



BALANCING WITH POWERS OF THE LUCAS SEQUENCE OF RECURRENCE $u_n = Au_{n-1} - u_{n-2}$

NURETTIN IRMAK AND MURAT ALP

Received 30 October, 2016

Abstract. In this paper, we show that there is no solution of the diophantine equation

$$u_1^k + u_2^k + \dots + u_{n-1}^k = u_{n+1}^l + u_{n+2}^l + \dots + u_{n+r}^l$$

for special cases of k and l where the elements of sequence $\{u_n\}$ satisfy the relation $u_n = Au_{n-1} - u_{n-2}$ with $u_0 = 0, u_1 = 1$ and $A \geq 3$ is a positive integer.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11B39

Keywords: Diophantine equation, Lucas sequence

1. INTRODUCTION

Let the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is defined by the recurrence relation

$$u_n = Au_{n-1} - u_{n-2} \tag{1.1}$$

where A is a positive integer with $u_0 = 0$ and $u_1 = 1$. It's Binet form of the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is known as

$$u_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\alpha = \frac{A + \sqrt{A^2 - 4}}{2}$ and $\beta = \frac{A - \sqrt{A^2 - 4}}{2}$. Assume the $\{v_n\}$ be the associate sequence of the sequence $\{u_n\}$. Namely, the elements of the sequence $\{v_n\}$ satisfies the following recurrence,

$$v_n = Av_{n-1} - v_{n-2}$$

with initial conditon $v_0 = 2$ and $v_1 = A$. The Binet formula of the sequece $\{v_n\}$ is

$$v_n = \alpha^n + \beta^n. \tag{1.3}$$

The case $A = 6$ coincides with the sequence of balancing number (see [2, 4, 7, 8]) whose elements satisfy the equation

$$1 + 2 + \dots + (n - 1) = (n + 1) + (n + 2) + \dots + (n + r).$$

Recently, several authors handled some diophantine equations including balancing-type rules. For example, Behera et al. [3] showed that the diophantine equation

$$F_1^k + F_2^k + \cdots + F_{n-1}^k = F_{n+1}^l + F_{n+2}^l + \cdots + F_{n+r}^l \quad (1.4)$$

has no solution in the positive integers n, r, k, l with $n \geq 2$ in the case

$$k \leq l \quad \text{and} \quad (k, l) = (2, 1), (3, 1), (3, 2). \quad (1.5)$$

Here F_n denotes the n^{th} Fibonacci number. They also conjectured in [3] that only the quadruple $(n, r, k, l) = (4, 3, 8, 2)$ satisfies the equation (1.4). Their conjecture was proved by Alvarado et al. [1]. In the sequel, Irmak [5] replaced the Fibonacci numbers with balancing numbers in (1.4), and conjectured that there is no solution of the equation

$$B_1^k + B_2^k + \cdots + B_{n-1}^k = B_{n+1}^l + B_{n+2}^l + \cdots + B_{n+r}^l.$$

In this paper, we generalize the conjecture of Irmak [5]. Our conjecture is following,

Conjecture 1. Assume that $A \geq 3$. For the sequence $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, there is no solution of the equation

$$u_1^k + u_2^k + \cdots + u_{n-1}^k = u_{n+1}^l + u_{n+2}^l + \cdots + u_{n+r}^l \quad (1.6)$$

for positive integers $n \geq 2$, r , k and l .

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we present several lemmas to confirm the conjecture. First lemma presents some formulas including the sums of the elements of the sequence $\{u_n\}$.

Lemma 1. For any positive integer k , the following identities hold.

