

A GENERALIZATION OF NIL-CLEAN RINGS

ALEKSANDRA KOSTIĆ, ZORAN Z. PETROVIĆ, ZORAN S. PUCANOVIĆ, AND MAJA ROSLAVCEV

Received 06 April, 2018

Abstract. The conditions that allow an element of an associative, unital, not necessarily commutative ring R, to be represented as a sum of (commuting) idempotents and one nilpotent element are analyzed. Some applications to group rings are also presented.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 16U99; 16S34 Keywords: idempotents, nilpotent element, group ring

1. Introduction

An element a in an associative unital ring R is called clean if it can be represented as a sum a = e + u, where e is an idempotent element and u is a unit. This notion was introduced by Nicholson in [8]. If one can find such elements e and u such that a = e + u and eu = ue, the element a is called strongly clean. The ring R itself is called (strongly) clean if every element in R is (strongly) clean.

Many families of clean rings were investigated in previous decades. In recent years, a particular attention has been paid to the nil-clean rings and its relatives. A nil-clean ring (see [5]) is a ring in which every element is nil-clean, which means that every element can be written as a sum of an idempotent element and a nilpotent one. Analogously, we have a notion of strongly nil-clean elements (and rings). For some of the results concerning this class of rings and some of the related classes of rings, the reader may wish to consult also [1, 3, 7, 10].

A class of strongly 2-nil-clean rings was introduced in [4]. Namely, an element a in a ring R is called strongly 2-nil-clean if it can be represented in the form a =e + f + n, where e and f are idempotents, n is a nilpotent element and they all commute with each other.

In this paper we analyze elements of a ring which can be written as a sum of finitely many idempotents and one nilpotent element which are pairwise commutative. If the number of idempotents which appear in this sum is s, we call these elements strongly

First, second and fourth author are partially supported by Ministry of Education, Science and Environmental Protection of Republic of Serbia Project #174032.

s-nil-clean. It turns out that if every element in a ring is strongly s-nil-clean for some s, this ring has finite characteristic and every element in this ring is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean, where p is the largest prime dividing the characteristic of this ring (see Theorem 1). These rings are naturally called strongly (p-1)-nil-clean rings and they are all strongly clean (see Corollary 3 and the discussion preceding it). There are many examples of strongly (p-1)-nil-clean rings. Theorem 2 shows that in every commutative ring R of finite characteristic k, elements which are strongly s-nil-clean for some s, form a subring which is (p-1)-nil clean (where p is the largest prime dividing k) and if this ring contains idempotents or nilpotents not belonging to \mathbb{Z}_k (which is necessarily contained in R) we have a non-trivial example of such a ring. Proposition 5 provides examples of finite commutative local rings which are (p-1)-nil-clean.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we analyze sums of idempotents and one nilpotent element and derive our main criteria for strongly (p-1)-nil-clean elements in a ring. Section 3 deals with some structure theorems. It is shown that in analyzing strongly (p-1)-nil-clean rings, we may reduce this analysis to the case when p is a nilpotent element in a ring under investigation. We also show in this section that strongly (p-1)-nil-clean rings are strongly π -regular and, consequently, strongly clean.

Section 4 deals with the investigation of group rings RG where R is a (p-1)-nil-clean commutative ring and G is a group. For example, we show that, for the ring RG to be strongly (p-1)-nil-clean, when the characteristic of R is of the form p^s , for a prime integer p, it is necessary that the order of any element of a group G is of the form dp^k , for some $d \mid (p-1)$ and $k \geq 0$ (see Lemma 3). In the case of a commutative group G this condition is also sufficient (see Theorem 4).

We emphasize that we work in associative, unital rings which need not be commutative. When a ring is commutative, we drop the adjective "strongly" since it is unnecessary. We use the same symbol k to denote the integer k and to denote the ring element $k1_R$. It will always be clear what we mean. Finally, we denote the field with p elements by \mathbb{Z}_p , the Jacobson radical by J(R) and the set of nilpotent elements by N(R).

2. Basic results

A ring in which every element is a sum of certain number of idempotents and one nilpotent element, that commute with each other, is a generalization of strongly nilclean rings and strongly 2-nil-clean rings. In view of this, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 1. An element a of a ring R is s-nil-clean if it can be written in the following form:

$$a = e_1 + \dots + e_s + n, \tag{2.1}$$

where elements e_1, \ldots, e_s are idempotents and n is nilpotent. If an element a can be written in the form (2.1) so that elements in this sum are pairwise commutative, we say that this element is strongly s-nil-clean. If every element in R is (strongly) s-nil clean, we say that R is a (strongly) s-nil-clean ring.

