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Abstract. The main purpose of this paper is introduced a new sums analogous to Dedekind sums, then using the analytic method and the properties of Dirichlet $L$-functions to study the arithmetical properties of this sums, and give an interesting reciprocity theorem for it.
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1. INTRODUCTION

For a positive integer $k$ and an arbitrary integer $h$, the classical Dedekind sums $S(h,k)$ is defined by

$$S(h,k) = \sum_{a=1}^{k} \left( \left( \frac{a}{k} \right) \left( \frac{ah}{k} \right) \right),$$

where

$$\left( \left( x \right) \right) = \begin{cases} x - \lfloor x \rfloor - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } x \text{ is not an integer} \\ 0 & \text{if } x \text{ is an integer}. \end{cases}$$

The various arithmetical properties of $S(h,k)$ were investigated by many authors, one of the most important results (see Tom M. Apostol [2] or L. Carlitz [3]) is its reciprocity theorem. That is, for all positive integers $h$ and $q$ with $(h,q) = 1$, we have the identity

$$S(h,q) + S(q,h) = \frac{h^2 + q^2 + 1}{12hq} - \frac{1}{4}. \quad (1.1)$$

The other properties of $S(h,q)$ can also be found in [2], [3], [4], [6], [7] [8] and [9]. But we think that the formula (1.1) is very important and interesting, because from it we can compute the value of $S(h,q)$ by $S(q,h)$, providing $(h,q) = 1$. 
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In this paper, we introduce a new sums $C(h; q)$ as follows:

$$C(h; q) = \sum_{a=1}^{q'} \cot \left( \frac{\pi a}{q} \right) \cot \left( \frac{\pi h a}{q} \right),$$

where $\sum'$ denotes the summation over all $a$ such that $(a, q) = 1$, $(h, q) = 1$ and $\cot(x) = \cos(x)/\sin(x)$. We also provide $C(h, q) = 0$, if $q|h$.

This sums looks very similar to Dedekind sums, so we think that it must have some similar properties with Dedekind sums. Based on this reason, we use the analytic method and the properties of Dirichlet $L$-functions to study the reciprocity properties of $C(h, k)$, and obtain an interesting reciprocity theorem. That is, we shall prove the following:

**Theorem 1.** For any integers $h > 1$ and $q > 1$ with $(h, q) = 1$, we have the reciprocity formula

$$\frac{1}{q} \sum_{d|q} C(h, d) + \frac{1}{h} \sum_{d|h} C(q, d) = \frac{q^2 + h^2 + 1}{3qh} - 1,$$

where $\sum$ denotes the summation over all divisors $d$ of $q$.

**Theorem 2.** For any square-full number $q$, we have the identity

$$\sum_{a=1}^{q'} \sum_{b=1}^{q'} K(a, 1; q) K(b, 1; q) C(ab, q) = \frac{1}{3} \cdot q^2 \cdot \phi^2(q) \cdot \prod_{p|q} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{p} \right),$$

where $K(m, n; q) = \sum_{u=1}^{q} e \left( \frac{mu + n\overline{u}}{q} \right)$ is the Kloosterman sums, $e(y) = e^{2\pi i y}$, $\prod_{p|q}$ denotes the product over all distinct prime divisors $p$ of $q$, and $u \cdot \overline{u} \equiv 1 \mod q$.

Note that $C(h, 1) = C(q, 1) = 0$, so from our Theorem 1 we may immediately deduce the following:

**Corollary 1.** For any odd primes $p$ and $q$ with $p \neq q$, we have the reciprocity formula

$$\frac{C(p, q)}{q} + \frac{C(q, p)}{p} = \frac{p^2 + q^2 + 1}{3pq} - 1.$$

2. SOME LEMMAS

In this section, we shall give some lemmas which are necessary in the proof of our theorems. First we have the following:
**Lemma 1.** Let $q > 2$ be an integer, and let $\chi$ be any Dirichlet character mod $q$ with $\chi(-1) = -1$. Then we have the identity
\[
L(1, \chi) = \frac{\pi}{2q} \sum_{r=1}^{q} \chi(r) \cot \left( \frac{\pi r}{q} \right),
\]
where $L(1, \chi)$ denotes Dirichlet $L$-function corresponding to $\chi$ mod $q$.