- (a) $\sum_{k=1}^n u_k = \frac{1}{A-2} (u_{n+1} - u_n - 1)$
- (b) $\sum_{k=1}^n u_k^2 = \frac{1}{A^2-4} (u_{2n+1} - (2n+1))$
- (c) $\sum_{k=1}^n u_k^3 = \frac{1}{A^2-4} \left\{ \frac{u_{3n+3} - u_{3n} - u_3}{A^3 - 3A - 2} - \frac{3}{A-2} (u_{n+1} - u_n - 1) \right\}$
- (d) $\sum_{k=1}^n u_{2k-1} = u_n^2.$

Proof. We prove the third one. We follow the Binet formula (1.2). Other identities can be proven by similar way.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n u_k^3 &= \frac{1}{(\alpha - \beta)^3} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\alpha^{k+1} - \beta^{k+1})^3 \\ &= \frac{1}{(\alpha - \beta)^3} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{3k+3} - \beta^{3k+3} - 3(\alpha^{k+1} - \beta^{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{(\alpha - \beta)^3} \left\{ \alpha^3 \frac{1 - \alpha^{3n}}{1 - \alpha^3} - \beta^3 \frac{1 - \beta^{3n}}{1 - \beta^3} - 3 \left(\alpha \frac{1 - \alpha^n}{1 - \alpha} - \beta \frac{1 - \beta^n}{1 - \beta} \right) \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{(\alpha - \beta)^2} \left\{ \frac{\alpha^{3n+3} - \beta^{3n+3} - (\alpha^{3n} - \beta^{3n}) - (\alpha^3 - \beta^3)}{(\alpha - \beta)(\alpha^3 + \beta^3 - 2)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{3}{A-2} (u_{n+1} - u_n - 1) \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{A^2 - 4} \left\{ \frac{u_{3n+3} - u_{3n} - u_3}{A^3 - 3A - 2} - \frac{3}{A-2} (u_{n+1} - u_n - 1) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

as claimed. \square

Lemma 2. For positive integer n ,

$$u_n^2 - u_{n-1}^2 = u_{2n-1}$$

follows.

Proof. It can be proven by the Binet formula of the sequence $\{u_n\}$ or Lemma 1 d. \square

Lemma 3. For the positive integer $A \geq 3$ and $n \geq 1$, then the inequalities

$$(A - 2)u_n < u_{n+1} - u_n < (A - 1)u_n$$

and

$$(A^3 - 3A - 2)u_{3n} < u_{3n+3} - u_{3n} - u_3 < (A^3 - 3A - 1)u_{3n}$$

hold.

Proof. By the Binet formulas of the sequences $\{u_n\}$ and $\{v_n\}$, we obtain the formula

$$u_{rn} = v_r u_{r(n-1)} + (-1)^r u_{r(n-2)}.$$

Assume that $r = 3$. By the recurrence relation (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{3(n+1)} - u_{3n} &= (A^3 - 3A)u_{3n} - u_{3(n-1)} - u_{3n} \\
&= (A^3 - 3A - 1)u_{3n} - u_{3(n-1)} \\
&< (A^3 - 3A - 1)u_{3n}
\end{aligned}$$

follows. Since the inequality $-u_{3n} < -u_{3(n-1)}$ holds, then

$$u_{3(n+1)} - u_{3n} = (A^3 - 3A - 1)u_{3n} - u_{3(n-1)} > (A^3 - 3A - 2)u_n$$

follows. Second one can be proven by similar way. \square

Lemma 4. Suppose that $A \geq 3$. Then for all integers $n \geq 3$, the inequality

$$\alpha^{n-1} < u_n < \alpha^{n-0.83} \quad (2.1)$$

hold.

Proof. See Lemma 2.2 in [6]. \square

Lemma 5. Suppose that $a > 0$ and $b \geq 0$ are real numbers, and that t_0 is a positive integer. Then for all integers $t_0 \leq t \leq t_1$, the inequality

$$\alpha^{t+\kappa_1} \leq a\alpha^t + b \leq \alpha^{t+\kappa_0}$$

where $\kappa_i = \log_\alpha \left(1 + \frac{b}{\alpha^i}\right)$ for $i = 0, 1$.

Proof. It is obvious. □

3. RESULTS

In this section, we prove the several theorems which the special cases of the diophantine equation (1.6). These theorems confirm the conjecture.

Theorem 1. If $l \geq k$, then there is no solution of the diophantine equation (1.6) for positive integers r and $n \geq 2$.

