

# FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR UPPER SEMICONTINUOUS MULTIVALUED OPERATORS IN LOCALLY CONVEX SPACES

## BILEL KRICHEN AND FATIMA BAHIDI

Received 25 November, 2019

Abstract. In the paper, we will discus some  $\tau$ -topological properties of the set  $\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H) := \{x \in X : S_0 x \in Tx + Hx\}$ , where *T* is multivalued operator,  $S_0$  and *H* are two single valued operators acting on a Hausdorff locally convex space *X* and  $\tau$  is a weaker Hausdorff locally convex topology on *X*. Moreover, when *X* is a  $\tau$ -angelic space with the so-called  $\tau$ -Krein-Šmulian property, we will provide some new variants of fixed point theorems for multivalued operators. Our results are formulated in terms of  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuity,  $\tau$ -S<sub>0</sub>-demicom-pactness and families of axiomatic measures of noncompactenss.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H04; 47H08; 47H10

*Keywords:*  $\tau$ -relative demicompact operator, multi-valued operator, fixed point,  $\tau$ -S<sub>0</sub>-demicompactness, locally convex space

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1966, W. V. Petryshyn [16] introduced the concept of demicompact nonlinear operators and gave an iterative methods for the construction of fixed points on Hilbert space. In [11, 17] the authors used this notion to give new fixed point theorems for demicompact-k-set contractions defined on Banach space X. In other direction the demicompactness concept was used to provide several results on Fredholm and spectral theories (see [2, 6, 18]). In 2014 B. Krichen [13] gave a generalization of this concept by introducing the class of relative demicompact linear operator with respect to a given linear operator. This definition asserts that if X is a Banach space  $T: \mathcal{D}(T) \subset X \to X$ , and  $S_0: \mathcal{D}(S_0) \subset X \to X$  are two linear operator with  $\mathcal{D}(T) \subset \mathcal{D}(S_0)$ . Then T is said to be S<sub>0</sub>-demicompact (or relative demicompact with respect to  $S_0$  if every bounded  $(x_n)_n$  in  $\mathcal{D}(T)$  such that  $(S_0x_n - Tx_n)$  converges in X, have a convergent subsequence. In [14] B. Krichen and D. O'Regan studied some topological properties of the set  $\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, z) := \{x \in X, S_0 x \in T x + z\}$ , where T is a nonlinear multivalued mapping and  $S_0$  is single valued mapping on Banach space X. Their results are formulated in term of the concept of weakly relative demicompactness.

© 2023 Miskolc University Press

In this paper we generalize the results of [14], to discuss some topological properties of the set

$$\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H) := \{ x \in X, S_0 x \in T x + H x \},\$$

where *T* is a multivalued operator,  $S_0$  and *H* are two single valued operators acting on a Hausdorff locally convex space *X* such that  $D(T) \subset D(S_0) \cap D(H)$ . Then, we present some new fixed point theorems for  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuous multivalued operators on *X*, where  $\tau$  is a weaker Hausdorff locally convex topology on *X*.

Firstly, let us recall some notations and basic concepts. Let  $(X, \{|\cdot|_p\}_{p \in \Lambda})$  be a Hausdorff locally convex vector space endowed with a family of seminorms  $\{|\cdot|_p\}_{p \in \Lambda}$ generating its topology and let  $\tau$  be a weaker Hausdorff locally convex topology on *X*. We denote by  $\stackrel{\tau}{\rightarrow}$  the convergence in  $(X, \tau)$  and by  $\rightarrow$  the convergence in  $(X, \{|\cdot|_p\}_{p \in \Lambda})$ . We mean by  $\tau$ -compact, (resp.  $\tau$ -closed) sets, compact, (resp. closed) sets with respect to the topology  $\tau$ . We also denote by  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  the family of all nonempty bounded subsets of *X* (with respect to the topology generated by  $\{|\cdot|_p\}_{p \in \Lambda}$ ).

Now, let us consider the following axiomatic definition of a family of measures of noncompactenss in a Hausdorff locally convex vector space.

**Definition 1** (Definition 2.1 in [4]). A family of functions  $\phi_{p_{\tau}}: \mathcal{B}(X) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $(p \in \Lambda)$  is said to be a  $\Phi_{\Lambda}^{\tau}$ -measures of noncompactenss in X ( $\Phi_{\Lambda}^{\tau}$ -MNC, in short) if for each  $p \in \Lambda$ , it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $\phi_{p_{\tau}}(\overline{\operatorname{conv}}^{\tau}(M)) \leq \phi_{p_{\tau}}(M)$  for each  $M \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , where  $\overline{\operatorname{conv}}^{\tau}(M)$  is the closure of the convex hull of M in  $(X, \tau)$ ;
- (ii)  $M_1 \subseteq M_2 \Rightarrow \phi_{p_\tau}(M_1) \le \phi_{p_\tau}(M_2)$ , where  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ ;
- (iii)  $\phi_{p_{\tau}}(\{x\} \cup M) = \phi_{p_{\tau}}(M)$  for any  $x \in X$  and  $M \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ ;
- (iv)  $\phi_{p_{\tau}}(M) = 0$  implies *M* is relatively  $\tau$ -compact in *X*;
- (v) if  $(M_n)_n$  is a sequence of  $\tau$ -closed sets of  $\mathcal{B}(X)$  such that  $M_{n+1} \subset M_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \ldots$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi_{p_{\tau}}(M_n) = 0$  for each  $p \in \Lambda$ , then  $M_{\infty} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n$  is nonempty relatively  $\tau$ -compact subset of X.

