



Miskolc Mathematical Notes
Vol. 9 (2008), No 1, pp. 53-60

HU e-ISSN 1787-2413
DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2008.133

GBS operators of Durrmeyer-Stancu type

Ovidiu T. Pop and Dan Bărbosu



GBS OPERATORS OF DURRMEYER–STANCU TYPE

OVIDIU T. POP AND DAN BĂRBOSU

Received 4 July, 2005

Abstract. Considering four given real parameters α_1 , β_1 , α_2 , and β_2 , which satisfy the conditions $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \beta_1$ and $0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \beta_2$, we construct the bivariate Durrmeyer–Stancu operators $D_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}$ defined by relation (3.1). Next, we consider the associated GBS (Generalized Boolean Sum) operators and establish some approximation properties of these operators.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A25, 41A36, 41A63

Keywords: linear positive operators, Durrmeyer operators, Stancu operators, bivariate operators, mixed modulus of smoothness, approximation of functions by GBS operators

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1967, J. L. Durrmeyer [3] introduced the operators $D_m: L_1([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$ defined by the formula

$$(D_m f)(x) = (m+1) \sum_{k=0}^m p_{m,k}(x) \int_0^1 p_{m,k}(t) f(t) dt, \quad (1.1)$$

for any $f \in L_1([0, 1])$, any $x \in [0, 1]$, and any positive integer m , where

$$p_{m,k}(x) = \binom{m}{k} x^k (1-x)^{m-k}, \quad x \in [0, 1],$$

are the fundamental Bernstein polynomials. These operators are called the *Durrmeyer operators*.

Let α and β be two given real parameters satisfying the conditions $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$. In the paper [5], D. D. Stancu introduced and studied the linear positive operators $P_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}: C([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$ defined for any function $f \in C([0, 1])$ and any positive integer m by the formula

$$(P_m^{(\alpha, \beta)} f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m p_{m,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{m+\beta}\right) \quad (1.2)$$

for all $x \in [0, 1]$. These operators are called the *Stancu operators*.

In the paper [4], the authors introduced and studied the Durrmeyer–Stancu type operators $(D_m^{(\alpha, \beta)})_{m \geq 1}$ for two given real parameters α and β with $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$. The linear operators $D_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}: L_1([0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1])$ are defined, for any function $f \in L_1([0, 1])$ and any positive integer m , by the relation

$$(D_m^{(\alpha, \beta)} f)(x) = (m+1) \sum_{k=0}^m p_{m,k}(x) \int_0^1 p_{m,k}(t) f\left(\frac{mt + \alpha}{m + \beta}\right) dt \quad (1.3)$$

for any $x \in [0, 1]$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In the following, we recall some results which we will use in this paper (see [1, 2, 4]). Let the real numbers a, b, c, d so that $a < b, c < d$,

$$C_b([a, b] \times [c, d]) = \{f: [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \text{ } B\text{-continuous on } [a, b] \times [c, d]\},$$

$$M([a, b] \times [c, d]) = \{f: [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \text{ bounded on } [a, b] \times [c, d]\},$$

and $e_{ij}(x, y) = x^i y^j$, $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$, $0 \leq i, j \leq 2$ are the test functions.

If $f: [a, b] \times [c, d] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, f is B -bounded function on $[a, b] \times [c, d]$, then the function $\omega_{\text{mixed}}: [0, b-a] \times [0, d-c] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, defined by the formula

$$\omega_{\text{mixed}}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2) = \sup \{ |(\Delta f)((x, y), (s, t))| : |s - x| \leq \delta_1, |t - y| \leq \delta_2 \}$$

for any $(\delta_1, \delta_2) \in [0, b-a] \times [0, d-c]$, is called the *mixed modulus of smoothness* of function f .

Theorem 2.1. *Let $(L_{m,n})_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of linear positive bivariate operators, $L_{m,n}: C_b([a, b] \times [c, d]) \rightarrow M([a, b] \times [c, d])$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. If*

- (i) $(L_{m,n} e_{00})(x, y) = 1$,
- (ii) $(L_{m,n} e_{10})(x, y) = e_{10}(x, y) + u_{m,n}(x, y)$,
- (iii) $(L_{m,n} e_{01})(x, y) = e_{01}(x, y) + v_{m,n}(x, y)$,
- (iv) $(L_{m,n} e_{22})(x, y) = e_{22}(x, y) + w_{m,n}(x, y)$,
- (v) $\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} u_{m,n}(x, y) = \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} v_{m,n}(x, y) = \lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} w_{m,n}(x, y) = 0$ uniformly on the rectangle $[a, b] \times [c, d]$,

then, for any $f \in C_b([a, b] \times [c, d])$, the sequence $(U_{m,n} f)_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges uniformly to f on the rectangle $[a, b] \times [c, d]$, where, for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, the operator $U_{m,n}: \mathbb{R}^{[a,b] \times [c,d]} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{[a,b] \times [c,d]}$ is defined by

$$(U_{m,n} f)(x, y) = (L_{m,n}(f(\cdot, y) + f(x, *) - f(\cdot, *))) (x, y) \quad (2.1)$$

for any $f \in \mathbb{R}^{[a,b] \times [c,d]}$, any $(x, y) \in [a, b] \times [c, d]$, “ \cdot ” and “ $*$ ” stand for the first and second variable.

