C_0 = C(I, c_0)$  consisting of all functions acting from the interval  $I$  into the sequence space  $c_0$ , which are continuous on  $I$ . Obviously, the norm in the space  $C_0$  has the form

$$\|x\|_{C_0} = \|(x_1, x_2, \dots)\|_{C_0} = \sup_{t \in I} \{\sup\{|x_n(t)| : n = 1, 2, \dots\}\}.$$

Note that the previous system (1.1) may be written in the form

$$Ax.Bx + Cx = x, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are three nonlinear operators defined on subsets of a Banach algebra.

The aim of the paper is to present new results in concern with the existence of fixed points of operators dealing with Banach algebras. More precisely, we will consider operators having the form (1.2), where  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are defined on subsets of a

given Banach algebra and satisfy some conditions expressed in terms of a generalized Lipschitz continuity or the contractivity with respect to the De Blasi measure of weak noncompactness. This study is carried out in the frame work of the so-called *WC*-Banach algebra i.e., a Banach algebra in which the product of two weakly compact subsets is weakly compact. This concept has been introduced quite recently in [3] and it turned out to be very fruitful when investigating some problems of operators theory under weak topology [9].

Notice that it was shown in [10] that if the operator  $A$  involved in (1.2) is weakly compact and satisfy condition  $(\mathcal{H})$  (this condition allows us to distinguish some classes of operators which transform each weakly convergent sequence in a Banach space  $X$  into a sequence containing a subsequence being strongly convergent in  $X$ ) and  $B$  and  $C$  are  $w$ -contractive then the equation (1.2) has at least one solution (see [10, Theorem 3.2]). However, the condition (ii) in Theorem 3.2 in [10] is too restrictive and can be relaxed just by supposing the operator  $A$  is  $w$ -contractive. This result is developed in Theorem 4 (see section 3).

The remaining of the paper is organized as follows. After some preliminaries in section 2, we establish in section 3 some fixed point theorems for the sum and the product of nonlinear weakly sequentially continuous operators acting on *WC*-Banach algebra involving  $w$ -contractive operators. Finally, we provide in section 4 an example indicating that our results are still valid in the field of nonlinear integral equations.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper we denote by  $\mathbb{R}$  the set of real numbers. The symbol  $\mathbb{N}$  stands for the set of natural numbers. By the symbol  $X$  we will denote a Banach space endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . For any  $r > 0$ ,  $B_r$  denotes the closed ball in  $X$  centered at  $0_X$  with radius  $r$  and  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  denotes the domain of an operator  $A$ . Also  $\Omega_X$  is the collection of all nonempty bounded subsets of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{K}^w$  is the subfamily of  $\Omega_X$  consisting of all weakly compact subsets of  $X$ . Now,  $\rightharpoonup$  denotes the weak convergence and  $\rightarrow$  denotes the strong convergence in  $X$ , respectively.

Further, let us recall the concept of the De Blasi measure of weak noncompactness [6] being the function  $\omega: \Omega_X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ , defined in the following way

$$\omega(M) = \inf \{r > 0 : \text{there exists } K \in \mathcal{K}^w \text{ such that } M \subseteq K + B_r\},$$

for all  $M \in \Omega_X$ . For convenience we recall some basic properties of  $\omega(\cdot)$  needed below [6].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $M_1, M_2$  be two elements of  $\Omega_X$ . Then, the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1)  $M_1 \subseteq M_2$  implies  $\omega(M_1) \leq \omega(M_2)$ .
- (2)  $\omega(M_1) = 0$  if, and only if,  $M_1$  is relatively weakly compact.
- (3)  $\omega(\overline{M_1}^w) = \omega(M_1)$ , where  $\overline{M_1}^w$  is the weak closure of the subset  $M_1$ .

- (4)  $\omega(M_1 \cup M_2) = \max\{\omega(M_1), \omega(M_2)\}$ .
- (5)  $\omega(\lambda M_1) = |\lambda|\omega(M_1)$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (6)  $\omega(\text{co}(M_1)) = \omega(M_1)$ , where  $\text{co}(M_1)$  denotes the convex hull of  $M_1$ .
- (7)  $\omega(M_1 + M_2) \leq \omega(M_1) + \omega(M_2)$ .
- (8) if  $(M_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a decreasing sequence of nonempty bounded and weakly closed subsets of  $X$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega(M_n) = 0$ , then  $M_\infty := \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty M_n$  is nonempty and  $\omega(M_\infty) = 0$ .

**Definition 1** ([9, Definition 1.3.3]). An operator  $A: \mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  is said to be weakly sequentially continuous on  $\mathcal{D}(A)$  if for every sequence  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A)$ ,  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  implies  $Ax_n \rightharpoonup Ax$ .

**Definition 2** ([9, Definition 1.4.3]). An operator  $A: \mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  is said to be  $\omega$ -contractive (or  $\omega$ - $\alpha$ -contraction) if it maps bounded sets into bounded sets, and there exists some  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  such that  $\omega(A(S)) \leq \alpha\omega(S)$  for all bounded subsets  $S \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A)$ .