The family  $\Phi_{\Lambda}^{\tau}$ -MNC is called:

- Positively homogeneous, if for each  $p \in \Lambda$ ,  $\phi_{p_{\tau}}(\lambda M) = \lambda \phi_{p_{\tau}}(M)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , where  $M \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ .
- Subadditive, if for each  $p \in \Lambda$ ,  $\phi_{p_{\tau}}(M_1 + M_2) \leq \phi_{p_{\tau}}(M_1) + \phi_{p_{\tau}}(M_2)$ , where  $M_1, M_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ .

*Example* 1 ([7]). The family of measures of weak noncompactenss in a locally convex space *X*, which is defined by:

$$\omega_p(M) = \inf\{r > 0 : \exists W \in \mathcal{W}(X) \text{ such that } M \subseteq W + B_p(0, r)\}, \qquad p \in \Lambda$$

is positively homogeneous and subadditive  $\Phi_{\Lambda}^{\sigma(X,X^*)}$ -MNC. Here,  $B_p(0,r)$  is the closed ball centered at 0 with radius  $r, \sigma(X,X^*)$  is the weak topology of X and  $\mathcal{W}(X)$  is the set of all nonempty relatively weakly compact subsets of X. This formula is

based on the notion of single measure of weak noncompactenss introduced by De Blasi [7].

**Definition 2.** Let *M* be a nonempty subset of *X* and let  $\Phi_{\Lambda}^{\tau} := \{\phi_{p_{\tau}}, p \in \Lambda\}$  be a family of  $\Phi_{\Lambda}^{\tau}$ -MNC in *X*. An operator  $A : M \to X$  is said  $\Phi_{\Lambda}^{\tau}$ -contraction if for any bounded subset *S* of *M*,  $A(S) \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , and for each  $p \in \Lambda$ , there exists a constant  $\beta_p \in [0, 1)$  such that  $\phi_{p_{\tau}}(A(S)) \leq \beta_p \phi_{p_{\tau}}(S)$ . The operator *A* is called  $\Phi_{\Lambda}^{\tau}$ -condensing if for any bounded subset *S* of *M*,  $A(S) \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , and for each  $p \in \Lambda$  such that  $\phi_{p_{\tau}}(S) > 0$ ,  $\phi_{p_{\tau}}(A(S)) < \phi_{p_{\tau}}(S)$ .

**Definition 3** (Definition p. 30 in [8]). A topological (Hausdorff) space X is called angelic (or has countably determined compactness) if for every relatively countably compact subset M of X, the following holds:

- (i) *M* is relatively compact.
- (ii) For each  $x \in \overline{M}$ , there is a sequence in M which converges to x.

Remark 1.

- (i) Note that all metrizable locally convex spaces endowed with their weak topology are angelic. (See Eberlein-Šmulian theorem [15].)
- (ii) In angelic spaces the classes of compact, countably compact, and sequentially compact sets coincide (see [8, p. 31]).

**Definition 4** (Definition 2.4 in [4]). Let *M* be a nonempty subset of *X*. An operator  $A: M \to X$  is said to be  $\tau$ -closed on *M* if for each sequence  $(x_n)_n \in M$  such that  $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} x$  and  $Ax_n \xrightarrow{\tau} y$ , then  $x \in M$  and y = Ax.

**Definition 5** (Definition 2.5 in [5]). Let *M* be a nonempty subset of *X*, *A* :  $M \to X$  be an operator. We say that *A* is  $\tau$ -sequentially continuous on *M* if for each sequence  $(x_n)_n \subset M$  with  $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} X$  and  $x \in M$ , we have that  $Ax_n \xrightarrow{\tau} Ax$ .

Remark 2.

- (i) Clearly, every  $\tau$ -sequentially continuous operator is  $\tau$ -closed, but the converse is not true.
- (ii) If X is angelic, then any  $\tau$ -sequentially continuous operator on a  $\tau$ -compact set is  $\tau$ -continuous.

**Definition 6** (Definition 2.6 in [4]). We say that X has the  $\tau$ -Krein-Šmulian property ( $\tau$ -KS, in short) if the closed convex hull of a  $\tau$ -compact set is  $\tau$ -compact.

## Remark 3.

- (i) Each Fréchet space X has the  $\tau$ -KS property, here  $\tau$  is the weak topology  $\sigma(X, X^*)$  defined on X, particularly for each Banach space.
- (ii) Let *X* be a Hausdorff locally convex space with the  $\tau$ -KS property, and let *M* be a nonempty relatively  $\tau$ -compact subset of *X*. Using property (*ii*) of Definition 1, we obtain that,  $\phi_{p_{\tau}}(M) \leq \phi_{p_{\tau}}(\overline{\text{conv}}^{\tau}(M))$ , hence  $\phi_{p_{\tau}}(M) = 0$ . In this case, we say that  $\Phi_{\Lambda}^{\tau}$ -MNC is regular.