Of course, if a is (strongly) s-nil-clean and s < t, a is also t-nil-clean — we simply add t - s zeroes to the presentation of a as a (strongly) s-nil-clean element.

Remark 1. It is clear that, if $f: R \to S$ is a ring homomorphism and an element $a \in R$ is (strongly) s-nil-clean, then $f(a) \in S$ is (strongly) s-nil-clean. Similarly, an element $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_l) \in R_1 \times \cdots \times R_l$ is (strongly) s-nil-clean iff a_i is (strongly) s-nil-clean for all i. So, homomorphic images and finite direct products of (strongly) s-nil-clean rings are itself (strongly) s-nil-clean. Also, a subring of a strongly s-nil-clean ring has the same property, as we shall see later. However, this does not hold for s-nil-clean rings. Namely, if we have a ring which is s-nil-clean, but it is not strongly s-nil-clean, it is enough to take an element a which is not strongly s-nil-clean and look at the subring generated by this element. This subring is commutative, so it cannot be s-nil-clean – if it were, this element would also be strongly s-nil-clean.

We begin our analysis with a useful result concerning sum of several idempotents and one nilpotent element.

Proposition 1. Let R be a ring and suppose that element $a \in R$ is strongly s-nil clean. Then $a(a-1)\cdots(a-s)$ is nilpotent.

Proof. Let $a = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_s + n$, where e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s are idempotents and n is nilpotent that commute with each other. Observe that

$$1 = ((1 - e_1) + e_1)((1 - e_2) + e_2) \cdots ((1 - e_s) + e_s).$$

After multiplication, we get a sum of products of the form

$$e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_k}(1-e_{j_1})\cdots (1-e_{j_{s-k}}),$$

where $1 \le k \le s$, $i_1 < \dots < i_k$, $j_1 < \dots < j_{s-k}$ and $\{i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1, \dots, j_{s-k}\} = \{1, \dots, s\}$. Next, we get that

$$\begin{split} &(k-a)e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_k}(1-e_{j_1})\cdots (1-e_{j_{s-k}})\\ &=((1-e_{i_1})+\cdots +(1-e_{i_k})-e_{j_1}-\cdots -e_{j_{s-k}}-n)e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_k}(1-e_{j_1})\cdots (1-e_{j_{s-k}})\\ &=-ne_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_k}(1-e_{j_1})\cdots (1-e_{j_{s-k}}). \end{split}$$

This follows from the fact that $(1-e)e = e - e^2 = 0$, for an idempotent e. Since e is nilpotent, so is this product. Thus, when 1 is multiplied by $a(a-1)\cdots(a-s)$, we get a sum of nilpotent elements that commute with each other. Therefore, $a(a-1)\cdots(a-s)$ is nilpotent.

The following corollary is simple, but important.

Corollary 1. a) If a ring R is such that -1 is strongly s-nil-clean for some $s \ge 1$, then this ring has finite characteristic.

b) If char(R) = k, then -1 is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean, where p is the largest prime dividing k.

Proof. a) From the previous proposition we conclude that $(-1)(-2)\cdots(-(s+1)) = (-1)^{s+1}(s+1)!$ is nilpotent, so $((s+1)!)^m = 0$ for some $m \ge 1$ and the characteristic of the ring R is not 0.

b) It is enough to show that -1 is (p-1)-nil-clean in the ring \mathbb{Z}_k , which is contained in R. If $k = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_l^{\alpha_l}$ is the prime factorization of k, where $p_1 < \cdots < p_l = p$, then $\mathbb{Z}_k \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p_1^{\alpha_1}} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_l^{\alpha_l}}$. Since $-1 \mapsto (-1, \ldots, -1)$ under this isomorphism, this reduces the proof to show that -1 is (p-1)-nil-clean in $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$. This is clear since $-1 = p_i^{\alpha_i} - 1 = \underbrace{1 + \cdots + 1}_{p_i = 1} + p_i (p_i^{\alpha_i - 1} - 1)$, and $p_i (p_i^{\alpha_i - 1} - 1)$ is nilpotent in

 $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$.

Example 1. The ring \mathbb{Z}_k is (strongly) (p-1)-nil-clean, where p is the largest prime integer dividing k. Namely, using the notation from the previous corollary, this reduces to show that $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$ is (p-1)-nil-clean. Since any element in $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$ can be written in the form $\underbrace{1+\dots+1}_s+p_it$, for some $s\in\{0,\dots,p_i-1\}$ and $t\in\{0,\dots,p_i^{\alpha_i-1}-1\}$, and since p_i is nilpotent in $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i^{\alpha_i}}$, we are done.