Proof. By Lemma 1 (a),

$$\begin{aligned} u_1^k + u_2^k + \cdots + u_{n-1}^k &< (u_1 + u_2 + \cdots + u_{n-1})^k \\ &< \left(\frac{u_n - u_{n-1} - 1}{A - 2}\right)^l < u_n^l \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. □

Theorem 2. If $k = 2$ and $l = 1$ in (1.6), then there is no solution of the diophantine equation (1.6) for positive integers r and $n \geq 2$.

Proof. The Lemma 1 (a) and (b) yield that

$$\frac{u_{2n-1} - (2n - 1)}{A^2 - 4} = \frac{1}{A - 2} (u_{n+r+1} - u_{n+r} - u_{n+1} + u_n)$$

which gives

$$u_{2n-1} - (2n - 1) + (A + 2)(u_{n+1} - u_n) = (A + 2)(u_{n+r+1} - u_{n+r}).$$

Let

$$LS := u_{2n-1} - (2n - 1) + (A + 2)(u_{n+1} - u_n)$$

and

$$RS := (A + 2)(u_{n+r+1} - u_{n+r}).$$

Together with Lemma 3, we have

$$u_{2n-1} - (2n - 1) + (A^2 - 4)u_n < LS < u_{2n-1} + (A + 2)(A - 1)u_n.$$

So, $LS > \alpha^{2n-2}$ holds. The Lemma 4 gives

$$\begin{aligned} LS &< u_{2n-1} + (A + 2)(A - 1)u_n \\ &< \alpha^{2n-1.83} + \alpha^{n+1.57} \\ &= \alpha^{n+1.57} (\alpha^{n-3.4} + 1) < 2\alpha^{n+1.57} \alpha^{n-2.8} < \alpha^{2n-0.5}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Similarly, we have the followings with Lemma 3

$$(A+2)(A-2)u_{n+r} < RS < (A+2)(A-1)u_{n+r}.$$

So, the inequalities

$$\alpha^{n+r+0.67} < RS < \alpha^{n+r+1.57} \quad (3.2)$$

follow by Lemma 4. Combining the inequalities (3.1) and (3.2), we deduce that

$$\max\{2n-2, n+r+0.67\} < \min\{n+r+1.57, 2n-0.5\}$$

which yields that

$$1.17 < n-r < 3.57.$$

So, there are two possibilities which are $n = r + 2$ and $n = r + 3$.

If $n = r + 2$, then the equation (1.6) turns to the equation

$$u_1^2 + u_2^2 + \cdots + u_{r+1}^2 = u_{r+1} + u_{r+2} + \cdots + u_{2r+2}.$$

Above equation yields that

$$\frac{1}{A^2-4}(u_{2r+3} - (2r+3)) = u_{r+1} + u_{r+2} + \cdots + u_{2r+2}.$$

Obviously, this is not possible. For the case $n = r + 3$, we arrive at a contradiction similarly since we obtain the following equation.

$$\frac{1}{A^2-4}(u_{2r+5} - (2r+5)) = u_{r+1} + u_{r+2} + \cdots + u_{2r+3}.$$

Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 2. \square

Theorem 3. *If $k = 3$ and $l = 1$ in (1.6), then there is no solution of the diophantine equation (1.6) for positive integers r and $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1 (a) and (c), the equation turns to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{A-2} \left\{ \frac{u_{3n} - u_{3n-3} - u_3}{A^3 - 3A - 2} - \frac{3}{A-2} (u_n - u_{n-1} - 1) \right\} + (u_{n+1} - u_n) \\ & = u_{n+r+1} - u_{n+r}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} LS & := \frac{1}{(A-2)(A^3-3A-2)}(u_{3n} - u_{3n-3} - u_3) \\ & - \left(\frac{3}{(A-2)^2} + 1 \right) (u_n - u_{n-1} - 1) + \frac{3}{A-2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$RS := u_{n+r+1} - u_{n+r}$$

We have the followings by Lemma 3,

$$\frac{1}{(A-2)}u_{3n-3} - \left(\frac{3}{(A-2)^2} + 1 \right) (A-1)u_{n-1} < LS$$

and

$$LS < \frac{(A^3 - 3A - 1)}{(A - 2)(A^3 - 3A - 2)} u_{3n-3} - \left(\frac{3}{(A-2)^2} + 1 \right) (A-2) u_{n-1}.$$