Remark 2.1. For the positive integers m and n , the operator $U_{m,n}$ is called GBS operator associated to $L_{m,n}$ operator. The term “GBS operator” (Generalized Boolean Sum operator) was introduced by C. Badea and C. Cottin in the paper [2].

Remark 2.2. Theorem 2.1 is a Korovkin type theorem for B -continuous functions and is due to C. Badea, I. Badea, and H. H. Gonska (see [1]).

Theorem 2.2. Let $(L_{m,n})_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an arbitrary sequence of linear positive operators $L_{m,n}: C_b([a, b] \times [c, d]) \rightarrow M([a, b] \times [c, d])$ and $(U_{m,n})_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$ the sequence of associated GBS operators. Then, for any $f \in C_b([a, b] \times [c, d])$, any $(x, y) \in [a, b] \times [c, d]$, any $(\delta_1, \delta_2) \in [0, b-a] \times [0, d-c]$ and any positive integers m, n , the following

$$\begin{aligned} |(U_{m,n}f)(x, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq |f(x, y)| |1 - (L_{m,n}e_{00})(x, y)| \\ &\quad + \left((L_{m,n}e_{00})(x, y) + \delta_1^{-1} \sqrt{(L_{m,n}(\cdot - x)^2)(x, y)} \right. \\ &\quad + \delta_2^{-1} \sqrt{(L_{m,n}(* - y)^2)(x, y)} \\ &\quad \left. + \delta_1^{-1} \delta_2^{-1} \sqrt{(L_{m,n}(\cdot - x)^2(* - y)^2)(x, y)} \right) \omega_{\text{mixed}}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2) \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

holds.

Remark 2.3. Theorem 2.2 is a Shisha–Mond type theorem for B -continuous functions; it is due to C. Badea and C. Cottin (see [2]).

Theorem 2.3. Let two given real parameters α and β with $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$. The operators $(D_m^{(\alpha, \beta)})_{m \geq 1}$ verify the following

$$(D_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}e_0)(x) = 1, \quad (2.3)$$

$$(D_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}e_1)(x) = \frac{m^2}{(m + \beta)(m + 2)} x + \frac{(\alpha + 1)m + 2\alpha}{(m + \beta)(m + 2)}, \quad (2.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (D_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}e_2)(x) &= \frac{m^3(m-1)}{(m + \beta)^2(m + 2)(m + 3)} x^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{4m^3 + 2\alpha m^2(m + 3)}{(m + \beta)^2(m + 2)(m + 3)} x \\ &\quad + \frac{2m^2 + 2\alpha m(m + 3) + \alpha^2(m + 2)(m + 3)}{(m + \beta)^2(m + 2)(m + 3)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (D_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}\varphi_x^2)(x) &= \left(\frac{m}{m + \beta} \right)^2 \frac{2(m-3)x(1-x) + 2}{(m + 2)(m + 3)} \\ &\quad + \frac{[\beta^2(m + 2) + 4\beta m]x^2 - 2x[\alpha\beta(m + 2) + \beta m + 2\alpha m]x + \alpha^2(m + 2) + 2\alpha m}{(m + \beta)^2(m + 2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\delta_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) \leq \delta_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}, \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\delta_m^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = \sqrt{\left(D_m^{(\alpha, \beta)} \varphi_x^2\right)(x)} \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_m^{(\alpha, \beta)} = & \left(\left(\frac{m}{m+\beta} \right)^2 \frac{m+1}{2(m+2)(m+3)} \right. \\ & \left. + \max \left\{ \frac{\alpha^2(m+2) + 2\alpha m}{(m+\beta)^2(m+2)}, \frac{(\alpha-\beta)^2(m+2) - 2(\alpha-\beta)m}{(m+\beta)^2(m+2)} \right\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

for any positive integer m , and any $x \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. For the proof, see [4]. □

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, let the given real parameters α_1 , α_2 , β_1 , and β_2 satisfying the conditions $0 \leq \alpha_1 \leq \beta_1$ and $0 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \beta_2$.

We construct the bivariate Durrmeyer–Stancu operators

$$D_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}: L_1([0, 1] \times [0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1] \times [0, 1]),$$

defined for any positive integers m, n and any $f \in L_1([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} f)(x, y) = & (m+1)(n+1) \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n p_{m,k}(x) p_{n,j}(y) \\ & \times \int_0^1 \int_0^1 p_{m,k}(s) p_{n,j}(t) f\left(\frac{ms + \alpha_1}{m + \beta_1}, \frac{nt + \alpha_2}{n + \beta_2}\right) ds dt \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

for any $(x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

Let ${}^x D_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}$, ${}^y D_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}$ be the parametric extensions of the operator (1.3), where $x, y \in [0, 1]$.