Lemma 1. If $k = \operatorname{char}(R) = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_l^{\alpha_l}$ is the prime factorization of the characteristic of the ring R, then $R \cong R_1 \times \cdots \times R_l$, where $R_i = R/p_i^{\alpha_i} R$. In particular, $\operatorname{char}(R_i) = p_i^{\alpha_i}$.

Proof. This follows easily from the Chinese remainder theorem taking into account the fact that elements $p_i^{\alpha_i}$ are central.

Since the products of the form $a(a-1)\cdots(a-s)$ are important for our investigation, we introduce the symbol $(a)_k := a(a-1)\cdots(a-(k-1))$ (falling factorial, as is known in combinatorics), where k is a positive integer.

We have the following corollary.

Corollary 2. Let R be a ring. Suppose that element $a \in R$ is strongly s-nil clean and k(< s) is nilpotent. Then $(a)_k$ is a nilpotent element.

Proof. Clearly

$$(a)_s = a(a-1)\cdots(a-(s-1)) = a^{t_0}(a-1)^{t_1}\cdots(a-(k-1))^{t_{k-1}} + kq(a),$$

for some non-negative integers t_i , such that $\sum_{i=0}^{k-1} t_i = s$ and polynomial $q(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Taking into account that k is nilpotent, the result follows.

In order to see what the fact that $(a)_s$ is nilpotent implies, we start with a simple lemma

Lemma 2. Let p be a prime integer and $r, m \ge 1$ arbitrary positive integers. Then the element x in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}[X]/\langle X^m(X-1)^m\cdots(X-(p-1))^m\rangle$ is (strongly) (p-1)-nil-clean, where x is the class of X in this quotient ring.

Proof. Ideals $\langle X - i \rangle$ and $\langle X - j \rangle$ are coprime in $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}[X]$, for all $0 \le i < j < p$, since (X - i) - (X - j) = j - i and j - i is invertible in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}[X]$. So, $\langle (X - i)^m \rangle$, $\langle (X - j)^m \rangle$ are coprime as well. By applying the Chinese remainder theorem we obtain the isomorphism

 $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}[X]/\langle X^m \cdots (X-(p-1))^m \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}[X]/\langle X^m \rangle \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}[X]/\langle (X-(p-1))^m \rangle$, such that $x \mapsto (x, \dots, x)$. Thus, it is enough to show that x has the desired presentation in all factors and since $x = \underbrace{1 + \cdots + 1}_{i} + (x-i)$ in the factor $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}[X]/\langle (X-i)^m \rangle$,

this is true. \Box

Proposition 2. Let R be a ring. Suppose that the element p is nilpotent, where p is a prime integer, and let $a \in R$ be such that $(a)_p$ is nilpotent. Then a is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean.

Proof. Consider the homomorphism $f: \mathbb{Z}[X] \to R$, given by f(X) = a. An immediate consequence of the fact that $(a(a-1)\cdots(a-(p-1)))^m = 0$ and that $p^r = 0$ in R, for some $m, r \ge 1$, is the existence of an induced homomorphism

$$\bar{f}: \mathbb{Z}_{p^r}[X]/\langle X^m(X-1)^m \cdots (X-(p-1))^m \rangle \to R$$
, such that $x \mapsto a$.

Since x is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean, so is its image a.

Proposition 3. Let R be a ring of characteristic k(>0). If p is the largest prime dividing k and $a \in R$ is such that $(a)_s$ is nilpotent for some $s \ge 1$, then a is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean.

Proof. Under isomorphism $R \cong R_1 \times \cdots \times R_l$, where $R_i = R/p_i^{\alpha_i} R$ and $k = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_l^{\alpha_l}$, implied by Lemma 1, the element a goes to (a_1, \ldots, a_l) . Also, a is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean iff a_i is such for all i. However, from the fact that $(a)_s$ is nilpotent, it follows that $(a_i)_s \in R_i$ is nilpotent for all i. From this, it easily follows that $(a_i)_{p_i}$ is also nilpotent for all i. Namely, if $s < p_i$, this follows since $(a_i)_{p_i} = (a_i)_s (a_i - s)_{p_i - s}$ and if $s > p_i$, it follows from Corollary 2. Since p_i is nilpotent in R_i , Proposition 2 gives that $a_i \in R_i$ is strongly $(p_i - 1)$ -nil-clean for all i. From the fact that $p_i \le p$ for all i, it follows that these elements a_i are all (p-1)-nil-clean and so is a.

Theorem 1. Let R be a ring such that every element $a \in R$ is strongly s-nil-clean for some s. Then R has finite characteristic and R is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean, where p is the largest prime dividing char(R).

