



## $\beta_*$ RELATION ON LATTICES

CELİL NEBİYEYEV AND HASAN HÜSEYİN ÖKTEN

Received 02 October, 2015

**Abstract.** In this paper, we generalize  $\beta^*$  relation on submodules of a module (see [1]) to elements of a complete modular lattice. Let  $L$  be a complete modular lattice. We say  $a, b \in L$  are  $\beta_*$  equivalent,  $a\beta_*b$ , if and only if for each  $t \in L$  such that  $a \vee t = 1$  then  $b \vee t = 1$  and for each  $k \in L$  such that  $b \vee k = 1$  then  $a \vee k = 1$ , this is equivalent to  $a \vee b \ll 1/a$  and  $a \vee b \ll 1/b$ . We show that the  $\beta_*$  relation is an equivalence relation. Then, we examine  $\beta_*$  relation on weakly supplemented lattices. Finally, we show that  $L$  is weakly supplemented if and only if for every  $x \in L$ ,  $x$  is equivalent to a weak supplement in  $L$ .

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 06C05; 16D10

**Keywords:**  $\beta_*$ -relation, weakly supplemented lattice, complemented lattice, amply supplemented lattice, hollow lattice

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper,  $L$  denotes a complete modular lattice with the smallest element 0 and the greatest element 1. A lattice we will mean a complete modular lattice. In a lattice  $L$ , an element  $1 \neq m \in L$  is called *maximal* in  $L$  if there is no element between  $m$  and 1. An element  $a$  of  $L$  called *small* in  $L$ , if  $a \vee b \neq 1$  holds for every  $b \neq 1$ . This is denoted by  $a \ll L$ . An element  $c$  of  $L$  is called a *supplement* of  $b$  in  $L$  if it is minimal relative to the property  $b \vee c = 1$ . Equivalently, an element  $c$  is a supplement of  $b$  in  $L$  if and only if  $b \vee c = 1$  and  $b \wedge c \ll c/0$ . An element  $c$  of  $L$  is called a *weak supplement* of  $b$  in  $L$  if  $b \vee c = 1$  and  $b \wedge c \ll L$ . A lattice  $L$  is called *supplemented* (respectively, *weakly supplemented*) if each element of  $L$  has a supplement (respectively, weak supplement) in  $L$ . For  $a \in L$ , we said that  $b \in L$  is a *complement* of  $a$  in  $L$  if  $a \wedge b = 0$  and  $a \vee b = 1$  (see [3]). It is denoted by  $a \oplus b = 1$  (see [2]). A lattice  $L$  is called *complemented* if each element in  $L$  has at least one complement in  $L$  (see [2]). A lattice  $L$  is called *hollow* if every element with distinct from 1 small in  $L$ . An element  $a$  of  $L$  has *ample supplements* in  $L$  if for every  $t \in L$  with  $a \vee t = 1$ , there is a supplement  $t'$  of  $a$  with  $t' \leq t$ .  $L$  is called *amply supplemented* if all elements of  $L$  have ample supplements in  $L$ . In a lattice  $L$ , the meet of all maximal elements in  $L$  is called *radical* of  $L$ , denoted by  $rad(L)$ . If  $a \in L$  such that  $a \ll L$  then  $a \leq rad(L)$  (see [4], Proposition 6). For

$a, b \in L$  such that  $a \leq b$ , we said that  $b$  lies above  $a$  if  $b \ll 1/a$ . A lattice  $L$  is called *distributive* if for any elements  $a, b, c$  of  $L$ ,  $a \wedge (b \vee c) = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$  holds.

## 2. $\beta_*$ RELATION

**Definition 1.** Let  $a, b$  be elements of  $L$ . We define a relation  $\beta_*$  on the elements of  $L$  by  $a\beta_*b$  if and only if for each  $t \in L$  such that  $a \vee t = 1$  then  $b \vee t = 1$  and for each  $k \in L$  such that  $b \vee k = 1$  then  $a \vee k = 1$ .

