

Miskolc Mathematical Notes Vol. 17 (2016), No. 1, pp. 357–364 HU e-ISSN 1787-2413 DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2016.1811

# ON THE FINITENESS OF BASS NUMBERS OF LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES OVER NOETHERIAN REGULAR LOCAL RINGS

## YAVAR IRANI

Received 16 October, 2015

Abstract. Let R be a commutative Noetherian regular local ring containing a field. Let I be an ideal of R and let  $\ell \ge 0$  be an integer. In this paper it is shown that for every finitely generated R-module M and each integer  $i \ge \ell$ , the Bass numbers of the R-module  $H_I^i(M)$  are finite, whenever, dim Supp $(H_I^i(R)) \le 1$ , for all  $i \ge \ell$ .

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 13D45; 14B15; 13E05

Keywords: Bass numbers, cominimax modules, local cohomology, regular ring

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let *R* denote a commutative Noetherian ring and let *I* be an ideal of *R*. In [10], Hartshorne defined an *R*-module *L* to be *I*-cofinite, if  $\text{Supp}(L) \subseteq V(I)$  and  $\text{Ext}_{R}^{i}(R/I, L)$  is finitely generated module for all *i*. He posed the following question:

Is the category  $\mathcal{M}(R, I)_{cof}$  of *I*-cofinite modules forms an Abelian subcategory of the category of all *R*-modules? That is, if  $f: M \longrightarrow N$  is an *R*-homomorphism of *I*-cofinite modules, are ker f and coker f *I*-cofinite?

Hartshorne proved that if I is a prime ideal of dimension one in a complete regular local ring R, then the answer to his question is yes. On the other hand, in [7], Delfino and Marley extended this result to arbitrary complete local rings. Kawasaki [13] generalized the Delfino and Marley's result for an arbitrary ideal I of dimension one in a local ring R. Recently, Bahmanpour et al in [4] and Melkersson in [19] have removed the local assumption on R.

Recall that, we say that M is a *minimax* module if there is a finitely generated submodule N of M, such that M/N is Artinian. The interesting class of minimax modules was introduced by H. Zöshinger in [20] and he has given in [20] and [21] many equivalent conditions for a module to be minimax. Also, the *R*-module M is

This work of the author was supported by a grant from Meshkin-Shahr branch Islamic Azad University.

said to be *I*-cominimax, if support of *M* is contained in V(I) and  $\operatorname{Ext}_{R}^{i}(R/I, M)$  is minimax for all  $i \geq 0$ . The concept of the *I*-cominimax modules were introduced in [2] as a generalization of important notion of *I*-cofinite modules.

In this paper as a generalization the main results of [4] and [19] to the class of cominimax modules we prove the following:

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring and let I be an ideal of R of dimension one. Let  $\mathscr{M}(R, I)_{com}$  denote the category of I-cominimax modules. Then  $\mathscr{M}(R, I)_{com}$  forms an Abelian subcategory of the category of all R-modules.

On the other hand, an important problem in commutative algebra is determining when the set of associated primes and the Bass numbers of the  $i^{th}$  local cohomology module  $H_I^i(M)$  are finite. Lyubeznik conjectured that,

If R is a regular ring and I is an ideal of R, then the local cohomology modules  $H_I^i(R)$  have finitely many associated prime ideals for all  $i \ge 0$ , (see [14, Remark 3.7(iii)]).

This conjecture have solved with an affirmative answer by Huneke and Sharp [12] and Lyubeznik in [14] and [15] for regular rings containing a field. Also, in [11] Huneke conjectured that,

For any ideal I in a regular local ring  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ , the Bass numbers

 $\mu^{j}(\mathfrak{p}, H_{I}^{i}(R)) = \dim_{k(\mathfrak{p})} \operatorname{Ext}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{j}(k(\mathfrak{p}), H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^{i}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ 

are finite for all *i* and *j*, where  $k(\mathfrak{p}) := R_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . In particular the injective resolution of the local cohomology has only finitely many copies of the injective hull of  $R/\mathfrak{p}$  for any  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

Huneke and Sharp [12] and Lyubeznik [14, 15] have shown that this conjecture holds for any regular local ring containing a field. But both of this conjectures are still open for the general case. Also, in some situations the second conjecture holds even for every finitely generated R-module instead of the ring R. (For example, see [1]).