Let *X* be a Hausdorff locally convex space, we define

$$\mathcal{P}(X) = \{ M \subseteq X : M \text{ is nonempty} \}.$$
$$\mathcal{P}_{cl,cv}(X) = \{ M \subseteq X : M \text{ is nonempty closed convex} \}.$$
$$\mathcal{P}_{\tau-cl,cv}(X) = \{ M \subseteq X : M \text{ is nonempty } \tau\text{-closed convex} \}.$$

Let *M* be a nonempty subset of *X* and *A*:  $M \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  be a multivalued mapping. We denote by  $\mathcal{R}(A) = \bigcup_{y \in M} A(y)$  and  $Gr(A) = \{(x, y) \in M \times X, x \in A(y)\}$  the range and the graph of *A* respectively.

**Definition 7.** Let  $A: M \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  be a multivalued operator. We say that

- (i) A is  $\tau$ -compact if the set  $\mathcal{R}(A)$  is relatively  $\tau$ -compact in X.
- (ii) A is  $\tau$ -closed if its graph Gr(T) is  $\tau$ -closed in  $X \times X$ .
- (iii) A has a  $\tau$ -sequentially closed graph if for every sequence  $(x_n)_n \subset M$  with  $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} x$  in M and for every sequence  $y_n \in A(x_n), y_n \xrightarrow{\tau} y$  in X implies  $y \in A(x)$ .

**Definition 8.** Let  $A: M \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  be a multivalued operator.

(i) A is called  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuous if for each  $\tau$ -closed set  $C \subset M$ , the set  $A^{-1}(C)$  is  $\tau$ -closed in X, such that

$$A^{-1}(C) = \{ x \in X, A(x) \cap C \neq \emptyset \}.$$

(ii) We say that A is sequentially  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuous if  $A^{-1}(C)$  is sequentially  $\tau$ -closed.

*Remark* 4. Clearly, every  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuous multivalued operator is sequentially  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuous, but the converse is not true.

The following lemma provides a sequential characterization of an upper semicontinuous multi-valued mapping.

**Lemma 1.** A multi-valued map T is upper semi-continuous at a point  $x \in X$  if, and only if, for every sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  in X which converges to x, and for any open set  $V \subset X$  such that  $T(x) \subset V$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $T(x_n) \subset V$ , for all  $n \ge n_0$ .

The following lemma [10] will be used later.

**Lemma 2.** Assume that  $T: X \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  is an upper semi-continuous multi-valued operator. Then the graph Gr(T) is a closed subset of  $X \times X$ .

## 2. Relative *t*-demicompact nonlinear operators

In this section X is a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space,  $\tau$  is a weaker Hausdorff locally convex vector topology on X and  $S_0$  is a single-valued operator from  $\mathcal{D}(S_0) \subset X$  into X. Now, we introduce a new concept of  $\tau$ -S<sub>0</sub>-demicompact.

**Definition 9.** Let X be a topological vector space. Let  $T: \mathcal{D}(T) \subset X \longrightarrow X$  be a single-valued operator with  $\mathcal{D}(T) \subset \mathcal{D}(S_0)$ . Now T is called  $\tau$ -S<sub>0</sub>-demicompact if whenever  $S_0x_n - Tx_n$  is  $\tau$ -convergent and  $(x_n)_n$  is contained in a bounded set of X, then the sequence  $(x_n)_n$  has a  $\tau$ -convergent subsequence in  $\mathcal{D}(T)$ . If  $S_0 = I$ , T is simply said to be  $\tau$ -demicompact.

**Definition 10.** A single-valued operator  $T: \mathcal{D}(T) \subset X \longrightarrow X$  with  $\mathcal{D}(T) \subset \mathcal{D}(S_0)$  is said to be  $\tau$ - $S_0$ -semiclosed if for any  $\tau$ -closed subset  $V \subset$  of X, the set  $(S_0 - T)V$  is  $\tau$ -closed.

*Remark* 5. We note that there is no relationship between the concepts of  $\tau$ -S<sub>0</sub>-semiclosedness and  $\tau$ -S<sub>0</sub>-demicompactness. Consider the map

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} T \colon \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ x & \longrightarrow \frac{x|x|}{1+|x|} \end{array} \right.$$

Obviously,  $(Id_{\mathbb{R}} - T)(\mathbb{R}) = ]-1, 1[$ , so it follows that *T* is not  $Id_{\mathbb{R}}$ -semiclosed. However, we know that in finite dimensional spaces, every bounded sequence has a weakly convergent subsequence. Therefore, the operator *T* is weakly  $Id_{\mathbb{R}}$ -demicompact.

For the following, let *T* be multivalued operator,  $S_0$  and *H* are two single-valued operators from  $\mathcal{D}(S_0), \mathcal{D}(H)$  into *X* respectively, with  $\mathcal{D}(S_0) \subset \mathcal{D}(H)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(T) \subset \mathcal{D}(S_0) \cap \mathcal{D}(H)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H)$  the set of solutions of

$$S_0 x \in T x + H x, \tag{2.1}$$

where,  $Tx + Hx = \{y + Hx : y \in Tx\}$ , and x is a solution if the relation (2.1) holds. Note we do not assume existence or uniqueness so the set  $\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H)$  might be empty or contain many elements.

*Remark* 6. Let *X* be a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space. Assume that  $S_0$  and *H* are continuous single-valued operators. Then, the subset  $\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H)$  is closed.