An operator  $A: \mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  is said to be  $\omega$ -condensing if it maps bounded sets into bounded sets, and  $\omega(A(S)) < \omega(S)$  for all bounded sets  $S \subseteq \mathcal{D}(A)$  with  $\omega(S) > 0$ .

*Example 1* ([9, Corollary 2.3.2]). If  $A: \mathcal{D}(A) \subseteq X \rightarrow X$  is Lipschitzian with a Lipschitz constant  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  and is weakly sequentially continuous on  $X$ , then  $A$  is  $\omega$ - $\alpha$ -contraction.

### 3. FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN WC-BANACH ALGEBRAS

First, let us recall other definitions which will be used in our study.

**Definition 3** ([3, Definition 2.2]). Let  $X$  be a Banach algebra. We say that  $X$  is a WC-Banach algebra, if the product  $K^w \cdot K^{fw}$  of arbitrary weakly compact subsets  $K^w$ ,  $K^{fw}$  of  $X$  is weakly compact.

It is interesting to work with these WC-Banach algebras and find important characterizations under the weak topology setting. Some results in this direction were established in [3, 4]. We provide the following definition as a way to highlight the most important of these results [4].

**Definition 4** ([4, Definition 3.1]). We will say that the Banach algebra  $X$  satisfies condition  $(\mathcal{P})$  if for every two sequences  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  and  $y_n \rightharpoonup y$  for some  $x, y \in X$ , we have  $x_n \cdot y_n \rightharpoonup x \cdot y$ .

Recently, J. Banas and L. Olszowy [2] have shown the equivalence between WC-Banach algebra and a Banach algebra satisfying  $(\mathcal{P})$ .

**Theorem 1** ([2, Theorem 2.9]). A Banach algebra  $X$  satisfies condition  $(\mathcal{P})$  if and only if  $X$  is the WC-Banach algebra.

*Example 2.* Clearly, every finite dimensional Banach algebra is a WC-Banach algebra. If  $X$  is a WC-Banach algebra, then the set  $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{K}, X)$  (here  $\mathcal{K}$  is a compact

Hausdorff space) of all continuous functions from  $\mathcal{K}$  to  $X$  is also a  $WC$ -Banach algebra. The proof is based on Dobrakov's Theorem [7].

**Theorem 2** ([7, Theorem 9]). *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a compact Hausdorff space and  $X$  be a Banach space. Let  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a bounded sequence in  $C(\mathcal{K}, X)$ , and  $f \in C(\mathcal{K}, X)$ . Then,  $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is weakly convergent to  $f$  if and only if  $(f_n(t))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is weakly convergent to  $f(t)$  for each  $t \in \mathcal{K}$ .*

*Example 3* ([1, Example 2.9]). Now, let us consider the classical Banach sequence space  $c_0$  consisting of all real (or complex) sequence converging to zero and equipped with the standard supremum (maximum) norm. In other words

$$c_0 = \left\{ x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} ; \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0 \right\} \text{ and } \|x\| = \sup\{|x_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We define the product of two elements  $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $y = (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $c_0$  in the classical way:

$$x \cdot y = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \cdot (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (x_n y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

Observe that for  $x, y \in c_0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x \cdot y\| &= \sup\{|x_n y_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &\leq \|x\| \sup\{|y_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &= \|x\| \|y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $c_0$  is a Banach algebra (normalized).

We show that  $c_0$  is the  $WC$ -Banach algebra. To this end let us recall [8] that in the Banach space  $c_0$  the sequence  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = ((x_n^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  (denoted  $((x_n^k))$  in the sequel), where  $x_k = (x_n^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_0$  for any  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , is convergent to an element  $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_0$  if and only if the sequence  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $c_0$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_n^k = x_n$  for any  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . In other words, the sequence  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = ((x_n^k))$  is weakly convergent to  $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_0$  if only if the sequence  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $c_0$  and is coordinatewise convergent to  $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ .

Further, let us assume that  $W$  and  $W'$  are weakly compact subsets of the space  $c_0$ . Consider the product  $W \cdot W'$ . Let us take an arbitrary sequence  $(z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W \cdot W'$ ,  $z_k = (z_n^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for any  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . This means that there exist two sequences  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W$ ,  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W'$  such that  $z_k = x_k \cdot y_k$  for any  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ . Since the sets  $W$  and  $W'$  are weakly compact, without loss of generality we can assume that  $x_k \rightharpoonup x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in W$  and  $y_k \rightharpoonup y = (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in W'$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . If we denote  $x_k = (x_n^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $y_k = (y_n^k)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , then in view of the above quoted characterization of the weak convergence in  $c_0$  we deduce that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_n^k = x_n$  for any  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . This implies that  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} z_n^k = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_n^k y_n^k = x_n y_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Obviously, the sequence  $(z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \cdot (y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is bounded in  $c_0$ .

Thus we showed that the sequence  $(z_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is weakly convergent to the element  $z = x \cdot y \in W \cdot W'$ . This allows us to infer that the set  $W \cdot W'$  is weakly compact in the space  $c_0$ .

To present the main fixed point results of this section we need the following theorems.

