



WALKS IN PATH GRAPH ON FOUR VERTICES AND FIBONACCI SEQUENCE

RADIM HOŠEK

Received 05 April, 2016

Abstract. Using elementary knowledge of graph theory, we show that a path graph on four vertices exhibits *Fibonacci structure*. For arbitrary start and end vertices, the number of walks of any length is given by a Fibonacci number.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 05C38; 05C50; 11B39

Keywords: path graph, walk in graph, Fibonacci sequence, Fibonacci structure

The Fibonacci sequence is a well known mathematical object, having interesting connections to different features in nature. It is given by a simple recurrence formula.

Definition 1. A sequence $\Phi : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ defined with

$$\Phi(n+1) = \Phi(n) + \Phi(n-1), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (0.1)$$

with initial values $\Phi(0) = 0$, $\Phi(1) = 1$ is called the *Fibonacci sequence*.

In this short comment we reveal the link between Fibonacci numbers and number of walks in a path graph on four vertices, i.e. of length three, see graph P_3 in Figure 1. Note that the Fibonacci structure is linked with P_3 and cannot be generalized to P_N .



FIGURE 1. Path-graph of length three.

To avoid any confusion, we recall the definition of a walk in a graph, see [2, p. 9]. A *walk* of length k in graph G is a non-empty alternating sequence $v_0 e_0 v_1 e_1 \dots e_{k-1} v_k$ of vertices and edges in G such that $e_i = \{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ for all $i < k$.

We denote with

$$A := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (0.2)$$

the *adjacency* matrix of P_3 . An elementary assertion of graph theory (see [1, Lemma 2.5]) gives the *number of walks of length k between vertices i and j* as the appropriate element of k -th power of the adjacency matrix B of the graph, i.e.

$$w_{k,i,j} := \{B^k\}_{i,j}. \quad (0.3)$$

The following lemma enables us to compute powers of adjacency matrix of a path graph (regardless the number of vertices).

Lemma 1. *Let $B \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ be an adjacency matrix of a path graph of length $N - 1$. Then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds that*

$$\{B^{k+1}\}_{i,j} = \{B^k\}_{i-1,j} + \{B^k\}_{i+1,j}, \quad (0.4)$$

and

$$\{B^{k+1}\}_{i,j} = \{B^k\}_{i,j-1} + \{B^k\}_{i,j+1}, \quad (0.5)$$

where we set $\{B^p\}_{l,m} = 0$ for any $p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(l, m) \notin \{1, \dots, N\}^2$.

Proof. The claim immediately follows from graph theory interpretation of (0.3): Any walk of length $k + 1$ between vertices i and j contains a unique subwalk of length k from either $i - 1$ or $i + 1$ (if such vertex exists) to j . Hence we can conclude (0.4), similar argument proves also (0.5). \square

Note that any path-graph is a bipartite graph, which implies non-existence of walk of odd length between vertices of the same parity (and walk of even length between vertices of different parity), i.e. $\{B^k\}_{i,j} = 0$ if the parity of k and $i + j$ differs. Using this property we define the following split of k -th power of the adjacency matrix of P_3 , to matrices of corner, edge and middle elements, as they represent three qualitatively distinct cases.

We set matrices C_k, E_k, M_k for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$\{C_k\}_{i,j} := \begin{cases} \{A^k\}_{i,j} & (i, j) \in \{1, 4\} \times \{1, 4\} \text{ and } (i + j + k) \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (0.6)$$

$$\{E_k\}_{i,j} := \begin{cases} \{A^k\}_{i,j} & (i, j) \in (\{2, 3\} \times \{1, 4\}) \cup (\{1, 4\} \times \{2, 3\}) \\ & \text{and } (i + j + k) \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (0.7)$$

$$\{M_k\}_{i,j} := \begin{cases} \{A^k\}_{i,j} & (i, j) \in \{2, 3\} \times \{2, 3\} \text{ and } (i + j + k) \text{ even,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (0.8)$$