The main purpose of this note is to prove the following:

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian regular local ring containing a field and let I be an ideal of R. Let  $\ell \geq 0$  be an integer such that dim Supp $(H_I^i(R)) \leq 1$ , for each  $i \geq \ell$ . Then for every finitely generated R-module M and for each integer  $i \geq \ell$ , the set Supp $(H_I^i(M))$  is finite and the Bass numbers of the R-module  $H_I^i(M)$  are finite.

Throughout this paper, R will always be a commutative Noetherian ring with nonzero identity and I will be an ideal of R. For any R-module M, the  $i^{th}$  local cohomology module of M with support in I is defined by

$$H_I^i(M) = \lim_{\substack{n \ge 1 }} \operatorname{Ext}_R^i(R/I^n, M).$$

We refer the reader to [9] or [6] for the basic properties of local cohomology.

For any ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  of R, we denote { $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R : \mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}$ } by  $V(\mathfrak{a})$ . For any unexplained notation and terminology we refer the reader to [6] and [17].

359

## 2. FINITENESS OF BASS NUMBERS OF LOCAL COHOMOLOGY MODULES

The following well known lemmata are crucial for the proof of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring and let I be an ideal of R with dim R/I = 1. Assume that M is an R-module and  $n \ge 0$  is an integer. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, M)$  is finite for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in V(I)$  and for all  $0 \le i \le n$ ;
- (2) The *R*-module  $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}_{R}(R/I, M)$  is minimax, for all integers  $0 \le i \le n$ .

Proof. See [5, Theorem 2.3].

Recall that for an R-module M, the cohomological dimension of M with respect to ideal I of R, is defined as

$$\operatorname{cd}(I, M) := \max\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : H_I^i(M) \neq 0\}.$$

**Lemma 2.** Let *R* be a Noetherian ring and let *M* be an *R*-module of finite dimension *d*. Then the followings are equivalent:

- (1) For each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$  and any integer  $0 \le i \le d$ , the Bass numbers  $\mu^i(\mathfrak{p}, M)$  are finite;
- (2) For each p ∈ Spec(R) and any integer i ≥ 0, the Bass numbers μ<sup>i</sup>(p, M) are finite.

*Proof.* (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Is clear.

 $(1)\Rightarrow(2)$  Using localization we may assume  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  is a local Noetherian ring and  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{m}$  is the unique maximal ideal of R. As by [6, Corollary 10.2.8] the Rmodule  $E_R(k)$ , the injective hull of k, is Artinian it follows from the hypothesis and from the definition of local cohomology modules that for any  $0 \le i \le d$  the R-module  $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$  is Artinian. But in view of [6, Theorem 6.1.2] we have  $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M) = 0$  for all integers i > d. Therefore for all integers  $i \ge 0$  the R-modules  $H^i_{\mathfrak{m}}(M)$  are Artinian and hence are  $\mathfrak{m}$ -cofinite. So the assertion follows from the [18, Proposition 3.9].  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.** Let R be a Noetherian ring and let  $\mathcal{C}^1_B(R)$  denote the category of all R-modules M with dim Supp $(M) \leq 1$  such that all Bass numbers of M are finite. Then  $\mathcal{C}^1_B(R)$  forms an Abelian subcategory of the category of all R-modules.

*Proof.* Let  $M, N \in \mathcal{C}^1_B(R)$  and let  $f : M \to N$  be an *R*-homomorphism. It is enough to prove that the *R*-modules ker(f) and coker(f) are in  $\mathcal{C}^1_B(R)$ .