**Theorem 3** ([5, Theorem 3.2]). *Let  $S$  be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a Banach space  $X$  and let  $A: S \rightarrow S$  be a weakly sequentially continuous mapping. If  $A$  is  $\omega$ -condensing, then it has, at least, a fixed point in  $S$ .*

**Lemma 2** ([3, Lemma 2.4]). *Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be two bounded subsets of a WC-Banach algebra  $X$ . Then, we have the following inequality*

$$\omega(M_1.M_2) \leq \|M_2\|\omega(M_1) + \|M_1\|\omega(M_2) + \omega(M_1)\omega(M_2).$$

Now, we are ready to state and prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $S$  be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a WC-Banach algebra  $X$  and let  $A, C: X \rightarrow X$  and  $B: S \rightarrow X$  be three weakly sequentially continuous operators, satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i)  *$A$  is regular and  $(\frac{I-C}{A})^{-1}$  exists on  $B(S)$ .*
- (ii)  *$A, B,$  and  $C$  are  $w$ -contractive with constants  $\alpha, \beta,$  and  $\gamma,$  respectively.*
- (iii) *For each  $y \in S$  the following implication holds*

$$x = Ax.Bx + Cx \Rightarrow x \in S.$$

- (iv) *The following inequality is satisfied*

$$\beta\Delta + \alpha\beta w(S) + \delta\alpha + \gamma < 1,$$

where,  $\Delta = \|A(S)\|$  and  $\delta = \|B(S)\|$ .

Under the above assumptions the operator equation  $x = Ax.Bx + Cx$  has at least one solution in the set  $S$ .

*Proof.* From assumption (i), it follows that for each  $y \in S$ , there is a unique  $x_y \in X$  such that

$$\left(\frac{I-C}{A}\right)x_y = By,$$

or equivalently

$$Ax_y.Bx_y + Cx_y = x_y.$$

Since hypothesis (iii) holds, then  $x_y \in S$ . Hence, the operator  $T = (\frac{I-C}{A})^{-1}B: S \rightarrow S$  is well defined. At first, let us notice that after converting of the equality  $T = (\frac{I-C}{A})^{-1}B$ , we obtain

$$T = AT.B + CT. \tag{3.1}$$

Let us now prove that  $T$  is  $\omega$ -condensing. Let  $M$  be a subset of  $S$  with  $\omega(M) > 0$  and taking into account the properties of the De Blasi measure of weak noncompactness, we get

$$\omega(TM) \leq \omega(A(TM).BM) + \omega(C(TM)).$$

The properties of  $\omega$  in Lemma 2 and assumption (ii) on  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  yield

$$\omega(TM) \leq \delta\alpha\omega(TM) + \Delta\beta\omega(M) + \alpha\beta\omega(TM)\omega(M) + \gamma\omega(TM). \quad (3.2)$$

Note that from the inequality (3.2) and the fact that  $\omega(TM)\omega(M) \leq \omega(S)\omega(M)$ , one can deduce that

$$\omega(TM) \leq k_1\omega(M) \quad \text{where } k_1 = \frac{\Delta\beta + \alpha\beta\omega(S)}{1 - \delta\alpha - \gamma},$$

and from the inequality (3.2) and the fact that  $\omega(TM)\omega(M) \leq \omega(TM)\omega(S)$ , we can deduce that

$$\omega(TM) \leq k_2\omega(M) \quad \text{where } k_2 = \frac{\Delta\beta}{1 - \alpha\beta\omega(S) - \delta\alpha - \gamma}.$$

Then, we have

$$\omega(TM) \leq \min\{k_1, k_2\}\omega(M).$$

Using assumption (v), we have

$$\min\{k_1, k_2\} < 1,$$

and this prove that, the operator  $T$  is  $\omega$ -condensing. Now, we prove that  $T$  is weakly sequentially continuous. For this purpose, let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $S$  which converges weakly to some  $x \in S$ . In view of the equality (3.1) we obtain:

$$\omega(\{Tx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \leq \omega(\{A(Tx_n)Bx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) + \omega(\{C(Tx_n) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}).$$

In the above estimate we have used that the set  $\{Bx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is relatively weakly compact (since  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is relatively weakly compact). Further, observe that according to assumption (ii) the operators  $A$  and  $C$  are  $w$ -contractive with constants  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ , respectively. So, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\{Tx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) &\leq \delta\alpha\omega(\{Tx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) + \gamma\omega(\{Tx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \\ &\leq (\delta\alpha + \gamma)\omega(\{Tx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) \\ &< \omega(\{Tx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that conducting the same reasoning as above, on the basis of assumption (iv) we deduce that  $\omega(\{Tx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) = 0$ . Thus the set  $\{Tx_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is relatively weakly compact. Consequently, there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  such that  $Tx_{n_i} \rightharpoonup y$ .

Going back to equality (3.1), to the weak sequential continuity of  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$  and in view of condition (P) we deduce that  $Tx_{n_i} = A(Tx_{n_i}).Bx_{n_i} + C(Tx_{n_i}) \rightharpoonup Ay.Bx + Cy$ . Then,  $y = Ay.Bx + Cy$  so,  $y = Tx$ . Consequently,  $Tx_{n_i} \rightharpoonup Tx$ .