**Lemma 2.** Let $q \geq 3$ be an integer, then for any integer $h$ with $(h, q) = 1$, we have the identity
\[
S(h, q) = \frac{1}{\pi^2 q} \sum_{d|q} \frac{d^2}{\phi(d)} \sum_{\chi \mod d \atop \chi(-1) = -1} \overline{\chi(h)}|L(1, \chi)|^2.
\]
where $\chi$ runs through the Dirichlet characters mod $d$ with $\chi(-1) = -1$.

*Proof.* The proofs of Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 can be found in [8]. □

**Lemma 3.** Let $q \geq 3$ be an integer, then for any integer $h$ with $(h, q) = 1$, we have the identity
\[
C(h, q) = \frac{4q^2}{\pi^2 \phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \mod q \atop \chi(-1) = -1} \chi(h)|L(1, \chi)|^2.
\]

*Proof.* For any integer $a$ with $(a, q) = 1$, note that \( \sum_{b=1}^{q} \chi(b) \cot \left( \frac{\pi b}{q} \right) = 0 \) if $\chi(-1) = 1$, from Lemma 1 and the orthogonality of characters mod $q$ we have
\[
\sum_{\chi \mod q \atop \chi(-1) = -1} \overline{\chi(a)}L(1, \chi) = \sum_{\chi \mod q} \overline{\chi(a)} \left( \frac{\pi}{2q} \sum_{r=1}^{q} \chi(r) \cot \left( \frac{\pi r}{q} \right) \right) = \frac{\pi \phi(q)}{2q} \cot \left( \frac{\pi a}{q} \right).
\]

or
\[
\cot \left( \frac{\pi a}{q} \right) = \frac{2q}{\pi \phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \mod q \atop \chi(-1) = -1} \overline{\chi(a)}L(1, \chi). \tag{2.1}
\]

Then from the definition of $C(h, q)$, (2.1) and the orthogonality of characters mod $q$ we have the identity
\[
C(h, q) = \sum_{a=1}^{q} \cot \left( \frac{\pi h a}{q} \right) \cot \left( \frac{\pi a}{q} \right)
\]
This proves Lemma 3.

**Lemma 4.** Let \( q \geq 3 \) be a square-full number. Then we have the identity
\[
\sum_{\chi \mod q \atop \chi(-1) = -1} |L(1, \chi)|^2 = \frac{4q^2}{\pi^2 \phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \mod q \atop \chi(-1) = -1} \chi(h)|L(1, \chi)|^2 = \frac{4q^2}{\pi^2 \phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \mod q \atop \chi(-1) = -1} \chi(h)|L(1, \chi)|^2.
\]

where \( \sum^* \) denotes the summation over all primitive odd characters \( \mod q \).

**Proof.** The proof of Lemma 4 can be found in [8].

**Lemma 5.** Let \( q \) be a square-full number. Then for any non-primitive character \( \chi \mod q \), we have the identity
\[
\tau(\chi) = \sum_{a=1}^{q} \chi(a) e\left(\frac{a}{q}\right) = 0.
\]

**Proof.** It is clear that Gauss sums \( |\tau(\chi)| \) is a multiplicative function of \( \mod q \) (see references [1] and [5]), so without loss of generality, we can assume \( q = p^\alpha \), where \( p \) be a prime and \( \alpha \geq 2 \). Now if \( \chi \) is a non-primitive character \( \mod p^\alpha \), then it is also a character \( \mod p^{\alpha-1} \). So from the properties of the trigonometric sums we know that for any positive integers \( q \geq 2 \) and integer \( n \) with \( (n, q) = 1 \), we have the identity
\[
\sum_{u=0}^{q-1} e\left(\frac{un}{q}\right) = 0. \tag{2.2}
\]