Now, the exact sequence

 $0 \longrightarrow \ker(f) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \operatorname{im}(f) \longrightarrow 0,$ 

implies that for each  $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec}(R)$  and any  $0 \le i \le 1$  the Bass numbers  $\mu_R^i(\mathfrak{p}, \ker(f))$  are finite. So, by Lemma 2 it follows that  $\ker(f)$  is in  $\mathcal{C}_B^1(R)$ . Now the reminder section of the proof follows from the exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow \ker(f) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow \operatorname{im}(f) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{im}(f) \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow \operatorname{coker}(f) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Now, we are ready to state and prove our first main result.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring and let I be an ideal of R with dim R/I = 1. Let  $\mathcal{M}(R, I)_{com}$  denote the category of I-cominimax modules over R. Then  $\mathcal{M}(R, I)_{com}$  forms an Abelian subcategory of the category of all R-modules.

*Proof.* The assertion follows from Lemma 1 and 3.

The following lemma plays a key role in the proof of Proposition 1.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring and let I be an ideal of R. Let M be a finitely generated R-module of dimension d. Let  $\ell \ge 0$  be an integer such that  $\operatorname{cd}(I, M) \ge \ell$ . Assume that, for each  $i \ge \ell$ , the set  $\operatorname{Supp}(H_I^i(M))$  is finite and the Bass numbers of the R-module  $H_I^i(M)$  are finite. Then, for each  $i \ge \ell$ , the R-module  $H_I^i(M)$  is J-cominimax, where,

$$J:=\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p}\in\mathrm{Supp}(\oplus_{i\geq\ell}H_I^i(M))}\mathfrak{p}.$$

*Proof.* From the hypothesis  $cd(I, M) \ge \ell$  it follows that  $Sup(\bigoplus_{i \ge \ell} H_I^i(M)) \ne \emptyset$ . Also since by the hypothesis, for each  $\ell \ge 0$ , the set  $Sup(H_I^i(M))$  is finite and by Grothendiek's Vanishing Theorem, for every i > d, we have  $H_I^i(M) = 0$ , it follows that the set  $Sup(\bigoplus_{i \ge \ell} H_I^i(M))$  is finite and hence  $dim(R/J) \le 1$ . Now if dim(R/J) = 0, then we have J = m and so the assertion is clear. So, we may assume dim(R/J) = 1. But in this situation the assertion follows immediately from Lemma 1. (Note that  $Sup(H_I^i(M)) \subseteq V(J)$ , for each  $i \ge \ell$ ).

The following lemma and its corollary is needed in the proof of Proposition 1.

**Lemma 5.** Let *R* be a Noetherian ring and let *I* be an ideal of *R*. Let *M* and *N* be two finitely generated *R*-modules and let  $\ell \ge 0$  be an integer. If  $\text{Supp}(N) \subseteq \text{Supp}(M)$ , then we have

$$\bigcup_{i \ge \ell} \operatorname{Supp}(H_I^i(N)) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \ge \ell} \operatorname{Supp}(H_I^i(M)).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \bigcup_{i \ge \ell} \operatorname{Supp}(H_I^i(N))$ . Then there exists an integer  $j \ge \ell$  such that  $(H_I^j(N))_{\mathfrak{p}} \ne 0$ , which implies that  $H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^j(N_{\mathfrak{p}}) \ne 0$ . Therefore, it follows from the definition that  $\operatorname{cd}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}}) \ge j$ . Now as  $\operatorname{Supp}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}) \subseteq \operatorname{Supp}(M_{\mathfrak{p}})$  it follows from [8, Theorem 2.2], that  $\operatorname{cd}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \ge \operatorname{cd}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, N_{\mathfrak{p}}) \ge j \ge \ell$ . So, if we have  $\operatorname{cd}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_{\mathfrak{p}}) = t$ , then we have  $H_{IR_{\mathfrak{p}}}^t(M_{\mathfrak{p}}) \ne 0$ . Therefore,  $(H_I^t(M))_{\mathfrak{p}} \ne 0$  and hence  $\mathfrak{p} \in \bigcup_{i \ge \ell} \operatorname{Supp}(H_I^i(M))$ .

360

**Corollary 1.** Let R be a Noetherian ring and let I be an ideal of R. Let M a finitely generated R-modules and  $\ell \ge 0$  be an integer. Then

$$\bigcup_{i \ge \ell} \operatorname{Supp}(H_I^i(M)) \subseteq \bigcup_{i \ge \ell} \operatorname{Supp}(H_I^i(R)).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\text{Supp}(M) \subseteq \text{Spec}(R) = \text{Supp}(R)$ , the assertion follows immediately from Lemma 5.