If X is endowed with its  $\tau$ -topology, then we have the following.

**Theorem 1.** Let X be a Hausdorff topological vector space (locally convex topological vector space). Assume that T is a multi-valued operator with  $\tau$ -sequentially closed graph and  $S_0$  and H are  $\tau$ -sequentially continuous operators. Then, the subset  $\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H)$  is  $\tau$ -sequentially closed.

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)_n$  be a sequence of  $\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H)$  such that  $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} x$ . Then, there exists a sequence  $(y_n)_n$  of  $(Tx_n)_n$  such that  $S_0x_n = y_n + Hx_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . From the  $\tau$ -sequential continuity of  $S_0$  and H it follows that  $S_0x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} S_0x$  and  $Hx_n \xrightarrow{\tau} Hx$  and then  $(x_n, y_n) \xrightarrow{\tau} (x, S_0x - Hx)$ . Since T has a  $\tau$ -sequentially closed graph, it follows that  $x \in \mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H)$  and so,  $\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H)$  is  $\tau$ -sequentially closed. Notice that if  $S_0$  is only  $\tau$ -closed, then we have the following:

**Theorem 2.** Let X be a Hausdorff topological vector space (locally convex topological vector space). Assume that compact sets in  $(X,\tau)$  are angelic. If T is a  $\tau$ -compact multi-valued operator and  $S_0 - H$  is a  $\tau$ -closed operator, then the subset  $\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H)$  is  $\tau$ -sequentially closed.

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)_n \subset \mathcal{D}(T)$  be a sequence of  $\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H)$  such that  $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} x$ . Then, there exists a sequence  $(y_n)_n$  of  $(Tx_n)_n$  such that  $S_0x_n = y_n + Hx_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{R}(T)$ , it follows that  $\phi_{p_\tau}(\{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}) = 0$ . Since compact sets in  $(X, \tau)$  are angelic, there exists a subsequence  $(y_{\phi(n)})_n$  such that  $(S_0 - H)x_{\phi(n)} \xrightarrow{\tau} y$ . The  $\tau$ -closedness of  $S_0 - H$  shows that  $x \in \mathcal{D}(T)$  and  $S_0x \in Tx + Hx$ . Then,  $x \in \mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H)$  and consequently,  $\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H)$  is  $\tau$ -sequentially closed.

**Theorem 3.** Let X be a Hausdorff locally convex topological vector space such that compact sets in  $(X, \tau)$  are angelic and let T be a  $\tau$ -compact operator with  $\tau$ sequentially closed graph. Assume that  $S_0 - H$  is  $\tau$ -closed and H is a  $S_0$ - $\tau$ -demicompact mapping. Then the set  $\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H)$  is relatively  $\tau$ -compact.

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)_n \subset \mathcal{D}(T)$  be a sequence of  $\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H)$ . Then,  $S_0x_n - Hx_n \in Tx_n \subset \mathcal{R}(T)$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}(T)$  is  $\tau$ -compact, there exists a subsequence  $x_{\varphi(n)} \subset \mathcal{D}(T)$  such that  $S_0x_n - Hx_n \xrightarrow{\tau} y$ ,  $y \in X$ . Using the  $S_0$ - $\tau$ -demicompactness of H, we deduce the existence of a subsequence  $(x_{\varphi \circ \psi(n)})_n$  of  $(x_{\varphi(n)})_n$  such that  $x_{\varphi \circ \psi(n)}$   $\tau$ -converges to some  $x \in X$ . From the  $\tau$ -closedness of  $S_0 - H$ , we obtain  $x \in \mathcal{D}(T)$  and  $y = S_0x - Hx$ . Now, since Gr(T) is  $\tau$ -sequentially closed, we deduce that  $x \in \mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H)$  and so the result follows from the angelicity of compact sets in  $(X, \tau)$ .

Now, we give a sufficient condition to an nonlinear operator to be  $\tau$ -relative demicompact with respect to a given nonlinear operator.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $T : \mathcal{D}(T) \subset X \longrightarrow X$ ,  $S_0 : \mathcal{D}(S_0) \subset X \longrightarrow X$  be two single-valued operators with  $\mathcal{D}(T) \subset \mathcal{D}(S_0)$  such that  $S_0 - T$  have a  $\tau$ -sequentially closed graph. Assume that for every  $\tau$ -closed convex, bounded set D, the multi-valued map

$$F_D \colon X \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(X)$$
$$y \longrightarrow D \cap (S_0 - T)^{-1}y,$$

is compact-valued and  $\tau$ -upper-semicontinuous. Then, the operator T is  $\tau$ -S<sub>0</sub>-demicompact.

*Proof.* Let  $y_n := (S_0 - T)x_n$  be a  $\tau$ -converging sequence and assume that  $(x_n)_n$  is included in the  $\tau$ -closed, and bounded set D. The  $\tau$ -upper-semicontinuity of  $y \mapsto D \cap (S_0 - T)^{-1}y$  implies that  $(x_n)_n \tau$ -converges to the set  $D \cap (S_0 - T)^{-1}y_0$  where  $y_0 = \lim y_n$ , with respect to the topology  $\tau$ . The  $\tau$ -compactness of  $D \cap (S_0 - T)^{-1}y_0$ implies that there exists a subsequence of  $(x_n)_n$  that converges  $\tau$ -to an element  $x \in D \cap (S_0 - T)^{-1}y_0$ . Therefore, T is  $\tau$ -  $S_0$ -demicompact.