Now, we claim that  $Tx_n \rightharpoonup Tx$ . Suppose the contrary, then there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and a weak neighborhood  $V^w$  of  $Tx$ , such that  $Tx_{n_i} \notin V^w$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $(x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges weakly to  $x$  then arguing as before, we may extract a subsequence  $(x_{n_{i_j}})_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(x_{n_i})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ , such that  $Tx_{n_{i_j}} \rightharpoonup Tx$ , which is absurd, since  $Tx_{n_{i_j}} \notin V^w$ , for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . As a result,  $T$  is weakly sequentially continuous.

Next, taking into account the above proved properties of the operator  $T$  and utilizing Theorem 3 we infer that the operator  $T$  has at least one fixed point  $x$  in the set  $S$  i.e., there exists  $x \in S$  such that  $x = Tx$ . Hence, we obtain

$$x = \left( \frac{I - C}{A} \right)^{-1} Bx,$$

and consequently

$$\left( \frac{I - C}{A} \right) x = Bx.$$

From the above equality we get

$$x - Cx = Ax.Bx.$$

Finally, we have

$$x = Ax.Bx + Cx.$$

This completes the proof of our theorem. □

The above theorem yields the following corollaries.

**Corollary 1** ([10]). *Let  $S$  be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a WC-Banach algebra  $X$  and let  $A, C: X \rightarrow X$  and  $B: S \rightarrow X$  be three weakly sequentially continuous operators, satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i)  $A$  is regular and  $\left( \frac{I - C}{A} \right)^{-1}$  exists on  $B(S)$ .
- (ii)  $A(S), B(S)$ , and  $C(S)$  are relatively weakly compact.
- (iii) For each  $y \in S$  the following implication holds

$$x = Ax.By + Cx \Rightarrow x \in S.$$

*Under the above assumptions the operator equation  $x = Ax.Bx + Cx$  has at least one solution in the set  $S$ .*

Now, we formulate the final result of this section.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $S$  be a nonempty, bounded, closed, and convex subset of a WC-Banach algebra  $X$  and let  $A, C: X \rightarrow X$  and  $B: S \rightarrow X$  be three weakly sequentially continuous operators, satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i)  $A$  and  $C$  are Lipschitzian with the Lipschitz constants  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$ , respectively, where  $\alpha, \gamma \in [0, 1)$ .
- (ii)  $A$  is regular.
- (iii)  $B(S)$  is relatively weakly compact.

(iv) For each  $y \in S$  the following implication holds

$$x = Ax.By + Cx \Rightarrow x \in S.$$

(v) The following inequality is satisfied

$$\delta\alpha + \gamma < 1,$$

where,  $\Delta = \|A(S)\|$  and  $\delta = \|B(S)\|$ .

Under the above assumptions the operator equation  $x = Ax.Bx + Cx$  has at least one solution in the set  $S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y$  be fixed in  $S$  and let's define the mapping

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_y: X \longrightarrow X, \\ x \longrightarrow \varphi_y(x) = Ax.By + Cx. \end{cases}$$

Let  $x_1, x_2 \in X$ . The use of assumption (i) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi_y(x_1) - \varphi_y(x_2)\| &\leq \|Ax_1.By - Ax_2.By\| + \|Cx_1 - Cx_2\| \\ &\leq \|Ax_1 - Ax_2\| \|By\| + \|Cx_1 - Cx_2\| \\ &\leq (\delta\alpha + \gamma) \|x_1 - x_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

Now, an application of Banach's fixed point theorem [9] shows the existence of a unique point  $x_y \in X$ , such that

$$\varphi_y(x_y) = x_y.$$

Hence, the operator  $T := \left(\frac{I-C}{A}\right)^{-1}B: S \rightarrow X$  is well defined. Moreover, the use of assumption (iv) allows us to have  $T(S) \subset S$ . Using arguments similar to those used in the proof of Theorem 4, we can deduce that the operator  $T$  is weakly sequentially continuous. By using Theorem 3, it is sufficient to check that  $T$  is  $\omega$ -condensing. In order to achieve this, let  $M$  be a subset of  $S$  with  $\omega(M) > 0$ .

Using equality (3.1), we have

$$\omega(TM) \leq \omega(A(TM).BM) + \omega(C(TM)).$$

Making use of Lemmas 1, 2, and Example 1, together with the assumptions on  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  enables us to have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(T(M)) &\leq \omega(A(T(M))B(M)) + \omega(C(T(M))) \\ &\leq \delta\alpha\omega(T(M)) + \gamma\omega(T(M)) \\ &\leq (\delta\alpha + \gamma)\omega(T(M)). \end{aligned}$$

From the assumption (v), we have

$$\omega(T(M)) < \omega(T(M)).$$

Hence,  $T(M)$  is relatively weakly compact, and in particular,  $T$  is  $\omega$ -condensing.  $\square$