Proof. Corollary 1 shows that R has finite characteristic and from Proposition 1 and Proposition 3 it follows that every element is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean, where p is the largest prime dividing this characteristic.

Theorem 1 shows that one needs only to investigate strongly (p-1)-nil-clean rings, where p is a prime integer. For example, the class of all strongly 3-nil-clean rings is the same as the class of strongly 2-nil-clean rings, and the class of strongly 9-nil-clean-rings is the same as the class of strongly 6-nil-clean rings. Namely, if a ring is, say, strongly 9-nil-clean, then $(a)_{10}$ is nilpotent for all $a \in R$. So, this is true for a = 10. Consequently, $10! = (10)_{10}$ is nilpotent, so char $(R) \mid (10!)^m$, for some $m \ge 1$. We conclude that the largest prime dividing char(R) is at most 7 (it may be smaller), so our ring is strongly 6-nil-clean.

Proposition 4. A subring of a strongly (p-1)-nil-clean ring is also strongly (p-1)-nil-clean.

Proof. Let S be a subring of a strongly (p-1)-nil-clean ring R and let $a \in S$. Since $a \in R$, a is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean, and according to Proposition 1 element $(a)_p$ is nilpotent. R is of finite characteristic, say k, which means that $\operatorname{char}(S) = k$, with p being the largest prime dividing k. When we apply Proposition 3, we get that a is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean in S.

Theorem 2. Let R be a commutative ring of finite characteristic k and p the largest prime dividing k. Let $S = \{a \in R : a \text{ is } s\text{-nil-clean for some } s\}$. Then S is the largest subring of R which is (p-1)-nil-clean.

Proof. Since k = 0 in R, we have: $-1 = \underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{k-1}$, so -1 is (k-1)-nil-clean

and $-1 \in S$. Also, if $a,b \in S$, then $a = e_1 + \dots + e_s + n$, $b = f_1 + \dots + f_t + n'$ and we get $ab = \sum_{i,j} e_i f_j + N$, where N is nilpotent and all $e_i f_j$ are idempotents (R is a commutative ring). So, $ab \in S$. Similarly, $a + b = e_1 + \dots + e_s + f_1 + \dots + f_t + n + n' \in S$. Finally, since a - b = a + (-1)b we conclude that $a - b \in S$ as well. So, S is a ring in which every element is s-nil-clean for some s. From Theorem 1, we conclude that S is actually (p-1)-nil-clean ring. It is clear that S is the largest such subring.

Remark 2. From the Theorem 2 it is clear that, in order to show that a commutative ring of finite characteristic k is (p-1)-nil-clean, it is enough to check only its ring generators over \mathbb{Z}_k . For example, the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p^r}[X]/\langle X^m(X-1)^m\cdots(X-(p-1))^m\rangle$, appearing in Lemma 2, is (p-1)-nil-clean, since it is generated by x and this element is (p-1)-nil-clean.

The following proposition provides us with a lot of examples of commutative (p-1)-nil-clean rings.

Proposition 5. Let R be a finite commutative local ring, M its maximal ideal and $R/M \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. Then R is (p-1)-nil-clean.

Proof. We know that every element in M is nilpotent. If $x \in R$, then x + M = s + M, for some $s \in \{0, ..., p - 1\}$. So, $x = \underbrace{1 + \cdots + 1}_{s} + m$, where m is nilpotent. \square

Remark 3. In the case of non-commutative rings, the set of all elements which are strongly s-nil-clean for some s do not necessarily form a subring. Such examples will be given in Section 3 and Section 4. However, a simple application of Zorn's lemma shows that there exist maximal subrings which are strongly (p-1)-nil-clean.

The following proposition gives another characterization of strongly (p-1)-nilclean elements.

Proposition 6. Let R be a ring of finite characteristic k, p the largest prime dividing k and $a \in R$. The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) $(a)_p$ is nilpotent.
- (2) a is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean.
- (3) a = b + n, where $b \in R$ is such that $(b)_p = 0$, n is nilpotent and bn = nb.

Proof. (1) \Longrightarrow (2). This is contained in Proposition 3.

- (2) \Longrightarrow (3). Let $a = e_1 + \cdots + e_{p-1} + n$ be a (p-1)-nil-clean decomposition of a. Take $b := e_1 + \cdots + e_{p-1}$. The proof of Proposition 1 shows that $(b)_p = 0$.
- (3) \Longrightarrow (1). Assume that a = b + n. So, we have

$$(a)_p = (b+n)((b-1)+n)\cdots((b-p+1)+n).$$

Therefore, since n and b commute, $(a)_p = (b)_p + nq(n,b) = nq(n,b)$, for some polynomial $q(X,Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X,Y]$. Since n is nilpotent, so is $(a)_p$.

