



GENERALIZED SUPPLEMENTED LATTICES

ÇİĞDEM BIÇER, CELIL NEBIYEV, AND ALI PANCAR

Received 19 April, 2016

Abstract. In this work, we define (amply) generalized supplemented lattices and investigate some properties of these lattices. In this paper, all lattices are complete modular lattices with the smallest element 0 and the greatest element 1. Let L be a lattice, $1 = a_1 \vee a_2 \vee \dots \vee a_n$ and the quotient sublattices $a_1/0, a_2/0, \dots, a_n/0$ be generalized supplemented, then L is generalized supplemented. If L is an amply generalized supplemented lattice, then for every $a \in L$, the quotient sublattice $1/a$ is amply generalized supplemented.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 06C05; 06C15

Keywords: lattices, supplemented lattices, amply supplemented lattices, hollow lattices

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, all lattices are complete modular lattices with the smallest element 0 and the greatest element 1. Let L be a lattice, $a, b \in L$ and $a \leq b$. A sublattice $\{x \in L \mid a \leq x \leq b\}$ is called a *quotient sublattice*, denoted by b/a . An element a' of a lattice L is called a *complement* of a if $a \wedge a' = 0$ and $a \vee a' = 1$. A lattice L is said to be *complemented* if each element in L has at least one complement. An element c of L is said to be *compact* if for every subset X of L such that $c \leq \vee X$ there is a finite $F \subseteq X$ such that $c \leq \vee F$. A lattice L is said to be *compactly generated* if each of its elements is a join of compact elements. An element a of L is said to be *small* or *superfluous* if $a \vee b \neq 1$ holds for every $b \neq 1$ and denoted by $a \ll L$. The meet of all the maximal elements ($\neq 1$) of a lattice L is called the *radical* of L and denoted by $r(L)$. An element c of L is called a *supplement* of b in L if it is minimal for $b \vee c = 1$. a is a supplement of b in lattice L if and only if $a \vee b = 1$ and $a \wedge b \ll a/0$. L is called a *supplemented lattice* if every element of L has a supplement in L . We say that an element b of L *lies above* an element a of L if $a \leq b$ and $b \ll 1/a$. L is said to be *hollow* if every element ($\neq 1$) is superfluous in L , and L is said to be *local* if L has a greatest element ($\neq 1$). An element a of L is called a *weak supplement* of b in L if $a \vee b = 1$ and $a \wedge b \ll L$. L is called a *weakly supplemented lattice*, if every element of L has a weak supplement in L . An element $a \in L$ has *ample supplements* in L if for every $b \in L$ with $a \vee b = 1$, a has a supplement b' in L with $b' \leq b$. L is called an *amply supplemented lattice*, if every

element of L has ample supplements in L . It is clear that every supplemented lattice is weakly supplemented and every amply supplemented lattice is supplemented.

More information about (amply) supplemented lattices are in [1, 2] and [3]. More results about (amply) supplemented modules are in [4, 6] and [7]. The definitions of (amply) generalized supplemented modules and some properties of them are in [5]. We generalize some properties of (amply) generalized supplemented modules.

In this paper, we constitute relationships between generalized supplemented quotient sublattices and generalized supplemented lattices by Corollary 1, Theorem 3 and Corollary 2. We also constitute relationships between amply generalized supplemented quotient sublattices and amply generalized supplemented lattices by Proposition 3 and Proposition 5.

2. GENERALIZED SUPPLEMENTED LATTICES

In this part, generalized supplement elements and generalized supplemented lattices are defined and some properties of them are given.

Definition 1. Let L be a lattice and $a \in L$. An element $b \in L$ is called a *generalized supplement* or *Rad-supplement* of a in L if $a \vee b = 1$ and $a \wedge b \leq r(b/0)$. In this fact, a has a generalized supplement (or Rad-supplement) in L . L is said to be *generalized supplemented* or *Rad-supplemented* if every element of L has a generalized supplement or Rad-supplement in L .