*Remark* 7. The above results extended the result in [14] in Hausdorff locally convex space endowed with topology  $\tau$ .

### 3. FIXED POINT THEOREMS

Let us give the following two important lemmas needed in our considerations.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an angelic space, and let M be a  $\tau$ -compact subset of X. Then, any sequentially  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuous multivalued mapping  $A : M \to \mathcal{P}(X)$  is  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuous.

*Proof.* Let *C* be a  $\tau$ -closed subset of *X*. We have that *A* is sequentially  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuous, then  $A^{-1}(C)$  is  $\tau$ -sequentially closed in *X*. Since *C* is  $\tau$ -compact and  $\overline{A^{-1}(C)^{\tau}} \subset C$ , so  $\overline{A^{-1}(C)^{\tau}}$  is  $\tau$ -compact.

Now, we show that  $\overline{A^{-1}(C)^{\tau}}$  is  $\tau$ -closed. Let  $x \in \overline{A^{-1}(C)^{\tau}}$ , by the angelicity of X, there exists a sequence  $(x_n)_n \in A^{-1}(C)$  such that  $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} x$ . Also, since  $A^{-1}(C)$  is  $\tau$ -sequentially closed, we have  $x \in A^{-1}(C)$ . Hence,  $\overline{A^{-1}(C)^{\tau}} = A^{-1}(C)$ . Then,  $A^{-1}(C)$  is  $\tau$ -closed and the multivalued operator A is  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuous.

**Lemma 4.** Assume that  $(X, \tau)$  is angelic space. Let K and M be two  $\tau$ -compact subsets of X. Then, every  $\tau$ -sequentially closed multivalued mapping  $A : K \to \mathcal{P}(M)$  is  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuous.

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 3 it is sufficient to prove that  $A : K \to \mathcal{P}(M)$  is sequentially  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuous. We have that  $G_r(A) \subset K \times M$ . Thus,  $\overline{G_r(A)^{\tau}} \subset K \times M$ . By hypothesis, we obtain that  $G_r(A)$  is  $\tau$ -compact. Using the angelicity of the space X, we get  $G_r(A)$  is sequentially  $\tau$ -compact. Consequentially,  $G_r(A)$  is sequentially  $\tau$ -closed.

Let  $C \subset K$  be a  $\tau$ -closed set. Now, we claim that  $A^{-1}(C)$  is sequentially  $\tau$ -closed. To prove that, let  $(x_n)_n \in A^{-1}(C)$  with  $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} x$ . Since  $A(x_n) \cap C \neq \emptyset$ , there exists  $(y_n)_n \in A(x_n) \cap C$  and  $y_n \in A(x_n) \subset M$ . Since M is  $\tau$ -compact, we may assume without loss of generality that  $y_n \xrightarrow{\tau} y$ ,  $y \in C$ . Then, for every n, we have  $(x_n, y_n)_n \in G_r(A)$  and

$$(x_n, y_n) \xrightarrow{\iota} (x, y),$$

since  $G_r(A)$  is sequentially  $\tau$ -closed subset of  $X \times X$ , so  $(x, y) \in G_r(A)$ . Thus,  $y \in A(x) \cap C$ .  $x \in A^{-1}(C)$  and so  $y \in A^{-1}(C)$ . This prove that  $A^{-1}(C)$  is sequentially  $\tau$ -closed.

Now, we are ready to stat our first result.

**Theorem 5.** Let X be a Hausdorff locally convex space. Assume that  $(X, \tau)$  is angelic space. Let M be a  $\tau$ -compact convex subset of X. Suppose that the multivalued operator  $A : M \to \mathcal{P}_{cv,\tau-cl}(M)$  is sequentially  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuous. Then, A has a fixed point in M.

*Proof.* From Lemma 3 it follows that A is  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuous and Fan-Glicksberg fixed point theorem [9] guarantees that A has a fixed point in M.

*Remark* 8. Not that Theorem 5 generalize Arino-Gautier-Penot fixed point theorem [3, see Remark 1] and Theorem 2.1 [1].

The following result is a version of Himmelberg fixed point theorem [12] for sequentially  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuous multivalued operator in Hausdorff locally convex space.

**Theorem 6.** Let X be a Hausdorff locally convex space. Assume that  $(X, \tau)$  is angelic space with  $\tau$ -KS property. Let M be a nonempty  $\tau$ -closed convex subset of X. Assume that  $A : M \to \mathcal{P}_{cv,\tau-cl}(M)$  is sequentially  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuous multivalued operator. If A(M) is relatively  $\tau$ -compact, then A has a fixed point in M.

*Proof.* Since A(M) is relatively  $\tau$ -compact and by the  $\tau$ -KS property of X, we infer that the subset  $K := \overline{conv^{\tau}}(A(M))$  is also  $\tau$ -compact and  $A(K) \subset K$ . Using Theorem 5, we deduce that A has a fixed point in  $K \subset M$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let X be a Hausdorff locally convex space. Assume that  $(X,\tau)$  is angelic space with  $\tau$ -KS property. Let M be a  $\tau$ -closed, convex subset of X. Let  $A: M \to \mathcal{P}_{cv,\tau-cl}(M)$  be a multivalued operator with a  $\tau$ -sequentially closed graph and A(M) is relatively  $\tau$ -compact. Then, A has a fixed point in M.