**Lemma 1.**  $\beta_*$  is an equivalence relation.

*Proof.* The reflexive and symmetric properties are clear. For transitivity, assume  $a\beta_*b$  and  $b\beta_*c$ . Let  $t \in L$  such that  $a \vee t = 1$ . Since  $a\beta_*b$ ,  $b \vee t = 1$ . So, by  $b\beta_*c$ ,  $c \vee t = 1$ . Similarly, for each  $k \in L$  such that  $c \vee k = 1$  then  $a \vee k = 1$ . Finally  $a\beta_*c$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.** Let  $a, b$  be elements of  $L$ . Then,

- (1)  $a\beta_*b$  if and only if  $a \vee c = 1$  and  $b \vee c = 1$  for each  $c \in L$  such that  $a \vee b \vee c = 1$ .
- (2)  $a\beta_*b$  if and only if  $a \vee b \ll 1/a$  and  $a \vee b \ll 1/b$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $(\Rightarrow)$  Let  $a\beta_*b$  and  $c \in L$  such that  $a \vee b \vee c = 1$ . Since  $a \vee (b \vee c) = 1$  and  $a\beta_*b$ ,  $b \vee (b \vee c) = 1$ . Hence  $b \vee c = 1$ . Similarly,  $a \vee c = 1$ .  
 $(\Leftarrow)$  Let  $t \in L$  such that  $a \vee t = 1$ . Then  $a \vee b \vee t = 1$ . By hypothesis,  $b \vee t = 1$ . Similarly, if  $k \in L$  with  $b \vee k = 1$  then  $a \vee k = 1$ . So  $a\beta_*b$ .  
(2)  $(\Rightarrow)$  Let  $a\beta_*b$  and  $t \in 1/a$  such that  $a \vee b \vee t = 1$ . Since  $a\beta_*b$ ,  $a \vee t = 1$ . Then  $t = 1$ . Therefore  $a \vee b \ll 1/a$ . Similarly,  $a \vee b \ll 1/b$ .  
 $(\Leftarrow)$  Let  $a \vee b \ll 1/a$ ,  $a \vee b \ll 1/b$  and  $t \in L$  such that  $a \vee t = 1$ . So  $(a \vee b) \vee (b \vee t) = a \vee b \vee t = 1$ . Since  $a \vee b \ll 1/b$  and  $b \vee t \in 1/b$ ,  $b \vee t = 1$ . Similarly, for each  $k \in L$  such that  $b \vee k = 1$  then  $a \vee k = 1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.** Let  $a, b$  be elements of  $L$ . Then,

- (1) If  $a \ll L$  and  $a\beta_*b$  then  $b \ll L$ .
- (2) All small elements in  $L$  is equivalent with  $\beta_*$  equivalence relation.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $a\beta_*b$ ,  $a \ll L$  and  $t \in L$  such that  $b \vee t = 1$ . Hence  $a \vee t = 1$ . Since  $a \ll L$ ,  $t = 1$ . Thus  $b \ll L$ .  
(2) Let  $a \ll L$  and  $b \ll L$  for  $a, b \in L$ . Since  $a \ll L$ , if  $a \vee t = 1$  then  $t = 1$ . Therefore  $b \vee t = 1$ . Similarly,  $a \vee k = 1$  for each  $k \in L$  such that  $b \vee k = 1$ . Thus  $a\beta_*b$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 1.**  $L$  is hollow if and only if all elements with distinct from 1 in  $L$  are equivalent with  $\beta_*$  relation.

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let  $L$  be hollow. Then all elements of  $L$  with distinct from 1 are small in  $L$ . Then by Theorem 2 (2), all elements with distinct from 1 in  $L$  are equivalent with  $\beta_*$  relation.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let all elements of  $L$  with distinct from 1 be equivalent to each other. Let  $a, t \in L$ ,  $a \neq 1$  and  $a \vee t = 1$ . If  $t \neq 1$ , then by hypothesis  $a\beta_*t$  and  $t = t \vee t = 1$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $t = 1$  and  $a \ll L$ . Therefore  $L$  is hollow.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.** Let  $a, b$  be elements of  $L$  such that  $a \leq b$ . If  $b$  lies above  $a$ , then  $a\beta_*b$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $b$  lies above  $a$ . Then,  $b \ll 1/a$ . Since  $a \leq b$  for any  $t \in L$  such that  $a \vee t = 1$ ,  $b \vee t = 1$ . Conversely, let  $k \in L$  with  $b \vee k = 1$ . Then  $b \vee a \vee k = 1$ . Since  $b \ll 1/a$  and  $a \vee k \in 1/a$ ,  $a \vee k = 1$ . Hence  $a\beta_*b$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $a, b, c$  be elements of  $L$ . If  $a \vee b = 1$  and  $(a \wedge b) \vee c = 1$ , then  $a \vee (b \wedge c) = b \vee (a \wedge c) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $a \vee b = 1$  and  $(a \wedge b) \vee c = 1$ . Since  $(a \wedge b) \vee c = 1$ ,  $a = a \wedge 1 = a \wedge [(a \wedge b) \vee c] = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c)$ . Then  $1 = a \vee b = (a \wedge b) \vee (a \wedge c) \vee b = b \vee (a \wedge c)$ . Similarly  $a \vee (b \wedge c) = 1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $a, b \in L$ . If  $a\beta_*b$  then the following conditions hold.