4. APPLICATION TO INFINITE SYSTEMS OF INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

This section is dedicated to show the applicability of the theory developed in the previous section to prove a result on the existence of solutions of the following infinite system of nonlinear integral equations [1, 2]

$$x_n(t) = a_n(x_n(t)) \int_0^1 b(t, s) f_n(s, x_n(s), x_{n+1}(s), \dots) ds + c_n(x_n(t)), \quad (4.1)$$

where  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $t \in I = [0, 1]$ . The infinite system of equations (4.1) will be investigated in the Banach space  $C_0 = C(I, c_0)$  consisting of all functions acting from the interval  $I$  into the sequence space  $c_0$ , which are continuous on  $I$ . Obviously, the norm in the space  $C_0$  has the form

$$\|x\|_{C_0} = \|(x_1, x_2, \dots)\|_{C_0} = \sup_{t \in I} \{ \sup [ |x_n(t)| : n = 1, 2, \dots ] \}.$$

Moreover, let us recall that by  $C(I)$  we will denote the space  $C(I, \mathbb{R})$  equipped with the norm  $\|x\|_{C(I)} = \sup \{ |x(t)| : t \in I \}$ . Let us mention that  $C_0$  forms a WC-Banach algebra (cf. Example 2). Now, we formulate a few assumptions under which we will investigate the infinite system (4.1).

- (i) For any  $n \geq 1$ , the function  $a_n: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  is Lipschitzian with the constant  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ .
- (ii) For any sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  with  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we have that  $a_n x_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (iii) The function  $c_n: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is Lipschitzian with the Lipschitz constant  $\gamma \in [0, 1)$  and  $c_n(0) = 0$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .
- (iv) There exist two constants  $M_1$  and  $M_2 > 0$  such that  $a_n(x) \leq M_1$  and  $|c_n(x)| \leq M_2$ , for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .
- (v) The function  $f_n$  acts from the set  $I \times \mathbb{R}^\infty$  into  $\mathbb{R}$  for any  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Moreover, we assume that there exist two sequences  $(k_n)_{n \geq 1}, (l_n)_{n \geq 1}$  with positive terms such that  $k_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $(l_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded and the following inequality is satisfied

$$|f_n(t, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots)| \leq k_n + l_n \sup \{ |x_i| : i \geq n \}$$

for any  $t \in I, x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1} \in c_0$  and for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

- (vi) The family of functions  $\{f_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is uniformly equicontinuous on the set  $I \times c_0$ . This means that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $n \geq 1$  and  $t \in I$ , and for all  $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}, y = (y_n)_{n \geq 1} \in c_0$  with  $\|x - y\|_{c_0} \leq \delta$  we have that

$$|f_n(t, x_n, x_{n+1}, \dots) - f_n(t, y_n, y_{n+1}, \dots)| \leq \varepsilon.$$

- (vii) The function  $b(t, s) = b: I \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous in  $t$  uniformly with respect to the variable  $s \in I$  and is integrable with respect to  $s$  for any  $t \in I$ .

*Remark 1.* Observe that from assumptions (i) and (iii) it follows that the functions  $a_n$  and  $c_n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ) are continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

*Remark 2.* From the above formulated assumption we deduce that  $K < \infty$  and  $L < \infty$ , where the constants  $K$  and  $L$  are defined by the equalities:

$$K = \sup\{k_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}, \quad L = \sup\{l_n : n = 1, 2, \dots\}.$$

*Remark 3.* In view of assumption (vii) the function

$$\bar{b}(t) = \int_0^1 b(t, s) ds$$

is well defined on  $I$ . Observe that this function is continuous on the interval  $I$ . In fact, for a fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for arbitrary  $t_1, t_2 \in I$  such that  $|t_2 - t_1| \leq \varepsilon$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\bar{b}(t_2) - \bar{b}(t_1)| &\leq \int_0^1 |b(t_2, s) - b(t_1, s)| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \mu_1(b, \varepsilon) ds = \mu_1(b, \varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where the function  $\mu_1(b, \varepsilon)$  denotes the modulus of continuity of the function  $t \rightarrow b(t, s)$  defined by the formula

$$\mu_1(b, \varepsilon) = \sup\{|b(t_2, s) - b(t_1, s)| : t_1, t_2, s \in I, |t_2 - t_1| \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

Obviously, in view of assumption (vii) we have that  $\mu_1(b, \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . This shows that the function  $\bar{b} = \bar{b}(t)$  defined above is continuous on  $I$ . Taking into account the above statement we can define the finite constant  $\bar{B}$  by putting

$$\bar{B} = \sup \left\{ \int_0^1 |b(t, s)| ds : t \in I \right\}.$$

Now, we formulate our further assumptions. To this end, let us put

$$M = \max\{M_1, M_2\}.$$

(viii) The following inequality holds

$$M\bar{B}L < 1.$$

where the constants  $L$  and  $\bar{B}$  were defined earlier.

For further purposes we define the number  $r_0$  by putting

$$r_0 = \frac{MK\bar{B} + M}{1 - M\bar{B}L}. \quad (4.2)$$

Finally, we assume that

$$(ix) \quad \alpha \left( \frac{K\bar{B} + L\bar{B}M}{1 - M\bar{B}L} \right) + \gamma < 1.$$

Before formulating our main result we provide an auxiliary lemma which will be useful in our investigations.