It is clear that every supplement element is generalized supplement in a lattice, also supplemented lattices are generalized supplemented but a generalized supplemented lattice need not to be supplemented. We give an example about this in the next part.

Lemma 1 ([3], Theorem 1.5). *Let L be a lattice and $a, b \in L$. Then the quotient sublattices $(a \vee b)/b$ and $a/(a \wedge b)$ are isomorphic.*

Lemma 2. *Let L be a lattice and c be a generalized supplement of b in L .*

(a) *If $a \leq b$ and $a \vee c = 1$ then c is a generalized supplement of a in L .*

(b) $r(c/0) = c \wedge r(L)$.

Proof. (a) Since c is a generalized supplement of b in L , $b \vee c = 1$ and $b \wedge c \leq r(c/0)$. Since $a \leq b$, $a \wedge c \leq b \wedge c \leq r(c/0)$. Thus c is a generalized supplement of a in L .

(b) $r(c/0) \leq c \wedge r(L)$ is clear. Let x be a maximal element ($\neq c$) in $c/0$. Since $\frac{1}{b \vee x} = \frac{c \vee b}{b \vee x} = \frac{c \vee b \vee x}{b \vee x} \cong \frac{c}{c \wedge (b \vee x)} = \frac{c}{(c \wedge b) \vee x} = \frac{c}{x}$, $b \vee x$ is a maximal element ($\neq 1$) of L . Hence $r(L) \leq b \vee x$ and $c \wedge r(L) \leq c \wedge (b \vee x) = (c \wedge b) \vee x = x$. Since $c \wedge r(L) \leq x$ for every maximal element ($\neq c$) in $c/0$, $c \wedge r(L) \leq r(c/0)$ and $r(c/0) = c \wedge r(L)$. \square

Theorem 1. *Let L be a lattice and b be a maximal element ($\neq 1$) in L . If c is a generalized supplement of b in L then $b \wedge c = r(c/0)$ and $r(c/0)$ is the unique maximal element ($\neq c$) of $c/0$.*

Proof. Since b is maximal ($\neq 1$) in L , by Lemma 1, $b \wedge c$ is a maximal element ($\neq c$) in $c/0$. Because of definition of the radical, $r(c/0) \leq b \wedge c$, and c being generalized supplement of b in L , $b \wedge c \leq r(c/0)$. Hence $b \wedge c = r(c/0)$ is the unique maximal element in $c/0$. \square

Theorem 2. *Let L be a lattice and $1 \neq b \in L$. If c is a generalized supplement of b in L and $r(L) \ll L$, then there exists a maximal element m ($\neq 1$) in L such that $b \leq m$.*

Proof. If $b \leq r(L)$, then it is clear. Let $b \not\leq r(L)$. Then $r(c/0) = c \wedge r(L) \neq c$ by Lemma 2 (b). Hence there exists an element $x \leq c$ such that x is a maximal element ($\neq c$) in $c/0$. Let $m = b \vee x$. By Lemma 1 and modularity $\frac{1}{m} = \frac{1}{b \vee x} = \frac{b \vee c}{b \vee x} \cong \frac{c}{c \wedge (b \vee x)} = \frac{c}{(c \wedge b) \vee x} = \frac{c}{x}$ and so m is a maximal element ($\neq 1$) in L such that $b \leq m$. \square

Lemma 3 ([3], Lemma 7.8 (i)). *Let L be a lattice. Then $a \vee r(L) \leq r(1/a)$ for every $a \in L$.*

Lemma 4 ([3], Exercise 7.3). *Let L be a lattice and $a \in L$. Then $r(a/0) \leq r(L)$.*

Lemma 5. *Let L be a lattice and c be a generalized supplement of b in L . Then for $a \leq b$, $a \vee c$ is a generalized supplement of b in $1/a$.*