3. STRUCTURE THEOREMS

The purpose of this section is to discuss the structure of (strongly) (p-1)-nil-clean rings, for prime number p.

The following proposition sums up the discussion from the previous section.

Proposition 7. Suppose that $\operatorname{char}(R) = k = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_l^{\alpha_l}$, where $p_1 < \cdots < p_l = p$. Then R is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean if and only if R_i is strongly (p_i-1) -nil-clean, where $R_i = R/p_i^{\alpha_i} R$ and $1 \le i \le l$.

This shows that in investigation of strongly (p-1)-nil-clean rings, for p prime, we can reduce our analysis to the case when p is nilpotent (equivalently, when the characteristic of the ring is a power of a prime).

Let us recall that a ring R is called strongly π -regular if for every element $a \in R$ there exists $n \ge 1$ and $x \in R$ such that $a^n = a^{n+1}x$.

Theorem 3. Every strongly (p-1)-nil-clean ring is strongly π -regular.

Proof. It is enough to consider the case when p is a nilpotent element. Then (p-1)! is invertible. Let $a \in R$. Since $(a)_p$ is nilpotent, we have that $((a)_p)^s = 0$ for some s. But

$$0 = ((a)_p)^s = (a(a-1)\cdots(a-(p-1)))^s = a^s((p-1)!)^s + a^{s+1}y,$$

for some $y \in R$. Since (p-1)! is invertible, we get that $a^s = a^{s+1}x$, for some $x \in R$ and we are done.

It is well known that strongly π -regular ring is strongly clean (see [2, Proposition 2.6], [9, Theorem 1], [5, Corollary 2.4]). Also, Jacobson radical of a strongly π -regular ring is nil and commutative strongly π -regular rings have Krull dimension 0 (see [2]). So, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3. Every strongly (p-1)-nil-clean ring is strongly clean.

The following proposition is rather useful.

Proposition 8. Let R be a ring, $a \in R$ and let p be a nilpotent element, where p is prime. Then $a^p - a$ is nilpotent if and only if $(a)_p$ is nilpotent.

Proof. It is well-known that $X^p - X = (X)_p$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p[X]$. So, $a^p - a - (a)_p = pr(a)$, for some polynomial $r(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. From this fact, the proof follows immediately.

For future reference, we formulate the following corollary which directly follows from Proposition 6 and Proposition 8.

Corollary 4. Let R be a ring. If p is nilpotent, then R is a strongly (p-1)-nilclean ring if and only if $a^p - a$ is nilpotent for every $a \in R$.

Let us proceed with some of the special properties of (p-1)-nil-clean rings.

Proposition 9. Let R be a ring and let I be any nil ideal of R. Then R is (p-1)-nil-clean if and only if R/I is (p-1)-nil-clean.

Proof. (\Longrightarrow) As observed before, this is trivial since R/I is a homomorphic image of R.

(\iff) Suppose that R/I is (p-1)-nil-clean. Let $x \in R$. Then x+I is (p-1)-nil-clean. Thus, $x+I=(x_1+I)+(x_2+I)+\cdots+(x_{p-1}+I)+(y+I)$, where x_i+I are idempotents, $1 \le i \le p-1$, and y+I is nilpotent. It is well known that idempotents lift modulo nil ideals (see, e.g. [6, Theorem 21.28]) so there are idempotents e_i such that $x_i+I=e_i+I$. So, $x-e_1-e_2-\cdots-e_{p-1}-y \in I$, i.e., $x=e_1+e_2+\cdots+e_{p-1}+y+n$, for some $n \in I$. Element y+n is nilpotent. Indeed, since y+I is nilpotent, $y^k \in I$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Every element different from y^k in the sum one gets in the expansion of $(y+n)^k$, is in I and we can conclude that $(y+n)^k \in I$, so y+n is nilpotent. Therefore R is (p-1)-nil-clean.

An analogous result holds for the strongly (p-1)-nil-clean rings.

Proposition 10. Let R be a ring and let I be any nil ideal of R. Then R is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean if and only if R/I is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean.

Proof. (⇒) Again, this is trivial since R/I is a homomorphic image of R. (⇐) Let $a \in R$. Since R/I is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean, one has $((a+I)_p)^k = I$, for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, $((a)_p)^k \in I$. As I is nil ideal, $((a)_p)^k \in N(R)$. So, $(a)_p$ is nilpotent. Since $(p)_p$ is also nilpotent, the characteristic k of R is finite. The characteristic l of R/I has the property that p is the largest prime dividing this characteristic, but this also holds for k. Namely, $l \in I$ and therefore l is nilpotent in R. So we have that $k \mid l^s$ for some s, and also $l \mid k$. It follows that the sets of primes dividing k and l are the same. Now the result follows from Proposition 6.