**Lemma 3** ([1, Lemma 4.6]). *Let the function  $x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots) = (x_n(t))_{n \geq 1}$  be an element of the space  $C_0 = C(I, c_0)$ . Then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|_{C(I)} = 0.$$

**Theorem 6.** *Under assumptions (i) – (ix) the infinite system of integral equations (4.1) has at least one solution  $x(t) = (x_n(t))_{n \geq 1}$  in the space  $C_0 = C(I, c_0)$ .*

*Proof.* Let us define the subset  $S$  of  $C_0 = C(I, c_0)$  by

$$S = B_{r_0} := \{x \in C_0 : \|x\| \leq r_0\},$$

where  $r_0$  is a number described by equality (4.2). Obviously,  $S$  is a nonempty, closed, convex, and bounded subset of  $C_0 = C(I, c_0)$ . To make lecture of the infinite system of equations let us consider three operators  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  defined on  $C_0$  as follow

$$\begin{cases} (Ax)(t) = (a_n(x_n(t)))_{n \geq 1} = (a_1(x_1(t)), a_2(x_2(t)), \dots), \\ (Bx)(t) = \left( \int_0^1 b(t,s) f_n(s, x_n(s), x_{n+1}(s), \dots) ds \right)_{n \geq 1}, \\ (Cx)(t) = (c_n(x_n(t)))_{n \geq 1} = (c_1(x_1(t)), c_2(x_2(t)), \dots), \end{cases} .$$

for  $t \in I = [0, 1]$ . We show that these operators satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 5. We start with investigations concerning the operator  $A$ . At first, let us observe that assumption (i) guarantees that  $A$  is regular. This means that there is satisfied assumption (ii) of Theorem 5.

Next let us fix arbitrary  $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  and  $y = (y_n)_{n \geq 1} \in S$ . If we take an arbitrary  $t \in I$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(x)(t) - A(y)(t)\|_{c_0} &= \|(a_1(x_1)(t) - a_1(y_1)(t), a_2(x_2)(t) - a_2(y_2)(t), \dots)\|_{c_0} \\ &\leq \sup\{|a_n(x_n)(t) - a_n(y_n)(t)| : n = 1, 2, \dots\} \\ &\leq \alpha \|x(t) - y(t)\|_{c_0}. \end{aligned}$$

From the last inequality and taking the supremum over  $t$ , we obtain

$$\|Ax - Ay\|_{C_0} \leq \alpha \|x - y\|_{C_0}.$$

This shows that the operator  $A$  is Lipschitzian with a Lipschitzian constant  $\alpha$ .

Now, we will verify that  $A$  transforms the space  $C_0$  into itself. Indeed, let us take a function  $x(t) = (x_n(t))_{n \geq 1} \in C_0$ . This means, that for each fixed  $t \in I$  we have that  $x_n(t) \rightarrow 0$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, in view of assumption (ii) we get that  $a_n(x_n(t)) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This proves our claim.

Further on, we show that the operator  $A$  is weakly sequentially continuous. Let us take a sequence  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset C_0$  which is weakly convergent to a function  $x \in C_0$ . This means by [7] that if we denote

$$x_n(t) = (x_1^n(t), x_2^n(t), x_3^n(t), \dots)$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and for an arbitrary  $t \in I$  and if we denote  $x(t) = (x_1(t), x_2(t), x_3(t), \dots)$ , then we have  $x_1^n(t) \rightarrow x_1(t), \dots, x_k^n(t) \rightarrow x_k(t), \dots$  for any  $t \in I$ , if  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Now, let us consider the sequence  $(Ax_n)_{n \geq 1}$  i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} (Ax_n)_{n \geq 1} &= (A(x_1^n, x_2^n, x_3^n, \dots))_{n \geq 1} = (a_1(x_1^n), a_2(x_2^n), a_3(x_3^n), \dots)_{n \geq 1} \\ &= (a_k(x_k^n))_{n \geq 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for an arbitrarily fixed  $t \in I$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ((Ax_n)(t))_{n \geq 1} &= (a_1(x_1^n(t)), a_2(x_2^n(t)), a_3(x_3^n(t)), \dots)_{n \geq 1} \\ &= (a_k(x_k^n(t)))_{n \geq 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since, according to our assumptions we have that  $x_k^n(t) \rightarrow x_k(t)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), this implies that  $a_k(x_k^n(t)) \rightarrow a_k(x_k(t))$ , ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), which is a simple consequence of the continuity of each function  $a_k$ , ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ), on the set  $\mathbb{R}$  (cf. Remark 1). Since  $(Ax_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded by  $M_1$ , then we can again apply Dobrakov's theorem (see Theorem 2),  $A$  is weakly sequentially continuous.

In a similar way we can show that the operator  $C$  is well-defined, Lipschitzian with the Lipschitz constants  $\gamma$ , and weakly sequentially continuous.

In what follows we will consider the operator  $B$ . To this end let us take the set  $S$ . At the beginning we show that  $B$  transforms the set  $S$  into the space  $C_0$ . Thus, take an arbitrary function  $x(t) = (x_n(t))_{n \geq 1} \in S$ . Fix arbitrarily  $n \geq 1$  and a number  $t \in I$ . Then, keeping in mind assumptions (v) and (vii), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} |(Bx)(t)| &\leq \int_0^1 |b(t, s)| |f_n(s, x_n(s), x_{n+1}(s), \dots)| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^1 |b(t, s)| \{k_n + l_n \sup\{|x_i(s)| : i \geq n\}\} ds \\ &\leq k_n \int_0^1 |b(t, s)| ds + l_n \int_0^1 |b(t, s)| \sup\{|x_i(s)| : i \geq n\} ds \\ &\leq k_n \bar{B} + l_n \sup_{i \geq n} \{\sup\{|x_i(t)| : t \in I\}\} \bar{B}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{B}$  was defined in Remark 3.