Proof. Since c is a generalized supplement of b in L , $b \vee c = 1$ and $b \wedge c \leq r(c/0)$. Then $b \vee (a \vee c) = 1$ and by Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, $(a \vee c) \wedge b = a \vee (b \wedge c) \leq a \vee r(c/0) \leq a \vee r((a \vee c)/0) \leq r((a \vee c)/a)$. Hence $a \vee c$ is a generalized supplement of b in $1/a$. \square

Corollary 1. *Let L be a lattice. If L is generalized supplemented and $a \in L$, then $1/a$ is also generalized supplemented.*

Proof. Clear from Lemma 5. \square

Lemma 6 ([3], Lemma 12.3). *Let L be a lattice. Then for every $a, b, c \in L$, $[(b \vee c) \wedge a] \leq [b \wedge (a \vee c)] \vee [c \wedge (a \vee b)]$.*

Lemma 7. *Let L be a lattice and $a, b, c \in L$. If $a/0$ is generalized supplemented and $a \vee b$ has a generalized supplement in L , then b has a generalized supplement in L .*

Proof. Let c be a generalized supplement of $a \vee b$ in L and d be a generalized supplement of $(b \vee c) \wedge a$ in $a/0$. Clearly, $1 = a \vee b \vee c = b \vee c \vee d$, $(b \vee c) \wedge d \leq r(d/0)$, $b \wedge (c \vee d) \leq [c \wedge (b \vee d)] \vee [d \wedge (b \vee c)] \leq r(c/0) \vee r(d/0) \leq r((c \vee d)/0)$. Hence $c \vee d$ is a generalized supplement of b in L . \square

Theorem 3. *Let L be a lattice $a, b \in L$ and $a \vee b = 1$. If $a/0$ and $b/0$ are generalized supplemented, then L is generalized supplemented.*

Proof. Let c be arbitrary in L . $a \vee (b \vee c) = 1$ has a generalized supplement 0 in L . Since $a/0$ is generalized supplemented, by Lemma 7, $b \vee c$ has a generalized supplement in L . And since $b/0$ is generalized supplemented, c has a generalized supplement in L . So, L is generalized supplemented. \square

Corollary 2. *If $1 = a_1 \vee a_2 \vee \dots \vee a_n$ and the quotient sublattices $a_1/0, a_2/0, \dots, a_n/0$ be generalized supplemented, then L is generalized supplemented.*

If $a < b$ and $a \leq c < b$ implies that $c = a$, then a is said to be *covered* by b . If 0 is covered by a for some element a of L , then a is called an *atom*. A lattice L is said to be *semitatomic* if 1 is a join of atoms in L . [3]

Lemma 8 ([3], Theorem 6.7). *Every modular compactly generated complemented lattice is semiatomic.*

Proposition 1. *Let L be a modular compactly generated lattice. If L is generalized supplemented, then the quotient sublattice $1/r(L)$ is semiatomic.*

Proof. Clearly, $1/r(L)$ is a modular compactly generated lattice. Let $a \in 1/r(L)$. Since L is generalized supplemented, a has a generalized supplement b in L . Then we have $a \vee (b \vee r(L)) = 1$ and $a \wedge (b \vee r(L)) = r(L)$. Hence $1/r(L)$ is complemented and by Lemma 8, $1/r(L)$ is semiatomic. \square

Proposition 2. *Let L be a lattice. If L is generalized supplemented and $r(L) \ll L$, then L is weakly supplemented.*

Proof. Clear. \square

3. AMPLY GENERALIZED SUPPLEMENTED LATTICES

In this section, we define amply generalized supplemented or amply Rad-supplemented lattices and we give some properties of them.

Definition 2. Let L be a lattice. We say an element a of L has *ample generalized supplements* in L if for every b of L with $a \vee b = 1$, a has a generalized supplement b' in L with $b' \leq b$. L is called *amply generalized supplemented* or *amply Rad-supplemented* if every element of L has ample generalized supplements in L .