The following proposition is crucial for the proof of Theorem 2.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local ring and let I be an ideal of R. Let  $\ell \leq \operatorname{cd}(I, R)$  be an integer such that for each  $i \geq \ell$ , the set  $\operatorname{Supp}(H_I^i(R))$  is finite and the Bass numbers of the R-module  $H_I^i(R)$  are finite. Then the following statements hold:

(1) For every finitely generated *R*-module *M* with finite projective dimension and each integer  $i \ge \ell$ , the *R*-module  $H_I^i(M)$  is *J*-cominimax, where,

$$J := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(\bigoplus_{i > \ell} H^i_t(R))} \mathfrak{p}.$$

(2) For every finitely generated *R*-module *M* with finite projective dimension and for each integer  $i \ge l$ , the set  $\text{Supp}(H_I^i(M))$  is finite and the Bass numbers of the *R*-module  $H_I^i(M)$  are finite.

*Proof.* (1) For every finitely generated *R*-module *M*, by the Grothendiek's Vanishing Theorem we have  $H_I^i(M) = 0$ , for each integer  $i > \dim(R)$  and so we have  $\ell \le \dim(R)$ . Now, we argue by induction on  $t := \dim(R) - \ell$ . If t = 0, then for every finitely generated *R*-module *M* (even not necessary with finite projective dimension), using Grothendiek's Vanishing Theorem and [18, Proposition 5.1], it is straightforward to see that, the *R*-module  $H_I^{\dim(R)}(M)$  is *I*-cofinite and hence is *I*-cominimax. Now, if  $H_I^{\dim(R)}(M) \ne 0$ , then the assertion follows from Corollary 1 and [2, Corollary 2.8]. (Note that in this situation we have  $\{\mathfrak{m}\} = \operatorname{Supp}(H_I^{\dim(R)}(M)) = V(J) \subseteq V(I)$ ). Now, let t > 0 and inductively, the assertion has been proved for all smaller values of *t* for all finitely generated *R*-modules with finite projective dimension. Then by inductive hypothesis, for every finitely generated *R*-module  $H_I^i(M)$  is  $J_1$ -cominimax, where,

$$J_1 := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(\bigoplus_{i \ge \ell+1} H^i_I(R))} \mathfrak{p}$$

Then as by the hypothesis the set  $\text{Supp}(H_I^{\ell}(R))$  is finite, it follows that  $\dim(R/\mathfrak{q}) \leq 1$  for every  $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Supp}(H_I^{\ell}(R))$  and so using Lemma 1, it follows that, for every finitely

generated *R*-module *M* with finite projective dimension and each  $i \ge l + 1$ , the *R*-module  $H_I^i(M)$  is *J*-cominimax, where,

$$J := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(\bigoplus_{i \ge \ell} H^i_I(R))} \mathfrak{p}.$$

(Note that  $\dim(R/J) \leq 1$ ). Next, let M be an arbitrary non-zero finitely generated R-module with finite projective dimension. Then, we argue on  $s := \operatorname{projdim}_R(M)$  that, the R-module  $H_I^{\ell}(M)$  is J-cominimax. For s = 0, the assertion follows from Lemma 4. Now let s > 0 and the result has been proved for all finitely generated R-modules with finite projective dimension smaller than s. Let M be a finitely generated R-module with projdim $_R(M) = s$ . Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \to K \to F \to M \to 0, \tag{2.1}$$

for some finitely generated free *R*-modules *F* and some finitely generated *R*-modules *K* with projdim<sub>*R*</sub>(*K*) = *s* - 1. Then by inductive hypothesis of the second inductive argument, the *R*-modules  $H_I^{\ell}(K)$  and  $H_I^{\ell}(F)$  are *J*-cominimax. Moreover, by the inductive hypothesis of the first inductive argument, the *R*-modules  $H_I^{\ell+1}(K)$  and  $H_I^{\ell+1}(F)$  are *J*-cominimax. On the other hand, the exact sequence 2.1 induces the following exact sequence

$$H_{I}^{\ell}(K) \xrightarrow{f} H_{I}^{\ell}(F) \to H_{I}^{\ell}(M) \to H_{I}^{\ell+1}(K) \xrightarrow{g} H_{I}^{\ell+1}(F).$$
(2.2)