*Proof.* Let  $K := \overline{conv^{\tau}}(A(M))$  and by hypothesis is a  $\tau$ -compact subset of M and  $A(K) \subset K$ . Then, by Lemma 4 A is sequentially  $\tau$ -upper semicontinuous. By Theorem 5 the multivalued operator A has a fixed point in  $K \subset M$ .

**Corollary 2.**  $(X, \tau)$  is angelic with  $\tau$ -KS property. Let M be a  $\tau$ -closed, bounded and convex subset of X. Assume that  $A : M \to \mathcal{P}_{cv,\tau-cl}(M)$  has a  $\tau$ -sequentially closed graph and  $\Phi^{\tau}_{\Lambda}$ -contraction ( or  $\Phi^{\tau}_{\Lambda}$ -condensing ). Then, A has a fixed point.

Now, we will study the existence of solution of Eq. (2.1) under some conditions for the operators T,  $S_0$ , and H.

**Theorem 7.** Let X be a Hausdorff locally convex space. Assume that  $(X, \tau)$  is angelic and M is a  $\tau$ -compact convex subset of X. Assume that  $T : M \to \mathcal{P}_{cv}(X)$  is a multivalued operator, and  $S_0$ , H are two operators on X, such that  $M \subset D(S_0) \cap$ D(H). Suppose that:

- (i) T have a  $\tau$ -sequentially closed graph,
- (*ii*)  $S_0$  is invertible,
- (iii)  $S_0$  and H are  $\tau$ -sequentially continuous, and

(*iv*) (*iv*)  $(T+H)(M) \subset S_0(M)$ .

Then,  $\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H)$  is nonempty  $\tau$ -sequentially closed subset of X.

*Proof.* In view of assumptions (*ii*) and (*iv*) the multivalued operator  $\Psi : M \to \mathcal{P}_{cv}(M)$  defined by  $\Psi(x) = S_0^{-1}(T+H)(x), x \in M$  is well defined. Now, we show that

 $\Psi$  has a  $\tau$ -sequentially closed graph. Let  $(x_n)_n$  be a sequence in M with  $x_n \xrightarrow{\tau} x$ , and let  $y_n \in \Psi(x_n)$  with  $y_n \xrightarrow{\tau} y$ . Since M is  $\tau$ -compact then  $x \in M$ , and  $y_n = S_0^{-1}(T+H)x_n$  which imply that  $S_0y_n = Tx_n + Hx_n$ , and by assumption (*iii*) we get

$$Tx_n \xrightarrow{\tau} S_0 y - Hx.$$

Since *T* is  $\tau$ -sequentially closed graph, we get  $S_0y - Hx \in Tx$  and so  $y \in \psi(x)$ . This prove that  $\psi$  has  $\tau$ -sequentially closed graph. Hence, by Lemma 4 and Theorem 5 the multivalued operator  $\psi : M \to \mathcal{P}_{cv,\tau-cl}(M)$  has a fixed point in *M* i.e, there exists  $x \in M$  such that  $S_0x \in Tx + Hx$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H)$  is nonempty and by Theorem 1 it is  $\tau$ -sequentially closed. This achieve the proof.

**Theorem 8.** Let X be a Hausdorff locally convex space. Assume that  $(X, \tau)$  is angelic space with  $\tau$ -KS property. Let M is  $\tau$ -closed convex subset of X. Assume that  $T: M \to \mathcal{P}_{cv}(M)$  is a multivalued operator on X and  $S_0$ , H are two singled valued operators on X, such that  $M \subset \mathcal{D}(S_0) \cap \mathcal{D}(H)$ . Suppose that the following assumptions verified

- (i) T have a  $\tau$ -sequentially closed graph and  $\tau$ -compact,
- (*ii*)  $S_0$  is invertible,
- (iii)  $S_0$  and H are  $\tau$ -sequentially continuous, and

(iv)  $(T+H)(C) \subset S_0(C)$  for each  $\tau$ -compact subset C of M.

Then,  $\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H)$  is nonempty sequentially  $\tau$ -closed subset of X.

*Proof.* Let  $K := \overline{\text{conv.}}^{\tau}(T(M))$ . The  $\tau$ -KS property guarantees that K is  $\tau$ -compact. Using assumptions (*ii*) and (*iv*), we show that the multivalued operator  $\Psi : K \to \mathcal{P}_{cv}(K)$  by  $\Psi(x) = S_0^{-1}(T+H)(x), x \in K$  is well defined, and as we shown in the proof of Theorem 7,  $\Psi$  has a  $\tau$ -sequentially closed graph. By Corollary 1, the operator  $\Psi$  has a fixed point in K, so there exists  $x \in K$  such that  $x = S_0^{-1}(T+H)x$ . Then,  $\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, H)$  is nonempty and by Theorem 2 it is  $\tau$ -sequentially closed subset of X.