It is clear that every amply generalized supplemented lattice is generalized supplemented. But the converse is not always true (see Example 1). Every amply supplemented modular lattice is amply generalized supplemented.

Proposition 3. *Let L be a lattice. If L is amply generalized supplemented, then the quotient sublattice $1/a$ is also amply generalized supplemented for every element a of L .*

Proof. Let $a \in L$ and $x, y \in 1/a$ with $x \vee y = 1$. Since L is amply generalized supplemented, there exists an element y' of L such that $y' \leq y, x \vee y' = 1$ and $x \wedge$

$y' \leq r(y'/0)$. Then $x \wedge (a \vee y') = a \vee (x \wedge y') \leq a \vee r(y'/0) \leq r((a \vee y')/a)$. Hence $a \vee y'$ is a generalized supplement of x in $1/a$ with $a \vee y' \leq y$. \square

Proposition 4. *Let L be a lattice, $a, b \in L$ and $a \vee b = 1$. If a and b have ample generalized supplements in L , then $a \wedge b$ has ample generalized supplements in L .*

Proof. Let $(a \wedge b) \vee c = 1$ with $c \in L$. We clearly see that $b \vee (a \wedge c) = 1$ and $a \vee (b \wedge c) = 1$. Since $a \vee (b \wedge c) = 1$ and a has ample generalized supplements in L , then there exists an element x of L such that $x \leq b \wedge c$, $a \vee x = 1$ and $a \wedge x \leq r(x/0)$. Since $b \vee (a \wedge c) = 1$ and b has ample generalized supplements in L , then there exists an element y of L such that $y \leq a \wedge c$, $b \vee y = 1$ and $b \wedge y \leq r(y/0)$. Since $x \leq b$ and $a \vee x = 1$, $b = b \wedge 1 = b \wedge (a \vee x) = (a \wedge b) \vee x$. Similarly, we see that $a = (a \wedge b) \vee y$. Then $1 = a \vee b = [(a \wedge b) \vee y] \vee [(a \wedge b) \vee x] = (a \wedge b) \vee x \vee y$. Since $a \wedge x \leq r(x/0)$ and $b \wedge y \leq r(y/0)$, then $(a \wedge b) \wedge (x \vee y) = (a \wedge x) \vee (b \wedge y) \leq r(x/0) \vee r(y/0) \leq r((x \vee y)/0)$. Hence $x \vee y$ is a generalized supplement of $a \wedge b$ in L with $x \vee y \leq c$. \square

Proposition 5. *Let L be a lattice and a be a supplement element in L . If L is amply generalized supplemented, then the quotient sublattice $a/0$ is amply generalized supplemented.*

Proof. Clear. \square

Theorem 4. *Let L be a lattice. If L is amply generalized supplemented, then for every $a \in L$, there exist $x, y \in L$ such that x is a generalized supplement in L , $a = x \vee y$ and $y \leq r(L)$.*

Proof. Let b be a generalized supplement of a in L and x be a generalized supplement of b in L with $x \leq a$. Since b is a generalized supplement of a in L , $a \vee b = 1$ and $a \wedge b \leq r(b/0)$. Since x is a generalized supplement of b in L , $x \vee b = 1$ and $x \wedge b \leq r(x/0)$. Let $y = a \wedge b$. This case $y = a \wedge b \leq r(b/0) \leq r(L)$. Since $x \vee b = 1$ and $x \leq a$, $a = a \wedge 1 = a \wedge (x \vee b) = x \vee (a \wedge b) = x \vee y$. \square

Lemma 9. *Let L be a lattice and $a, b \in L$ such that $a \leq b$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

(a) b lies above a in L .

(b) $a \vee x = 1$ for every element $x \in L$ with $b \vee x = 1$.