- (1) If there exist supplements of  $a$  and  $b$  then these are the same.
- (2) If there exist weak supplements of  $a$  and  $b$  then these are the same.

*Proof.* (1) Let  $c$  be a supplement of  $a$ . Then  $a \vee c = 1$ . Since  $a\beta_*b$ ,  $b \vee c = 1$ . Let  $d \in L$  such that  $d \leq c$  and  $b \vee d = 1$ . Therefore  $a \vee d = 1$ . Since  $c$  is a supplement of  $a$  and  $d \leq c$ ,  $d = c$ . Then  $c$  is a supplement of  $b$ . Similarly, interchanging the roles of  $a$  and  $b$  we can show that each supplement of  $b$  is also a supplement of  $a$ .

- (2) Let  $a\beta_*b$  and  $c$  be a weak supplement of  $a$  in  $L$ . Therefore  $a \vee c = 1$  and  $a \wedge c \ll L$ . Since  $a\beta_*b$  and  $a \vee c = 1$ ,  $b \vee c = 1$ . Let  $t$  be an element of  $L$  such that  $(b \wedge c) \vee t = 1$ . By Lemma 2,  $b \vee (c \wedge t) = 1$  and since  $a\beta_*b$ ,  $a \vee (c \wedge t) = 1$ . Then by also Lemma 2,  $(a \wedge c) \vee t = 1$  and since  $a \wedge c \ll L$ ,  $t = 1$ . Therefore  $b \wedge c \ll L$  and so  $c$  is also a weak supplement of  $b$ . Similarly, interchanging the roles of  $a$  and  $b$  we can show that each weak supplement of  $b$  is also a weak supplement of  $a$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.** Let  $L$  be an amply supplemented lattice and  $a, b \in L$ . If supplements of  $a$  and  $b$  in  $L$  are the same then  $a\beta_*b$ .

*Proof.* Let  $t \in L$  such that  $a \vee t = 1$ . Since  $L$  is amply supplemented, there exists a supplement  $r$  of  $a$  in  $L$  such that  $r \leq t$ . By the hypothesis,  $r$  is also a supplement of  $b$ . Then  $b \vee r = 1$ . Since  $r \leq t$ ,  $b \vee t = 1$ . Similarly, interchanging the roles of  $a$  and  $b$ .

$b$  we can show that  $a \vee k = 1$  for any element  $k$  of  $L$  such that  $b \vee k = 1$ . Therefore  $a\beta_*b$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $x, y, c \in L$  such that  $x \leq y$  and  $c$  is a weak supplement of  $x$  in  $L$ . Then  $x\beta_*y$  if and only if  $y \wedge c \ll L$ .*

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$ : Clear from Theorem 4 (2).

$(\Leftarrow)$ : Since  $x \leq y$ , for any element  $t$  of  $L$  such that  $x \vee t = 1$ ,  $y \vee t = 1$ . Let  $k \in L$  such that  $y \vee k = 1$ . Since  $c$  is weak supplement of  $x$  in  $L$ ,  $x \vee c = 1$  and  $x \wedge c \ll L$ . Therefore  $y \wedge (x \vee c) = 1 \wedge y$ , and so  $y = x \vee (y \wedge c)$ . Hence  $x \vee (y \wedge c) \vee k = 1$ . Since  $y \wedge c \ll L$ ,  $x \vee k = 1$ . Thus  $x\beta_*y$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $x, y, z, a, b \in L$  such that  $a \oplus b = 1$  and  $y$  is a supplement of  $x$  in  $L$ . Then*