The following corollary follows directly from the fact that J(R) is nil for a strongly (p-1)-nil-clean ring and Proposition 10.

Corollary 5. A ring R is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean if and only if J(R) is nil and R/J(R) is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean.

4. GROUP RINGS

Let us recall the notion of a group ring. Let G be a group, written multiplicatively, and let R be a commutative ring. The group ring of G over R, denoted by RG, is a free R-module with generating set G, i.e.:

$$RG = \bigoplus_{g \in G} Rg.$$

So, elements of RG are formal finite sums of the form $\sum_i r_i g_i$, with $r_i \in R$, $g_i \in G$, while the multiplication is implied by multiplication in G. The identity of this ring is $1_R e$, where 1_R is the identity in R and e is the neutral element of G. We denote the identity simply by 1.

Our main interest here is focused on strongly (p-1)-nil-clean group rings RG. It is obvious that if RG is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean, so is R. Since we assume that the coefficient ring R is commutative, we refrain from using adjective "strongly" when referring to R, we use it only for RG when appropriate. We begin by discussing rings R, such that $\operatorname{char}(R)$ is a power of a prime.

Lemma 3. Let R be a (p-1)-nil-clean ring such that $char(R) = p^s$, for a prime p and some $s \ge 1$ and let G be a group. For the list of conditions:

- (1) RG is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean;
- (2) For each $g \in G$, the element $g^{p-1} 1$ is nilpotent;
- (3) For each $g \in G$, there exists $k \ge 0$ and $d \mid (p-1)$ such that $\omega(g) = dp^k$, the following holds: (1) \Longrightarrow (2) and (2) \Longleftrightarrow (3). Here, $\omega(g)$ denotes the order of g in G.

Proof. (1) \Longrightarrow (2). Let $g \in G$. Since RG is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean, from Corollary 4 it follows that $g^p - g$ is nilpotent. Since g is invertible, we get that $g^{p-1} - 1$ is nilpotent.

(3) \Longrightarrow (2). Let $g \in G$. Then $\omega(g) = dp^k$, where $k \ge 0$ and $d \mid (p-1)$. Let p-1 = ds. Since $\omega(g^d) = p^k$ and $\gcd(s, p^k) = 1$, we have that $\omega(g^{p-1}) = \omega((g^d)^s) = p^k$. Therefore,

$$(g^{p-1}-1)^{p^k} = \underbrace{(g^{p-1})^{p^k}-1}_{0} + p \cdot u$$
, for some $u \in RG$.

Since p is nilpotent, the element $g^{p-1} - 1$ is nilpotent as well.

(2) \Longrightarrow (3). Let $g \in G$. The order of g cannot be infinite — in that case, it would not be possible for $g^{p-1}-1$ to be nilpotent. Namely, the element $g^{(p-1)s}$ in the sum $(g^{p-1}-1)^s=g^{(p-1)s}+\cdots+(-1)^s$ cannot be cancelled out.

So, let us suppose that $\omega(g) = tp^k$, for some $k \ge 0$ and t such that $p \nmid t$ and $\gcd(t, p-1) = d \ne t$. Also, let $t = dt_1$, $p-1 = dz_1$ and $h = g^{p-1}$. Since $\omega(g^d) = t_1 p^k$ and $\gcd(z_1, t_1 p^k) = 1$, it follows that

$$\omega(h) = \omega(g^{p-1}) = \omega((g^d)^{z_1}) = t_1 p^k.$$

Since h-1 is nilpotent, $h^{p^k}-1$ is nilpotent as well. Let $h_1=h^{p^k}$. Then $\omega(h_1)=t_1$. Let us focus on the polynomial $f(X)=(X-1)^{t_1}-(X^{t_1}-1)$, which is clearly divisible by X-1:

$$f(X) = (X-1)((X-1)^{t_1-1} - (X^{t_1-1} + \dots + X+1)) = (X-1)f_1.$$

This follows from the fact that $t_1 \neq 1$ (which also implies that $h_1 - 1 \neq 0$). We can see that

$$f(X) = (X-1)(-t_1 + (X-1)q(X)),$$

for some polynomial $q \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$, since $f_1(1) = -t_1$. We can conclude that

$$f(h_1) = (h_1 - 1)^{t_1} - \underbrace{(h_1^{t_1} - 1)}_{0} = (h_1 - 1)(-t_1 + (h_1 - 1)q(h_1)).$$