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b) Let b lies above a in L . Then $b \ll 1/a$. Let $b \vee x = 1$ with $x \in L$. Then $b \vee a \vee x = 1$, and since $a \vee x \in 1/a$ and $b \ll 1/a$, then $a \vee x = 1$.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) Let $b \vee x = 1$ with $x \in 1/a$. By hypothesis, $a \vee x = 1$ and since $x \leq a$, $x = a \vee x = 1$. Thus b lies above a . \square

Lemma 10. *Let L be a lattice. If every element of L lies above an element x in L such that $x/0$ is generalized supplemented, then L is amply generalized supplemented.*

Proof. Let $a \vee b = 1$. By hypothesis, a lies above an element x in L such that $x/0$ is generalized supplemented. Since $a \ll 1/x$, by Lemma 9, $b \vee x = 1$. Let y be a generalized supplement of $b \wedge x$ in $x/0$. This case $1 = b \vee x = b \vee y$ and $b \wedge y \leq r(y/0)$. Hence y is a generalized supplement of b in L with $y \leq a$. \square

Corollary 3. *Let L be a lattice. If $a/0$ is generalized supplemented for every $a \in L$, then L is amply generalized supplemented.*

Proof. Clear from Lemma 10, because every element a of L lies above a in L . \square

We can prove this Corollary directly as follows:

Let $a, b \in L$ with $a \vee b = 1$. Since $a \wedge b \in b/0$, by assumption, there is a generalized supplement c of $a \wedge b$ in $b/0$. That is, $c \in b/0$, $(a \wedge b) \vee c = b$ and $a \wedge c = a \wedge b \wedge c \leq r(c/0)$. Since $a \vee b = 1$ and $(a \wedge b) \vee c = b$, $1 = a \vee b = a \vee (a \wedge b) \vee c = a \vee c$. Hence c is a generalized supplement of a in L with $c \leq b$. Thus L is amply generalized supplemented.

Example 1. Let Ω be family of all the submodules of \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Q} . Ω is a lattice with \subseteq . This case, for $K, L \in \Omega$, $K \vee L = \text{sup}\{K, L\} = K + L$, $K \wedge L = \text{inf}\{K, L\} = K \cap L$. Ω is generalized supplemented but not supplemented [7]. Since \mathbb{Z} is a Dedekind domain, \mathbb{Q} is a quotient field of \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{Z} is not local, Ω is not amply generalized supplemented.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Alizade and S. E. Toksoy, "Cofinitely weak supplemented lattices," *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 337–346, 2009.
- [2] R. Alizade and S. E. Toksoy, "Cofinitely supplemented modular lattices," *Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering*, vol. 39, pp. 919–923, 2011, doi: [10.1007/s13369-011-0095-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13369-011-0095-z).
- [3] G. Calugareanu, *Lattice Concepts of Module Theory*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.
- [4] J. Clark, C. Lomp, N. Vanaja, and R. Wisbauer, *Lifting Modules: Supplements and Projectivity in Module Theory*. Basel: Frontiers in Mathematics, Birkhäuser, 2006. doi: [10.1007/3-7643-7573-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-7643-7573-6).
- [5] Y. Wang and N. Ding, "Generalized supplemented modules," *Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 10, no. 6, pp. 1589–1601, 2006.
- [6] R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory*. Philadelphia: Gordon and Breach, 1991.
- [7] H. Zöschinger, "Komplementierte moduln Über dedekindringen," *J. Algebra*, vol. 29, pp. 43–56, 1974.

*Authors' addresses***Çiğdem Biçer**

Ondokuz Mayıs University, Department of Mathematics, Kurupelit, Atakum Samsun, Turkey

E-mail address: cigdem.bicer184@hotmail.com

Celil Nebiyev

Ondokuz Mayıs University, Department of Mathematics, Kurupelit, Atakum Samsun, Turkey

E-mail address: cnebiyev@omu.edu.tr

Ali Pancar

Ondokuz Mayıs University, Department of Mathematics, Kurupelit, Atakum Samsun, Turkey

E-mail address: apancar@omu.edu.tr