- (1) *If  $z\beta_*y$  then  $z/(z \wedge x) \cong y/(y \wedge x)$ .*
- (2) *If  $z\beta_*b$  then  $z/(z \wedge a) \cong b/0$ .*
- (3) *Let  $z \leq b$ . Then  $z\beta_*b$  if and only if  $z = b$ .*
- (4) *Let  $b \leq z$ . Then  $z\beta_*b$  if and only if  $z \wedge a \ll L$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Since  $y$  is a supplement of  $x$  in  $L$  and  $z\beta_*y$ ,  $x \vee y = x \vee z = 1$ . Since  $z/(z \wedge x) \cong (z \vee x)/x$  and  $(y \vee x)/x \cong y/(y \wedge x)$ ,  $z/(z \wedge x) \cong y/(y \wedge x)$ . Thus  $z/(z \wedge x) \cong y/(y \wedge x)$ .

(2) By 6 (1),  $z/(z \wedge a) \cong b/(a \wedge b)$ . Since  $a \oplus b = 1$ ,  $z/(z \wedge a) \cong b/0$ .

(3)  $(\Rightarrow)$ : Since  $a \oplus b = 1$  and  $z\beta_*b$ ,  $a \vee z = 1$ . Also, since  $b$  is a supplement of  $a$  in  $L$  and  $z \leq b$ ,  $z = b$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$  Clear from reflexive property of  $\beta_*$ .

(4)  $(\Rightarrow)$ : Since  $a$  is a weak supplement of  $b$  and  $z\beta_*b$ , it follows from Theorem 4 (2) that  $a$  is also a weak supplement of  $z$  in  $L$ . Hence  $z \wedge a \ll L$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$  It is clear from Corollary 2.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $L$  be a distributive lattice and  $a, b \in L$ . If  $a \oplus b = 1$  and  $a\beta_*x$ , then  $a \leq x$  and  $b \wedge x \ll L$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $a \oplus b = 1$  and  $a\beta_*x$ ,  $x \vee b = 1$ . Hence  $a \wedge (x \vee b) = a \wedge 1 = a$ . By the distributive property,  $(a \wedge x) \vee (a \wedge b) = a$ . Since  $a \wedge b = 0$ ,  $a \wedge x = a$ , and so  $a \leq x$ . Also since  $x\beta_*a$  and  $a \leq x$ ,  $b \wedge x \ll L$  by Theorem 6 (4).  $\square$

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $L$  be a distributive lattice and  $x \in L$ . If  $x\beta_*y$  and there exists a decomposition  $a \oplus b = 1$  such that  $a \leq x$  and  $b \wedge x \ll L$ , then  $a \leq y$  and  $b \wedge y \ll L$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $a \leq x$  and  $b \wedge x \ll L$ ,  $a\beta_*x$  by Theorem 6 (4). Since  $x\beta_*y$  and  $a\beta_*x$ ,  $a\beta_*y$ . By Theorem 7,  $a \leq y$  and  $b \wedge y \ll L$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** *Let  $x \in L$  and  $k$  be a maximal element of  $L$ .*

- (1) *If  $a, b \in L$  such that  $a \vee b = 1$ ,  $b \neq 1$  and  $x\beta_*a$  then  $x \not\leq b$ .*

- (2) If  $x\beta_*y$  and  $x \leq k$  then  $y \leq k$ .
- (3) If  $x\beta_*k$  then  $x \leq k$ .
- (4) If  $x\beta_*k$  and  $w$  is a weak supplement of  $x$  in  $L$  then  $k = x \vee (k \wedge x)$  and  $k \wedge w \ll L$ .

*Proof.* (1) Assume that  $x \leq b$ . Since  $a \vee b = 1$  and  $x\beta_*a$ ,  $x \vee b = 1$  so  $b = 1$ . This is a contradiction with  $b$  is distinct from 1. Therefore  $x \not\leq b$ .

(2) Let  $y \not\leq k$ . Then  $k \vee y = 1$ . Since  $x\beta_*y$ ,  $k = k \vee x = 1$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore  $y \leq k$ .

(3) Let  $x\beta_*k$  and  $x \not\leq k$ . Since  $k$  is a maximal element,  $x \vee k = 1$ . Moreover  $k = 1$ , because  $x\beta_*k$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore  $x \leq k$ .