Now the exact sequence 2.2 yields the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Coker}(f) \to H_I^{\ell}(M) \to \operatorname{Ker}(g) \to 0.$$
(2.3)

On the other hand, the exact sequence 2.3 induces the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R/J, \operatorname{Coker}(f)) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R/J, H_{I}^{\ell}(M)) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R/J, \operatorname{Ker}(g))$$

$$\to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/J,\operatorname{Coker}(f))\to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/J,H^\ell_I(M))\to \operatorname{Ext}^1_R(R/J,\operatorname{Ker}(g))\to\cdots,$$

which using [3, Lemma 2.1] and Theorem 1, implies that the *R*-module  $H_I^{\ell}(M)$  is *J*-cominimax. This completes the second inductive step. Now the inductive step of the first inductive argument is complete, too.

(2) The assertion follows immediately from (i), using Lemma 1 and Corollary 1.  $\hfill \Box$ 

Now we are ready to state and prove our second main result.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian local regular ring containing a field and let I be an ideal of R. Let  $0 \le \ell \le \operatorname{cd}(I, R)$  be an integer such that  $\dim \operatorname{Supp}(H_I^i(R)) \le 1$ , for each  $i \ge \ell$ . Then the following statements hold:

362

363

(1) For every finitely generated *R*-module *M* and each integer  $i \ge l$ , the *R*-module  $H_I^i(M)$  is *J*-cominimax, where,

$$J := \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}(\bigoplus_{i \ge \ell} H_I^i(R))} \mathfrak{p}.$$

(2) For every finitely generated *R*-module *M* and for each integer  $i \ge l$ , the set  $\operatorname{Supp}(H_I^i(M))$  is finite and the Bass numbers of the *R*-module  $H_I^i(M)$  are finite.

*Proof.* In view of [12] and [14, 15], the Bass numbers of the *R*-module  $H_I^i(R)$  are finite, for all *i*. Moreover, in view of [12] and [14, 16], the set  $\operatorname{Ass}_R(H_I^i(R))$  is finite, for all *i*. In particular, if dim  $\operatorname{Supp}(H_I^i(R)) \leq 1$  then the set  $\operatorname{Supp}(H_I^i(R))$  is finite. Now, as  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  is a regular ring, it follows that any finitely generated *R*-module has finite projective dimension. Now, we can conclude the assertion immediately from Proposition 1.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a Noetherian regular local ring of dimension  $d \ge 1$ , containing a field. Then for any finitely generated *R*-module *M*, the Bass numbers of the local cohomology module  $H_I^i(M)$  are finite, for all integers  $i \ge d - 1$ .

*Proof.* In view of the main results of [12], [14] and [15], the set  $\operatorname{Ass}_R(H_I^i(R))$  is finite, for all integers  $i \ge d-1$ . Also, in view of Grothendiek's Vanishing Theorem we have dim  $\operatorname{Supp}(H_I^i(R)) \le 1$ , for all integers  $i \ge d-1$ . Now, it is clear that dim  $\operatorname{Supp}(H_I^i(R)) \le 1$ , for each  $i \ge d-1$  and hence the assertion follows from Theorem 2.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The author is deeply grateful to the referee for a very careful reading of the manuscript and many valuable suggestions in improving the quality of the paper. Also, the author would like to thank the Islamic Azad University-Meshkin-Shahr Branch for its financial support.