From (2.2) and the definition of the reduce residue system modulo \( p^\alpha \) we have
\[
\tau(\chi) = \sum_{a=1}^{p^\alpha} \chi(a) e\left(\frac{a}{p^\alpha}\right) = \sum_{u=0}^{p-1} \sum_{v=1}^{p^{\alpha-1}} \chi (up^{\alpha-1} + v) e\left(\frac{up^{\alpha-1} + v}{p^\alpha}\right)
\]
\[
= \sum_{u=0}^{p-1} \sum_{v=1}^{p^{\alpha-1}} \chi(v) e\left(\frac{u}{p} + \frac{v}{p^{\alpha}}\right) = \sum_{v=1}^{p^{\alpha-1}} \chi(v) e\left(\frac{v}{p^\alpha}\right) \sum_{u=0}^{p-1} e\left(\frac{u}{p}\right) = 0.
\]
This proves Lemma 5.
3. Proof of Theorems

In this section, we shall complete the proof of our theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1. For any positive integers \( h > 1 \) and \( q > 1 \) with \( (h,q) = 1 \), note that \( C(h,1) = 0 \) and \( (h,d) = 1 \) for all \( d \mid q \). So from Lemma 3 and Lemma 2 we have

\[
\frac{1}{q} \sum_{d \mid q} C(h,d) = \frac{4}{\pi^2 q} \sum_{d \mid q} \frac{d^2}{\phi(d)} \sum_{\chi \mod d, \chi(-1) = -1} \chi(h)|L(1,\chi)|^2 = 4S(h,q).
\]  

(3.1)

Similarly, using the method of proving (3.1) we can also deduce that

\[
\frac{1}{h} \sum_{d \mid h} C(q,d) = \frac{4}{\pi^2 h} \sum_{d \mid h} \frac{d^2}{\phi(d)} \sum_{\chi \mod d, \chi(-1) = -1} \chi(q)|L(1,\chi)|^2 = 4S(q,h).
\]  

(3.2)

Combining (1.1), (3.1) and (3.2) we may immediately deduce the reciprocity formula

\[
\frac{1}{q} \sum_{d \mid q} C(h,d) + \frac{1}{h} \sum_{d \mid h} C(q,d) = S(h,q) + S(q,h) = \frac{q^2 + h^2 + 1}{3qh} - 1.
\]

This proves Theorem 1. \( \square \)

Proof of Theorem 2. If \( q \) is a square-full number and \( \chi \) is not a primitive character mod \( q \), then from Lemma 5 we know that \( \tau(\chi) = 0 \). If \( \chi \) is a primitive character mod \( q \), then \( |\tau(\chi)|^2 = q \). Note that the identities \( \tau^2(\bar{\chi}) = \tau(\bar{\chi})^2 \) and

\[
\sum_{a=1}^{\varphi(q)} \chi(a)K(a,1;q) = \sum_{u=1}^{\varphi(q)} \sum_{a=1}^{\varphi(q)} \chi(a) e\left(\frac{au + \overline{u}}{q}\right) = \tau(\chi) \cdot \sum_{u=1}^{\varphi(q)} \bar{\chi}(u)e\left(\frac{u}{q}\right) = \tau^2(\chi),
\]

from Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 we have

\[
\sum_{a=1}^{\varphi(q)} \sum_{b=1}^{\varphi(q)} K(a,1;q)K(b,1;q) C(a\overline{b},q)
\]

\[
= \frac{4q^2}{\pi^2 \phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \mod q, \chi(-1) = -1} \chi(a)K(a,1;q) \sum_{b=1}^{\varphi(q)} \bar{\chi}(b)K(b,1;q)|L(1,\chi)|^2
\]

\[
= \frac{4q^2}{\pi^2 \phi(q)} \sum_{\chi \mod q, \chi(-1) = -1} \tau^2(\chi) \cdot \tau^2(\overline{\chi}) \cdot |L(1,\chi)|^2
\]
This completes the proof of Theorem 2. □
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