Since $\alpha^{-1} < \frac{(A^3 - 3A - 1)}{(A-2)(A^3 - 3A - 2)} < \alpha^{0.63}$ and $1 < \left(\frac{3}{(A-2)^2} + 1 \right) (A-2) < \alpha^{1.45}$ follow, then the inequalities

$$\alpha^{3n-5} - \alpha^{n-0.38} < LS < \alpha^{3n-0.2} - \alpha^{n-1}$$

hold which gives that

$$\alpha^{3n-6.5} < LS < \alpha^{3n-0.2}. \quad (3.3)$$

By Lemma 3, we obtain

$$(A-2)u_{n+r} < RS < (A-1)u_{n+r}$$

which yields that

$$\alpha^{n+r-1} < RS < \alpha^{n+r-0.17}. \quad (3.4)$$

Together with the inequalities (3.3) and (3.4), we get that

$$\max\{3n - 6.5, n + r - 1\} < \min\{3n - 0.2, n + r - 0.17\}.$$

So there are seven possibilities which are $2n - r = j$ where $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.

If $r = 2n$, the the diophantine equation turns the equation

$$u_1^3 + u_2^3 + \cdots + u_{n-1}^3 = u_{n+1} + u_{n+2} + \cdots + u_{3n}.$$

By Lemma 1 (c), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{A^2 - 4} \left\{ \frac{u_{3n} - u_{3n-3} - u_3}{A^3 - 3A - 2} - \frac{3}{A-2} (u_n - u_{n-1} - 1) \right\} \\ & = u_{n+1} + u_{n+2} + \cdots + u_{3n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha^{-5} < \frac{1}{(A^2-4)(A^3-3A-2)} < \alpha^{-3.26}$ holds, then $\alpha^{3n-6} < \frac{u_{3n}}{(A^2-4)(A^3-3A-2)} < \alpha^{3n-4.09}$ follows. But this is not possible since the inequality $\alpha^{3n-1} < u_{3n} < \alpha^{3n-0.83}$ holds. Since we have similar calculations for the cases $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, we omit the proofs of these cases to cut unnecessary calculations. So, we complete the proof of Theorem 3. \square

Theorem 4. *If $k = 3$ and $l = 2$ in (1.6), then there is no solution of the diophantine equation (1.6) for positive integers r and $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. By Lemma 1 (b) and (c), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{u_{3n} - u_{3n-3} - u_3}{A^3 - 3A - 2} - \frac{3}{A-2} (u_n - u_{n-1} - 1) + u_{2n+1} \\ & = u_{2(n+r)+1} - 2r. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$RS := u_{2(n+r)+1} - 2r$$

and

$$LS := \frac{u_{3n} - u_{3n-3} - u_3}{A^3 - 3A - 2} - \frac{3}{A-2} (u_n - u_{n-1} - 1) + u_{2n+1}.$$

Together with Lemma 4, we have

$$\alpha^{2(n+r)} - 2r < RS < \alpha^{2(n+r)+1-0.83}. \quad (3.5)$$

The inequalities

$$\alpha^{3n-4} < u_{3n-3} < \frac{u_{3n} - u_{3n-3} - u_3}{A^3 - 3A - 2} < \frac{A^2 - 3A - 1}{A^2 - 3A - 2} u_{3n-3} < \alpha^{3n-3.89},$$

$$\alpha^{n-2} < 3u_{n-1} < \frac{3}{A-2} (u_n - u_{n-1} - 1) < \frac{3(A-1)}{A-2} u_{n-1} < \alpha^{n-1.83+1.15}$$

and

$$\alpha^{2n} < u_{2n+1} < \alpha^{2n+0.17}$$

yield that

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha^{n-0.68} (\alpha^{2n-3.32} - 1 + \alpha^{n+0.68}) \\ &= \alpha^{3n-4} - \alpha^{n-0.68} + \alpha^{2n} < LS \\ &< \alpha^{3n-3.89} - \alpha^{n-2} + \alpha^{2n+0.17} \\ &= \alpha^{n-2} (\alpha^{2n-2.89} - 1 + \alpha^{n+2.17}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha^{2n-4.1} < \alpha^{2n-3.32} - 1$ and $\alpha^{2n-2.89} - 1 < \alpha^{2n-2.88}$ hold, then we obtain

$$\alpha^{n-0.68} (\alpha^{2n-4.1} + \alpha^{n+0.68}) < LS < \alpha^{n-2} (\alpha^{2n-2.88} + \alpha^{n+2.17}).$$