**Theorem 9.** Let X be a Hausdorff locally convex space. Assume that  $(X, \tau)$  is angelic with  $\tau$ -KS property. Consider  $\Phi_{\Lambda}^{\tau} = \{\phi_{p_{\tau}}, p \in \Lambda\}$  is a regular and subadditive  $\Phi_{\Lambda}^{\tau}$ -MNC. Let M be a nonempty,  $\tau$ -closed, bounded and convex subset of X, and let T and H be two single valued operators such that  $M \subset D(T) \subset D(H)$ . If T is  $\Phi_{\Lambda}^{\tau}$ condensing and H is  $\tau$ -compact, then the following assertions hold

- (i) For every bounded subset D of X,  $D \cap \mathcal{F}(I,T,H)$  is relatively  $\tau$ -compact.
- (ii) If the operators T and H are  $\tau$ -closed, then  $\mathcal{F}(I,T,H)$  is nonempty subset of X.

Proof.

(i) Let *D* be a bounded subset of *X*. Since  $x \in \mathcal{F}(I,T,H)$  means that x = Tx + Hx, it follows that

$$\mathcal{F}(I,T,H) \cap D \subset T(\mathcal{F}(I,T,H) \cap D) + H(\mathcal{F}(I,T,H) \cap D).$$

From the  $\tau$ -compactness of *H* and properties of  $\Phi^{\tau}_{\Lambda}$ -MNC, we deduce that

$$\phi_{p_{\tau}}(\mathcal{F}(I,T,H)\cap D) \leq \phi_{p_{\tau}}(T(\mathcal{F}(I,T,H)\cap D)).$$

If  $\phi_{p_{\tau}}(\mathcal{F}(I,T,H) \cap D) > 0$ , then since *T* is  $\Phi_{\Lambda}^{\tau}$ -condensing, we have

$$\phi_{p_{\tau}}(\mathcal{F}(I,T,H)\cap D) \leq \phi_{p_{\tau}}(T(\mathcal{F}(I,T,H)\cap D)) < \phi_{p_{\tau}}(\mathcal{F}(I,T,H)\cap D),$$

which is a contradiction. Thus  $\phi_{p_{\tau}}(\mathcal{F}(I,T,H) \cap D) = 0$  so  $\mathcal{F}(I,T,H) \cap D$  is relatively  $\tau$ -compact.

(ii) Clearly, the operator T + H has a  $\tau$ -sequentially closed graph. Let B be a bounded subset of M. Then, we have

$$\phi_{p_{\tau}}(T+H)(B) \leq \phi_{p_{\tau}}(T(B)) + \phi_{p_{\tau}}(H(B)),$$

Since *H* is  $\tau$ -compact, we get

$$\phi_{p_{\tau}}(T+H)(B) < \phi_{p_{\tau}}(H(B)),$$

Hence, if  $\phi_{p_{\tau}}(B) > 0$ , we obtain

$$\phi_{p_{\tau}}(T+H)(B) < \phi_{p_{\tau}}(B),$$

this show that T + H is  $\Phi_{\Lambda}^{\tau}$ -condensing. Using Corollary 1, there exists  $x \in M$  such that x = Tx + Hx. So,  $\mathcal{F}(I, T, H)$  is nonempty.

**Theorem 10.** Assume that  $(X, \tau)$  is angelic with  $\tau$ -KS property. Let M be a nonempty  $\tau$ -closed, convex of X, and let  $T : \mathcal{D}(T) \subset X \longrightarrow X$ ,  $S_0 : \mathcal{D}(S_0) \subset X \longrightarrow X$  be two single-valued  $\tau$ -closed operators with  $M \subset \mathcal{D}(T) \subset \mathcal{D}(S_0)$  such that  $S_0 - T$  is  $\tau$ -closed. If T is  $\tau$ -S<sub>0</sub>-demicompact, then for every  $\tau$ -closed, convex bounded set D of M, the multi-valued map

$$F_D: M \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(M),$$
  
$$y \longrightarrow D \cap (S_0 - T)^{-1} y$$

has a fixed point on M.

*Proof.* Suppose T is  $\tau$ - $S_0$ -demicompact. First we show  $F_D$  is  $\tau$ -upper-semicontinuous. Let  $(y_n)_n$  be a sequence with  $y_n \xrightarrow{\tau} y$  and Q a  $\tau$ -open set such that  $F_D(y) \subset Q$ . From Lemma 1 it suffices to show the existence of  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $F_D(y_n) \subset Q$ ,  $\forall n \ge n_0$ . If not, then there exists a subsequence  $(x_{\varphi(n)})_n$  of  $(x_n)_n$  (here  $x_n \in D \cap (S_0 - T)^{-1}y_n$ ) such that  $x_{\varphi(n)} \in D \cap (S_0 - T)^{-1}y_n$  and  $x_{\varphi(n)} \notin Q$ . Note  $S_0x_{\varphi(n)} - Tx_{\varphi(n)}$  $\tau$ -converges to y. Since T is  $\tau$ - $S_0$ -demicompact we infer that there exists a subsequence  $(x_{\varphi \circ \psi(n)})_n$  of  $(x_{\varphi(n)})_n$  such that  $(x_{\varphi \circ \psi(n)})_n \xrightarrow{\tau} x$ ,  $x \in X$ . Since D is a  $\tau$ -closed and convex subset of X we deduce that  $x \in D$ . Moreover, taking into account that  $(S_0 - T)x_{\varphi \circ \psi(n)} \xrightarrow{\tau} y$  and  $S_0 - T$  is a  $\tau$ -closed mappings, we deduce that  $y = (S_0 - T)x$ . Consequently,  $x \in D \cap (S_0 - T)^{-1}y = F_D(y)$ . Therefore,  $x_{\varphi \circ \psi(n)} \in Q$  for *n* large enough, a contradiction to the construction of  $(x_{\varphi(n)})_n$ . Thus  $F_D$  is  $\tau$ -upper-semicontinuous. Next fix  $y \in X$ . Since *T* is  $\tau$ -*S*<sub>0</sub>-demicompact then if  $(x_n)_n$  is a sequence in  $D \cap (S_0 - T)^{-1}y$ , then it has a  $\tau$ -converging subsequence, and so the  $\tau$ -closedness of  $S_0 - T$  implies that the limit is also in  $D \cap (S_0 - T)^{-1}y$ . Thus,  $D \cap (S_0 - T)^{-1}y$  is  $\tau$ -compact. By Himmelberg fixed point theorem [12], there exist  $x \in M$  such that  $x \in F_D(x)$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}(S_0, T, I)$  is nonempty subset.  $\Box$ 