(4) Let  $x\beta_*k$  and  $w$  be a weak supplement of  $x$  in  $L$ . From Theorem 4 (2),  $w$  is a weak supplement of  $k$  in  $L$ . Hence  $k \vee w = 1$  and  $k \wedge w \ll L$ . Since  $x\beta_*k$ ,  $x \leq k$  by 9 (3). Since  $x \vee w = 1$  and  $x \leq k$ , the modular law yields  $k = x \vee (k \wedge w)$ . □

**Theorem 10.** Let  $a, b \in L$  and  $a \oplus b = 1$ . For  $x, s \in a/0$ , if  $x\beta_*s$  in  $L$ , then  $x\beta_*s$  in  $a/0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $k \in a/0$  such that  $x \vee k = a$ . Then  $(x \vee k) \oplus b = 1$ , and so  $x \vee (k \vee b) = 1$ . Hence  $s \vee (k \vee b) = 1$  since  $x\beta_*s$  in  $L$ . Then  $[(s \vee k) \vee b] \wedge a = a$ , it follows that  $(s \vee k) \vee (b \wedge a) = a$ . We obtain that  $s \vee k = a$ . Similarly, interchanging roles of  $x$  and  $s$ , we can show that  $x \vee t = a$  for any element  $t$  of  $a/0$  such that  $s \vee t = a$ . Therefore  $x\beta_*s$  in  $a/0$ . □

**Theorem 11.** Let  $x, y, k \in L$  such that  $x \vee k = y \vee k = 1$ ,  $k \wedge y \leq k \wedge x$  and  $x \vee y \ll 1/y$ . Then  $x \vee y \ll 1/x$ .

*Proof.* Let  $t \in 1/x$  such that  $(x \vee y) \vee t = 1$ . Since  $x \vee k = 1$ ,  $t \wedge (x \vee k) = t \wedge 1$ , and so  $x \vee (t \wedge k) = t$  by the modular law. Hence  $x \vee y \vee (t \wedge k) = 1$ . It follows that  $x \vee y \vee [y \vee (t \wedge k)] = 1$ . Since  $x \vee y \ll 1/y$ ,  $y \vee (t \wedge k) = 1$ . Then by Lemma 2,  $t \vee (k \wedge y) = 1$ . Since  $k \wedge y \leq k \wedge x$ ,  $1 = t \vee (k \wedge y) = t \vee (k \wedge x) = t$ . Therefore  $x \vee y \ll 1/x$ . □

**Theorem 12.** Let  $x, y, a, b \in L$ . If  $a, b \ll L$ ,  $x \leq y \vee b$  and  $y \leq x \vee a$ , then  $x\beta_*y$ .

*Proof.* Let  $k \in L$  such that  $x \vee y \vee k = 1$ . Since  $x \leq y \vee b$ ,  $y \vee b \vee k = 1$ . From  $b \ll L$ ,  $y \vee k = 1$ . Similarly,  $x \vee k = 1$ . Hence, by Theorem 1 (1),  $x\beta_*y$ . □

**Theorem 13.** Let  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in L$ . If  $x_1\beta_*y_1$  and  $x_2\beta_*y_2$  then  $(x_1 \vee x_2)\beta_*(y_1 \vee y_2)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $k \in L$  such that  $(x_1 \vee x_2) \vee (y_1 \vee y_2) \vee k = 1$ . Since  $x_1\beta_*y_1$ ,  $y_1 \vee x_2 \vee y_2 \vee k = 1$  and  $x_1 \vee x_2 \vee y_2 \vee k = 1$ . Also since  $x_2\beta_*y_2$ ,  $y_1 \vee y_2 \vee k = 1$  and  $x_1 \vee x_2 \vee k = 1$ . By Theorem 1 (1),  $(x_1 \vee x_2)\beta_*(y_1 \vee y_2)$ . □

**Corollary 3.** Let  $x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \in L$ . If  $x\beta_* y_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then  $x\beta_* \bigvee_{i=1}^n y_i$ .