By the inequalities $\alpha^{2n} \alpha^{n-4.78} < \alpha^{n-0.68} (\alpha^{n+0.68} (\alpha^{n-4.78} + 1)) = \alpha^{n-0.68} (\alpha^{2n-4.1} + \alpha^{n+0.68})$ and $\alpha^{n-2} (\alpha^{2n-2.89} + \alpha^{n+2.17}) = \alpha^{n-2} (\alpha^{n+2.17} (\alpha^{n-5.06} + 1)) < \alpha^{n-2+n+2.17+n-1.9}$, then

$$\alpha^{3n-4.78} < LS < \alpha^{3n-1.73} \quad (3.6)$$

follows. Together with inequalities (3.5) and (3.6), the condition

$$\max\{3n - 4.78, 2n + 2r\} < \min\{3n - 1.73, 2n + 2r + 0.17\}$$

gives that $1.73 < n - 2r < 4.95$. So, the possible cases are $n - 2r = i$ where $i \in \{2, 3, 4\}$. When we replace $n = 2r + i$ in the equation (1.6), we get that

$$u_1^3 + u_2^3 + \cdots + u_{2r+i-1}^3 = u_{2n+2}^2 + u_{2n+3}^2 + \cdots + u_{3r+i}^2.$$

Obviously, the left hand side is less than the right hand side. Therefore, we arrive at a contradiction. Hence, the proof is completed. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. D. Alvarado, A. Dujella, and F. Luca, “On a conjecture regarding balancing with powers of Fibonacci numbers.” *Integers*, vol. 12, no. 6, pp. 1127–1158, a2, 2012, doi: [10.1515/integers-2012-0032](https://doi.org/10.1515/integers-2012-0032).
- [2] A. Behera and G. Panda, “On the square roots of triangular numbers.” *Fibonacci Q.*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 98–105, 1999.
- [3] A. Behera, K. Liptai, G. K. Panda, and L. Szalay, “Balancing with Fibonacci powers.” *Fibonacci Q.*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 28–33, 2011.
- [4] R. Finkelstein, “The house problem.” *Am. Math. Mon.*, vol. 72, pp. 1082–1088, 1965, doi: [10.2307/2315953](https://doi.org/10.2307/2315953).
- [5] N. Irmak, “Balancing with balancing powers.” *Miskolc Math. Notes*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 951–957, 2013.
- [6] N. Irmak and L. Szalay, “Diophantine triples and reduced quadruples with the Lucas sequence of recurrence $u_n = Au_{n-1} - u_{n-2}$.” *Glas. Mat., III. Ser.*, vol. 49, no. 2, pp. 303–312, 2014, doi: [10.3336/gm.49.2.05](https://doi.org/10.3336/gm.49.2.05).
- [7] G. Panda, “Sequence balancing and cobalancing numbers.” *Fibonacci Q.*, vol. 45, no. 3, pp. 265–271, 2007.
- [8] G. Panda, “Some fascinating properties of balancing numbers.” *Congr. Numerantium*, vol. 194, pp. 185–189, 2009.

*Authors' addresses***Nurettin Irmak**

Niğde Ömer Halisdemir University, Art and Science Faculty, Mathematics Department, 51240, Niğde, Turkey

E-mail address: nirmak@ohu.edu.tr, irmaknurettin@gmail.com

Murat Alp

Niğde Ömer Halisdemir University, Art and Science Faculty, Mathematics Department, 51240, Niğde, Turkey

E-mail address: muratalp@ohu.edu.tr