Consequently, in view of assumption (v) and Remark 2, we get

$$|(Bx)(t)| \leq k_n \bar{B} + L \bar{B} \sup_{i \geq n} \{\sup\{|x_i(t)| : t \in I\}\},$$

for any  $n \geq 1$  and for each  $t \in I$ . The above estimate implies the following one

$$|(Bx)(t)| \leq k_n \bar{B} + L \bar{B} \sup_{i \geq n} \|x_i\|_{C(I)}. \quad (4.3)$$

Now, taking into account that  $k_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and keeping in mind Lemma 3 we conclude from estimate (4.3) that the operator  $B$  transforms the set  $S$  into the  $C_0$ .

Moreover, from estimate (4.3) we infer the following inequality

$$\|Bx\|_{C_0} \leq K\bar{B} + L\bar{B}\|x\|_{C_0}.$$

Consequently (since  $x \in S$ ), we obtain

$$\|Bx\|_{C_0} \leq K\bar{B} + L\bar{B}r_0. \tag{4.4}$$

Further on, we show that the operator  $B$  is weakly sequentially continuous on the set  $S$ . To do it, let  $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$  be any sequence in  $S$  weakly converging to a function  $x \in S$ . Then, by using Dobrakov's theorem [7], we get for all  $t \in I$ ,  $x_k^n(t) \rightarrow x_k(t)$ , if  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , ( $k = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Now, fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . This means that for any  $t \in I$ , we have the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \|Bx_n(t) - Bx(t)\|_{C_0} &= \max_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \left| \int_0^1 b(t,s) (f_k(s, x_k^n(s), x_{k+1}^n(s), \dots) \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. - f_k(s, x_k(s), x_{k+1}(s), \dots)) ds \right| \right\} \\ &\leq \max_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \int_0^1 |b(t,s)| |f_k(s, x_k^n(s), x_{k+1}^n(s), \dots) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - f_k(s, x_k(s), x_{k+1}(s), \dots)| ds \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\delta > 0$ . Since  $x_k^n(t) \rightarrow x_k(t)$ , then  $\forall k \geq 1$  there exists  $n_0 \geq 1$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$  and for all  $t \in I$ ,

$$|x_k^n(t) - x_k(t)| \leq \delta, \quad \forall n \geq n_0.$$

Hence, in view of assumption (vi), we obtain for any  $t \in I$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Bx_n(t) - Bx(t)\|_{C_0} &\leq \int_0^1 |b(t,s)| \varepsilon ds \\ &\leq \bar{B}\varepsilon, \quad \forall n \geq n_0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $Bx_n(t) \rightarrow Bx(t)$  in  $c_0$ , in particular,  $Bx_n(t) \rightarrow Bx(t)$  in  $c_0$ . Since  $(Bx_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is bounded by  $K\bar{B} + L\bar{B}r_0$ , then we can again apply Dobrakov's theorem [7] to obtain  $Bx_n \rightarrow Bx$ . We conclude that the operator  $B$  is weakly sequentially continuous.

Now, we are going to prove that for all  $t \in I$ , the set  $\{Bx(t) : x \in S\}$  is relatively compact in  $c_0$ . At first let  $t_1, t_2 \in I$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and for a function  $x \in S$ , on the basis of the estimate from Remark 3, we have:

$$|(Bx)(t_2) - (Bx)(t_1)| \leq \int_0^1 |b(t_2,s) - b(t_1,s)| |f_n(s, x_n(s), x_{n+1}(s), \dots)| ds$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \int_0^1 \mu_1(b, |t_2 - t_1|)(K + Lr_0) ds \\ &\leq (K + Lr_0)\mu_1(b, |t_2 - t_1|). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that the family of functions  $\{Bx(t) : x \in S\}$  is equicontinuous on the space  $c_0$ . Further, let  $(Bx_k(t))_{k \geq 1}$  be a sequence of  $B(S)(t)$ . From estimate (4.4), we have

$$\sup_{n \geq 1} |Bx_n^k(t)| \leq \|Bx_k\|_{C_0} \leq K\bar{B} + L\bar{B}r_0.$$

for all  $t \in I$  and for all  $k \geq 1$ . Then, the sequence  $(Bx_n^k(t))_{k \geq 1}$  is equibounded in  $\mathbb{R}$ , then using Bolzano-Weirstrass's Theorem, there is a renamed subsequence such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n^k(t) = g_n(t), \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1 \text{ and } t \in I.$$

Then, this means that the following condition is satisfied:

For all  $t \in I$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k_0 \geq 1$  such that for all  $k \geq k_0$ , we have

$$|Bx_n^k(t) - g_n(t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

Taking into account that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n^{k_0}(t) = 0$  then, for all  $t \in I$ , there exists  $n_0 \geq 1$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$ , we have

$$|Bx_n^{k_0}(t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Now, for  $t \in I$  and  $n \geq n_0$ , we have

$$|g_n(t)| \leq |g_n(t) - Bx_n^{k_0}(t)| + |Bx_n^{k_0}(t)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

It follows that  $(g_n(t))_{n \geq 1} \in c_0$ . Then,  $B(S)(t)$  is relatively compact in  $c_0$ . From the above established facts and Arzelá-Ascoli criterion [8] for the relative compactness, we deduce that the set  $B(S)$  is relatively compact in  $C_0$ , in particular,  $B(S)$  is relatively weakly compact in  $C_0$ . This means that there is satisfied assumption (iii) of Theorem 5.