*Proof.* Clear from Theorem 13.  $\square$

**Theorem 14.** Let  $x, y \in L$  and  $j \ll L$ . Then  $x\beta_* y$  if and only if  $x\beta_*(y \vee j)$ .

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$  Let  $k \in L$  with  $x \vee k = 1$ . Since  $x\beta_* y$ ,  $y \vee k = 1$ . Then  $y \vee j \vee k = 1$ . Let  $t \in L$  such that  $(y \vee j) \vee t = 1$ . Since  $j \ll L$ ,  $y \vee t = 1$ .  $x \vee t = 1$  from  $x\beta_* y$ . Hence  $x\beta_*(y \vee j)$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$  Let  $k \in L$  with  $x \vee k = 1$ . Since  $x\beta_*(y \vee j)$ ,  $y \vee j \vee k = 1$ . Also, since  $j \ll L$ ,  $y \vee k = 1$ . Let  $t \in L$  such that  $y \vee t = 1$ . Then  $y \vee j \vee t = 1$ . Since  $x\beta_*(y \vee j)$ ,  $x \vee t = 1$ . Hence  $x\beta_* y$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 15.** Let  $\text{rad}(L) = 0$  and  $a \oplus b = 1$ . If  $x\beta_* a$  for some  $x \in L$  then  $x \oplus b = 1$ .

*Proof.* Since  $a \oplus b = 1$ ,  $b$  is a supplement of  $a$  in  $L$ . Since  $x\beta_* a$ ,  $b$  is also a supplement of  $x$  in  $L$  by Theorem 4 (1). Therefore  $b \vee x = 1$  and  $b \wedge x \ll x/0$ . Since  $\text{rad}(L) = 0$ ,  $x \wedge b \leq \text{rad}(L) = 0$ . Hence  $x \oplus b = 1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 16.**  $L$  is weakly supplemented if and only if for every  $x \in L$ ,  $x$  is  $\beta_*$  equivalent to a weak supplement in  $L$ .

*Proof.*  $(\Rightarrow)$  Let  $x \in L$ . Since  $L$  is weakly supplemented, there exists  $z \in L$  such that  $x \vee z = 1$  and  $x \wedge z \ll L$ . Also  $x$  is a weak supplement of  $z$  in  $L$ . Since  $\beta_*$  relation is reflexive,  $x\beta_* x$ . So, every element of  $L$  is  $\beta_*$  equivalent to a weak supplement element in  $L$ .

$(\Leftarrow)$  Let  $x \in L$ . By the hypothesis, there exists a weak supplement  $z \in L$  such that  $x\beta_* z$ . Let  $z$  be a weak supplement of  $a$  in  $L$ . Thus  $a \vee z = 1$  and  $a \wedge z \ll L$ . Also,  $a$  is a weak supplement element of  $z$  in  $L$ . Since  $x\beta_* z$ ,  $a$  is also a weak supplement of  $x$  in  $L$  by Theorem 4 (2).  $\square$

*Remark 1.* The converse of Theorem 3 is not always true. We can give an example about that. Let  $K$  be a hollow module which is not simple.  $L$  be the lattice of the set of all submodules of  $K \times K$  with respect to the ordering relation of inclusion. Since  $K$  is not simple,  $K$  has a submodule  $T$  with  $T \neq 0$  and  $T \ll K$ . Clearly we see that  $T \times 0 \ll L$  and  $0 \times T \ll L$ . But  $T \times 0$  and  $0 \times T$  don't lie above each other.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. F. Birkenmeier, F. T. Mutlu, C. Nebiyev, N. Sökmez, and A. Tercan, "Goldie\*-supplemented modules," *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, vol. 52A, pp. 41–52, 2010, doi: [10.1017/S0017089510000212](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0017089510000212). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0017089510000212>
- [2] G. Călugăreanu, *Lattice Concepts of Module Theory*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands, 2000.

- [3] B. A. Davey and H. A. Priestley, *Introduction to Lattices and Order*. Cambridge, UK New York, NY: Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [4] B. Stenström, "Radicals and socles of lattices," *Archiv der Mathematik*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 258–261, Jul 1969, doi: [10.1007/BF01899296](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01899296). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01899296>

*Authors' addresses*

**Celil Nebiyev**

Department of Mathematics, Ondokuz Mayıs University, Kurupelit-Atakum-Samsun, Turkey

*E-mail address:* cnebiyev@omu.edu.tr

**Hasan Hüseyin Ökten**

Technical Sciences Vocational School, Amasya University, Amasya, Turkey

*E-mail address:* hokten@gmail.com