#### REFERENCES

- R. P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan, and X. Liu, "A leray-schauder alternative for weakly-strongly sequentially continuous weakly compact maps," *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2005, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 2005, doi: 10.1155/FPTA.2005.1.
- W. Y. Akashi, "On the perturbation theory for fredholm operators," *Osaka Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 603–612, 1984.
- [3] O. Arino, S. Gautier, and J. Penot, "A fixed point theorem for sequentially continuous mappings with application to ordinary differential equations," *Funkcial. Ekvac*, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 273–279, 1984.
- [4] F. Bahidi, B. Krichen, and B. Mefteh, "Fixed point results in locally convex spaces with τ-Krein-Šmulian property and applications," *Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 495–510, 2021, doi: 10.24193/fpt-ro.2021.2.33.
- [5] J. Banaś and A. Ben Amar, "Measures of noncompactness in locally convex spaces and fixed point theory for the sum of two operators on unbounded convex sets," *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin*, vol. 54, no. 1, pp. 21–40, 2013.
- [6] W. Chaker, A. Jeribi, and B. Krichen, "Demicompact linear operators, essential spectrum and some perturbation results," *Mathematische Nachrichten*, vol. 288, no. 13, pp. 1476–1486, 2015, doi: 10.1002/mana.201200007.
- [7] F. S. De Blasi, "On a property of the unit sphere in a Banach space," Bulletin mathématique de la Société des Sciences Mathématiques de la République Socialiste de Roumanie, pp. 259–262, 1977.
- [8] K. Floret, Weakly compact sets: lectures held at SUNY, Buffalo, in spring 1978. Springer, 2006, vol. 801.
- [9] I. L. Glicksberg, "A further generalization of the kakutani fixed point theorem, with application to nash equilibrium points," *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 170–174, 1952, doi: 10.2307/2032478.
- [10] L. Górniewicz, Topological fixed point theory of multivalued mappings. Springer, 2006, vol. 4, doi: 10.1007/1-4020-4666-9.
- [11] L. Guozhen, "A new fixed point theorem on demi-compact 1-set-contraction mappings," Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 97, no. 2, pp. 277–280, 1986, doi: 10.2307/2046513.
- [12] C. Himmelberg, "Fixed points of compact multifunctions," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 205–207, 1972, doi: 10.1016/0022-247X(72)90128-X.
- [13] B. Krichen, "Relative essential spectra involving relative demicompact unbounded linear operators," *Acta Mathematica Scientia*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 546–556, 2014, doi: 10.1016/S0252-9602(14)60027-8.
- [14] B. Krichen and D. O'Regan, "On the class of relatively weakly demicompact nonlinear operators," *Fixed Point Theory*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 625–630, 2018.
- [15] R. E. Megginson, An introduction to Banach space theory. Springer Science and Business Media, 2012, vol. 183.

#### BILEL KRICHEN AND FATIMA BAHIDI

- [16] W. Petryshyn, "Construction of fixed points of demicompact mappings in hilbert space," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 276–284, 1966, doi: 10.1016/0022-247X(66)90027-8.
- [17] W. Petryshyn, "Structure of the fixed points sets of k-set-contractions," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 40, no. 4, pp. 312–328, 1971, doi: 10.1007/BF00252680.
- [18] W. Petryshyn, "Remarks on condensing and k-set-contractive mappings," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, vol. 39, no. 3, pp. 717–741, 1972, doi: 10.1016/0022-247X(72)90194-1.

Authors' addresses

#### **Bilel Krichen**

(**Corresponding author**) University of Sfax. Faculty of Sciences of Sfax, Department of Mathematics, Soukra Road km 3.5, BP 1171, 3000 Sfax, Tunisia

*E-mail address:* bilel.krichen@fss.usf.tn

#### Fatima Bahidi

University of Sfax. Faculty of Sciences of Sfax, Department of Mathematics, Soukra Road km 3.5, BP 1171, 3000 Sfax, Tunisia

E-mail address: bahidi.fatima@yahoo.com