In our next step we show that there is satisfied assumption (iv) of Theorem 5. To this end let us fix arbitrarily  $y \in S$ . Next, assume that an element  $x \in C_0$  satisfies the equality

$$x = Ax.By + Cx.$$

This yields

$$\|x\|_{C_0} \leq \|Ax\|_{C_0} \|By\|_{C_0} + \|Cx\|_{C_0}.$$

Further, by hypothesis (iv) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x\|_{C_0} &\leq M_1 \|By\|_{C_0} + M_2 \\ &\leq M(K\bar{B} + L\bar{B}r_0 + 1) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in view of assumption (viii) we obtain  $\|x\|_{C_0} \leq r_0$ . Finally, let us notice in view of equality (4.3) and hypothesis (ix) that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha\|B(S)\|_{C_0} + \gamma &\leq \alpha \left( K\bar{B} + L\bar{B} \frac{MK\bar{B} + M}{1 - ML\bar{B}} \right) + \gamma \\ &\leq \alpha \left( \frac{K\bar{B} + L\bar{B}M}{1 - ML\bar{B}} \right) + \gamma < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the hypothesis (v) of Theorem 5 is satisfied which achieves the proof.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Banas, B. Krichen, and B. Mefteh, “Fixed point theorems in WC–Banach algebras and their applications to infinite systems of integral equations.” *Filomat.*, vol. 34:8, pp. 2763–2784, 2021, doi: [10.2298/FIL2008763B](https://doi.org/10.2298/FIL2008763B).
- [2] J. Banas and L. Olszowy, “On the equivalence of some concepts in the theory of Banach algebras.” *Ann. Funct. Anal.*, vol. 10, pp. 277–283, 2019, doi: [10.1215/20088752-2018-0027](https://doi.org/10.1215/20088752-2018-0027).
- [3] J. Banas and M. A. Taoudi, “Fixed points and solutions of operator equations for the weak topology in Banach algebras.” *Taiwanese J. Math.*, vol. 18, pp. 871–893, 2014, doi: [10.11650/tjm.18.2014.3860](https://doi.org/10.11650/tjm.18.2014.3860).
- [4] A. Ben Amar, S. Chouayekh, and A. Jeribi, “New fixed point theorems in Banach algebras under weak topology features and applications to nonlinear integral equations.” *J. Funct. Anal.*, vol. 259, pp. 2215–2237, 2010, doi: [10.1016/j.jfa.2010.06.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2010.06.016).
- [5] A. Ben Amar and M. Mnif, “Leray-Schauder alternatives for weakly sequentially continuous mappings and application to transport equation.” *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.*, vol. 33, pp. 80–90, 2010, doi: [10.1002/mma.1152](https://doi.org/10.1002/mma.1152).
- [6] F. S. De Blasi, “On a property of the unit sphere in Banach spaces.” *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie.*, vol. 21, pp. 259–262, 1977.
- [7] I. Dobrakov, “On representation of linear operators on  $C_0(T, X)$ .” *Czechoslovak Mat. J.*, vol. 21, pp. 13–30, 1971.
- [8] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators. Part I: General Theory*. New York, London: Interscience Publishers, 1958.
- [9] A. Jeribi and B. Krichen, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis in Banach Spaces and Banach Algebras: Fixed Point Theory under Weak Topology for Nonlinear Operators and Block Operator Matrices with Applications*. Monographs and Research Notes in Mathematics, CRC Press Taylor and Francis, Boca Raton, 2015. doi: [10.1201/b18790](https://doi.org/10.1201/b18790).
- [10] A. Jeribi, B. Krichen, and B. Mefteh, “Fixed point theory in WC-Banach algebras.” *Turk. J. Math.*, vol. 40, pp. 283–291, 2016, doi: [10.3906/mat-1504-42](https://doi.org/10.3906/mat-1504-42).
- [11] A. Jeribi, B. Krichen, and B. Mefteh, “Existence of solutions for an infinite system of quadratic integral equations in the Banach algebra  $C(I, c_0)$ .” *Mediterr. J. Math.*, vol. 17, pp. 10–17, 2020, doi: [10.1007/s00009-019-1460-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00009-019-1460-5).

*Author’s address*

**Bilel Mefteh**

Sfax University, Department of mathematics, Soukra Road Km 3.5, B.P. 1171, 3000, Sfax, Tunisia  
*E-mail address:* [bilel.mefteh@fss.usf.tn](mailto:bilel.mefteh@fss.usf.tn)