We know that $h_1 - 1$ is nilpotent. As $p \nmid t_1$ and p is nilpotent, element t_1 is invertible in R. So

$$(h_1-1)^{t_1}=u(h_1-1),$$

for an invertible $u \in RG$. So

$$(h_1-1)((h_1-1)^{t_1-1}-u)=0,$$

and since $(h_1 - 1)^{t_1 - 1} - u$ is invertible, we have that $h_1 - 1 = 0$. That is a contradiction

It is easy to check that the proof of $2 \iff 3$ is valid, although shorter, even for p = 2.

In the previous lemma, G was an arbitrary group. If we add commutativity, we actually get equivalence $(1) \iff (2)$.

Theorem 4. Let R be a (p-1)-nil-clean ring such that $\operatorname{char}(R) = p^s$, for a prime p and some $s \ge 1$ and let G be an Abelian group. Then RG is (p-1)-nil-clean iff $g^{p-1}-1$ is nilpotent for every $g \in G$.

Proof. We only need to prove the "if" part. It follows directly from the Remark following Theorem 2 since elements of the group G form a generating set for RG over R.

Example 2. The previous theorem does not hold for non-commutative groups. Let us take $R = \mathbb{Z}_5$ and $G = \mathbb{D}_4$, the dihedral group of order 8 generated by elements s and r such that $s^2 = 1 = r^4$, $sr = r^3s$. In this group, $g^4 = 1$ for all $g \in G$, so the condition that $g^4 - 1$ is nilpotent is trivially satisfied. However, direct computation shows that

$$(s+sr)_5 = 2s + 2r + 3sr^2 + 3r^3$$
$$((s+sr)_5)^8 = 3 + 2r^2$$
$$(3+2r^2)^2 = 3 + 2r^2.$$

so $(s+sr)_5$ is not nilpotent and the group ring $\mathbb{Z}_5\mathbb{D}_4$ is not strongly 4-nil-clean.

Let us concentrate now on the general case.

Proposition 11. Let R be a (p-1)-nil-clean ring and $\operatorname{char}(R) = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_l^{\alpha_l}$, so that l > 1, $p_1 < \cdots < p_l = p$.

- (1) If G is an elementary Abelian 2-group, then RG is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean.
- (2) If G is an elementary Abelian group in which every element has order q and $q \mid \gcd(p_1-1,...,p_l-1)$, then RG is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean.

Proof. (1) As we know, $R \cong R_1 \times \cdots \times R_l$, where p_i is nilpotent in R_i , and consequently $RG \cong R_1G \times \cdots \times R_lG$. So, all the rings R_i are strongly (p-1)-nil-clean, and since p_i is nilpotent in R_i , R_i is actually strongly (p_i-1) -nil-clean. We will use Theorem 4. Since $2 \mid (p_i-1)$ for all $i \geq 2$ and $i \geq 2$ and $i \geq 3$ for all $i \geq 3$, we have that $i \geq 3$ for all $i \geq 3$ for all i

(2) Similarly, from $g^q = 1$, and $q \mid \gcd(p_1 - 1, ..., p_l - 1)$, we conclude that $g^{p_l - 1} - 1 = 0$ for all $g \in G$, and proceed as in (1).

Theorem 5. Let char $(R) = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_l^{\alpha_l}$, where l > 1, $p_1 < \cdots < p_l = p$ and let G be a group. Suppose that RG is strongly (p-1)-nil-clean ring.

(1) For all $g \in G$ the following holds: $\omega(g) \mid \gcd(p_2 - 1, ..., p_l - 1)$ and $\omega(g) = d_1 p_1^s$, such that $d_1 \mid (p_1 - 1)$ and $s \ge 0$.

- (2) If there exists $h \in G$ such that $\omega(h) \ge p_1$, then $p_1 \mid (p_i 1)$, for $2 \le i \le l$.
- (3) *If for all* $g \in G$, $\omega(g) < p_1$, then $\omega(g) \mid \gcd(p_1 1, ..., p_l 1)$.
- (4) If $p_1 = 2$, then every element in G has order 2^s for some $s \ge 0$.
- (5) If $p_1 = 2$ and $p_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ for at least one $i \geq 2$, then G is an elementary Abelian 2-group.