#### REFERENCES

- N. Abazari and K. Bahmanpour, "On the finiteness of Bass numbers of local cohomology modules," J. Alg. Appl., vol. 10, no. 4, pp. 783–791, 2011, doi: 10.1142/S0219498811004926.
- [2] J. Azami, R. Naghipour, and B. Vakili, "Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules for a-minimax modules," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 137, no. 2, pp. 439–448, 2009, doi: 10.1090/S0002-9939-08-09530-0.
- [3] K. Bahmanpour and R. Naghipour, "On the cofiniteness of local cohomology modules," Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 136, no. 7, pp. 2359–2363, 2008, doi: 10.1090/S0002-9939-08-09260-5.
- [4] K. Bahmanpour, R. Naghipour, and M. Sedghi, "On the category of cofinite modules which is Abelian," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 142, no. 4, pp. 1101–1107, 2014, doi: 10.1090/S0002-9939-2014-11836-3.

- [5] K. Bahmanpour, R. Naghipour, and M. Sedghi, "On the finiteness of Bass numbers of local cohomology modules and cominimaxness," *Houston J. Math.*, vol. 40, no. 2, pp. 319–337, 2014.
- [6] M. Brodmann and R. Sharp, *Local cohomology; an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, ser. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1998, vol. 60.
- [7] D. Delfino and T. Marley, "Cofinite modules and local cohomology," J. Pure Appl. Algebra, vol. 121, no. 1, pp. 45–52, 1997, doi: 10.1016/S0022-4049(96)00044-8.
- [8] K. Divaani-Aazar, R. Naghipour, and M. Tousi, "Cohomological dimension of certain algebraic varieties," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 130, no. 12, pp. 3537–3544, 2002, doi: 10.1090/S0002-9939-02-06500-0.
- [9] A. Grothendieck, *Local cohomology*, ser. Notes by R. Hartshorne, Lecture Notes in Math. Berlin - Heidelberg - New York: Springer-Verlag., 1967, vol. 41.
- [10] R. Hartshorne, "Affine duality and cofiniteness," *Invent. Math.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 145–164, 1970, doi: 10.1007/BF01404554.
- [11] C. Huneke, "Problems on local cohomology," Free resolutions in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, (Sundance, Utah, 1990), Research Notes in Mathematics, Boston, MA, Jones and Bartlett Publishers, vol. 2, pp. 93–108, 1994.
- [12] C. Huneke and R. Sharp, "Bass numbers of local cohomology modules," *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 339, no. 2, pp. 765–779, 1993, doi: 10.1090/S0002-9947-1993-1124167-6.
- [13] K.-I. Kawasaki, "On a category of cofinite modules which is Abelian," *Math. Z.*, vol. 269, no. 1, pp. 587–608, 2011, doi: 10.1007/s00209-010-0751-0.
- [14] G. Lyubeznik, "Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules (an application of D-modules to commutative algebra)," *Invent. Math.*, vol. 113, no. 1, pp. 41–55, 1993, doi: 10.1007/BF01244301.
- [15] G. Lyubeznik, "F-modules: applications to local cohomology modules and D-modules in characteristic p > 0," J. Reine Angew. Math., vol. 491, pp. 65–130, 1997, doi: 10.1515/crll.1997.491.65.
- [16] G. Lyubeznik, "Finiteness properties of local cohomology modules for regular local rings of mixed chartacteristic: the unramified case," *Comm. Algebra*, vol. 28, no. 12, pp. 5867–5882, 2000, doi: 10.1080/00927870008827193.
- [17] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, paperback ed., ser. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 1989, vol. 8.
- [18] L. Melkersson, "Modules cofinite with respect to an ideal," J. Algebra, vol. 285, no. 2, pp. 649– 668, 2005, doi: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2004.08.037.
- [19] L. Melkersson, "Cofiniteness with respect to ideals of dimension one," J. Algebra, vol. 372, pp. 459–462, 2012, doi: 10.1016/j.jalgebra.2012.10.005.
- [20] H. Zöschinger, "Minimax moduln," J. Algebra, vol. 102, pp. 1-32, 1986.
- [21] H. Zöschinger, "Über die maximalbedingung für radikalvolle untermoduln," *Hokkaido Math. J.*, vol. 17, no. 1, pp. 101–116, 1988.

#### Author's address

#### Yavar Irani

Islamic Azad University Meshkin-Shahr branch, Department of Mathematics, P.O. Box 5661645936, Ardabil, Iran

E-mail address: yavarir@ymail.com

364