They create a *complete split* of A^k , i.e. $A^k = C_k + E_k + M_k$ and maximally one of them has a non-zero contribution for each element $\{A^k\}_{i,j}$, as it is illustrated in the following scheme.

$$A^k = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_k & 0 & C_k \\ E_k & 0 & M_k & 0 \\ 0 & M_k & 0 & E_k \\ C_k & 0 & E_k & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^l = \begin{pmatrix} C_l & 0 & E_l & 0 \\ 0 & M_l & 0 & E_l \\ E_l & 0 & M_l & 0 \\ 0 & E_l & 0 & C_l \end{pmatrix},$$

for $k = 2s - 1, l = 2s, s \in \mathbb{N}$.

We call elements defined by first lines in (0.6), (0.7) or (0.8) *structural non-zeros*. Note that C_1 is a zero matrix, the only one whose structural non-zeros attain zero value.

Matrix C_k of corner elements represents walks between vertices of the degree 1 (not necessarily distinct), E_k represents the walks connecting vertices with different degrees and finally M_k represents the walks between vertices with degree equal to 2.

We use the previously established split in the next Theorem.

Theorem 1. *For given $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the structural non-zeros of matrix $C_k(E_k, M_k)$ are equal to a constant, which we denote by $c_k(e_k, m_k)$. Moreover, it holds that*

$$c_k = \Phi(k - 1), \quad e_k = \Phi(k), \quad m_k = \Phi(k + 1). \quad (0.9)$$

Proof. Applying (0.5) to all structural non-zeros of C_{k+1} we get

$$c_{k+1} = e_k. \quad (0.10)$$

Applying (0.4) and (0.5) to structural non-zeros of E_{k+1} gives two relations

$$e_{k+1} = m_k, \quad (0.11)$$

$$e_{k+1} = c_k + e_k. \quad (0.12)$$

Combining (0.10) and (0.12) one gets

$$c_{k+2} = e_{k+1} = c_k + e_k = c_{k+1} + c_k.$$

From (0.2) we deduce initial values $c_1 = 0$ and, with the help of (0.10), $c_2 = e_1 = 1$. Hence we conclude $c_k = \Phi(k - 1)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then (0.10) and (0.11) imply $e_k = \Phi(k)$ and $m_k = \Phi(k + 1)$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. \square

We can conclude from Theorem 1, that any non-zero entry in A^k is a Fibonacci number. In other words, number of k -walks in P_3 from i to j is represented by a Fibonacci number $\Phi(n) = \Phi(n(i, j, k))$, where $n(i, j, k) \in \{k - 1, k, k + 1\}$ according to (0.6)–(0.8) and Theorem 1.

Remark 1. The link between Fibonacci sequence and walks in path graph was revealed during the research on a generalization of bistable equation with non-smooth minima, see [3] or [4]. When substituting the double-well potential with a *quadruple-well* one, then the nontrivial and qualitatively distinct stationary solutions can be

represented by walks in graph P_3 , provided additional geometrical constraints are met by the potential to ensure the transitions between minima have the equal length.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author was supported by the Grant 13-00863S of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Biggs, *Algebraic graph theory. 2nd ed.*, 2nd ed. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1994. doi: [10.1017/CBO9780511608704](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511608704).
- [2] R. Diestel, *Graph theory. 3rd revised and updated ed.*, 3rd ed. Berlin: Springer, 2005.
- [3] P. Drábek and R. Hošek, “Properties of solution diagrams for bistable equations.” *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.*, vol. 2015, no. 156, pp. 1–19, 2015.
- [4] P. Drábek, R. F. Manásevich, and P. Takáč, “Manifolds of critical points in a quasilinear model for phase transitions.” in *Nonlinear elliptic partial differential equations. Workshop in celebration of Jean-Pierre Gossez’s 65th birthday, Bruxelles, Belgium, September 2–4, 2009*. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2011, pp. 95–134.

Author’s address

Radim Hošek

R.H., Department of Mathematics and NTIS, University of West Bohemia, Univerzitní 22, 30614 Plzeň, Czech Republic,

E-mail address: radhost@kma.zcu.cz