Proof. (1) As before, $RG \cong R_1G \times \cdots \times R_lG$, where p_i is nilpotent in R_iG . Lemma 3 shows that $g^{p_i-1}-1$ is nilpotent in R_iG . It follows that $\omega(g)=d_i\,p_i^{k_i}$ for some $k_i\geq 0$ and $d_i\mid (p_i-1)$. So,

$$d_1 p_1^{k_1} = d_2 p_2^{k_2} = \dots = d_l p_l^{k_l}.$$

Since $d_1 < p_1$, it is clear that $d_1 p_1^{k_1}$ cannot be divisible by any prime greater than p_1 . So $k_i = 0$ for $i \ge 2$. Therefore,

$$\omega(g) = d_1 p_1^{k_1} = d_2 = \dots = d_l, \tag{4.1}$$

for all $g \in G$, where $d_i \mid (p_i - 1)$. Hence, $\omega(g) \mid (p_2 - 1), \dots, \omega(g) \mid (p_l - 1)$, and we are done.

- (2) If $h \in G$ is such that $\omega(h) \ge p_1$, we have $\omega(h) = d_1 p_1^{k_1} = d_2 = \cdots = d_l$, where $k_1 \ge 1$. Since $d_i \mid (p_i 1)$, it follows that $p_1 \mid (p_i 1)$.
- (3) Under this assumption, we get that $k_1 = 0$ in 4.1, hence for all $g \in G$

$$\omega(g) = d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_I.$$

We conclude that $\omega(g) \mid \gcd(p_1 - 1, \dots, p_l - 1)$.

- (4) The fourth assertion follows easily. Namely, in this case $p_1 = 2$, so $\omega(g) = d_1 2^{k_1}$, where $d_1 \mid (2-1)$. So, $\omega(g) = 2^{k_1}$.
- (5) It is enough to show that there are no elements of order 4 in G. If it were, then for an element $g \in G$, we would have equalities

$$4 = d_2 = \cdots = d_l$$
,

where $d_i \mid (p_i - 1)$, for $2 \le i \le l$. This would imply that $4 \mid (p_i - 1)$, that is $p_i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, for $2 \le i \le l$, which is a contradiction. Hence, we can conclude that G is an elementary Abelian 2-group.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Breaz, P. Danchev, and Y. Zhou, "Rings in which every element is either a sum or a difference of a nilpotent and an idempotent," *J. Algebra Appl.*, vol. 15, no. 8, p. 11, 2016, doi: 10.1142/S0219498816501486.
- [2] W. Burgess and P. Menal, "On strongly π -regular rings and homomorphisms into them," *Comm. Algebra*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 1701–1725, 1988, doi: 10.1080/00927879808823655.
- [3] H. Chen and M. Sheibani, "On strongly nil clean rings," *Comm. Algebra*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 1719–1726, 2017, doi: 10.1080/00927872.2016.1222411.
- [4] H. Chen and M. Sheibani, "Strongly 2-nil-clean rings," J. Algebra Appl., vol. 16, no. 8, p. 12, 2017, doi: 10.1142/S021949881750178X.

- [5] A. Diesl, "Nil clean rings," *J. Algebra*, vol. 383, pp. 197–211, 2013, doi: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2013.02.020.
- [6] T. Y. Lam, *A first course in noncommutative rings*, 2nd ed., ser. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. New York: Springer-Verlag, 2001, vol. 131.
- [7] W. McGovern, S. Raja, and A. Sharp, "Commutative nil clean group rings," *J. Algebra Appl.*, vol. 14, no. 6, p. 5, 2015, doi: 10.1142/S0219498815500942.
- [8] W. Nicholson, "Lifting idempotents and exchange rings," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 229, pp. 269–278, 1977, doi: 10.1090/S0002-9947-1977-0439876-2.
- [9] W. Nicholson, "Strongly Clean Rings and Fitting's Lemma," *Comm. Algebra*, vol. 27, no. 8, pp. 3583–3592, 1999, doi: 10.1080/00927879908826649.
- [10] S. Sahinkaya, G. Tang, and Y. Zhou, "Nil-clean group rings," *J. Algebra Appl.*, vol. 16, no. 5, p. 7, 2017, doi: 10.1142/S0219498817501353.

Authors' addresses

Aleksandra Kostić

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mathematics, Studentski trg 16, Belgrade, Serbia *E-mail address:* alex@matf.bg.ac.rs

Zoran Z. Petrović

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mathematics, Studentski trg 16, Belgrade, Serbia *E-mail address:* zoranp@matf.bg.ac.rs

Zoran S. Pucanović

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Bulevar kralja Aleksandra 73, Belgrade, Serbia *E-mail address:* pucanovic@grf.bg.ac.rs

Maja Roslavcev

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mathematics, Studentski trg 16, Belgrade, Serbia *E-mail address:* roslavcev@matf.bg.ac.rs