If $x \in [0, 1]$, then ${}^x D_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}: L_1([0, 1] \times [0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ is defined for any positive integer m and any $f \in L_1([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ by the formula

$$({}^x D_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)} f)(x, y) = (m+1) \sum_{k=0}^m p_{m,k}(x) \int_0^1 p_{m,k}(s) f\left(\frac{ms + \alpha_1}{m + \beta_1}, y\right) ds \quad (3.2)$$

for any $y \in [0, 1]$ and if $y \in [0, 1]$, then ${}^y D_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}: L_1([0, 1] \times [0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ is defined for any positive integer n and any $f \in L_1([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ by the formula

$$({}^y D_n^{(\alpha_1, \beta_2)} f)(x, y) = (n+1) \sum_{j=0}^n p_{n,j}(y) \int_0^1 p_{n,j}(t) f\left(x, \frac{nt + \alpha_2}{n + \beta_2}\right) dt \quad (3.3)$$

for any $x \in [0, 1]$.

The GBS operator of Durrmeyer–Stancu is the “boolean sum” of the parametric extensions of the operators ${}^x D_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}$ and ${}^y D_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}$, so

$$U_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}: L_1([0, 1] \times [0, 1]) \rightarrow C([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$$

is defined for any positive integers m and n by the relation

$$\begin{aligned} U_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} &= {}^x D_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)} \oplus {}^y D_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} = \\ &= {}^x D_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)} + {}^y D_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} - {}^x D_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)} \circ {}^y D_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where

$${}^x D_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)} \circ {}^y D_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} = D_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}.$$

Lemma 3.1. *The Durrmeyer–Stancu type GBS operator is defined, for any $f \in L_1([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ and any positive integers m and n , by the relation*

$$\begin{aligned} (U_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} f)(x, y) &= (m+1)(n+1) \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^n p_{m,k}(x) p_{n,j}(y) \\ &\times \int_0^1 \int_0^1 p_{m,k}(s) p_{n,j}(t) \left(f\left(\frac{ms + \alpha_1}{m + \beta_1}, y\right) + f\left(x, \frac{nt + \alpha_2}{n + \beta_2}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - f\left(\frac{ms + \alpha_1}{m + \beta_1}, \frac{nt + \alpha_2}{n + \beta_2}\right) \right) ds dt \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

for all $(x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

Proof. The assertion follows from definitions (3.1)–(3.4). \square

Lemma 3.2. *For any positive integers m, n and any $(x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, the parametric extensions ${}^x D_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}$ and ${}^y D_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}$ are linear and positive operators.*

Proof. The assertion is obtained by direct computation. \square

Lemma 3.3. *operators Let m and n be given positive integers. The operator $D_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_1)}$ is linear and positive.*

Proof. The proof is immediate. \square

The operator $D_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_1)}$ is called in what follows the *bivariate Durrmeyer–Stancu operator*.

Lemma 3.4. *For any nonzero natural numbers m, n and arbitrary $(x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, we have*

$$(D_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} e_{00})(x, y) = 1, \quad (3.6)$$

$$(D_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} e_{10})(x, y) = \frac{m^2}{(m + \beta_1)(m + 2)} x + \frac{(\alpha_1 + 1)m + 2\alpha_1}{(m + \beta_1)(m + 2)}, \quad (3.7)$$

$$(D_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} e_{01})(x, y) = \frac{n^2}{(n + \beta_2)(n + 2)} y + \frac{(\alpha_2 + 1)n + 2\alpha_2}{(n + \beta_2)(n + 2)}, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} (D_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} e_{22})(x, y) &= \frac{m^3(m-1)}{(m + \beta_1)^2(m+2)(m+3)} x^2 \\ &+ \frac{4m^3 + 2\alpha_1 m^2(m+3)}{(m + \beta_1)^2(m+2)(m+3)} x + \frac{2m^2 + 2\alpha_1 m(m+3) + \alpha_1^2(m+2)(m+3)}{(m + \beta_1)^2(m+2)(m+3)} \\ &+ \frac{n^3(n-1)}{(n + \beta_2)^2(n+2)(n+3)} y^2 + \frac{4n^3 + 2\alpha_2 n^2(n+3)}{(n + \beta_2)^2(n+2)(n+3)} y \\ &+ \frac{2n^2 + 2\alpha_2 n(n+3) + \alpha_2^2(n+2)(n+3)}{(n + \beta_2)^2(n+2)(n+3)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

$$(D_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} (\cdot - x)^2)(x, y) = (D_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)} \varphi_x^2)(x) = \left(\delta_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}(x) \right)^2, \quad (3.10)$$

$$(D_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} (* - y)^2)(x, y) = (D_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} \varphi_y^2)(y) = \left(\delta_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}(y) \right)^2, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\delta_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}(x) \leq \delta_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)} \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$\delta_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}(y) \leq \delta_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}, \quad (3.13)$$

where the values $\delta_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}(x)$, $\delta_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}$, $\delta_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}(y)$, and $\delta_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}$ are defined by relations (2.7)–(2.9).

Proof. The assertion follows from Theorem 2.3. \square

Lemma 3.5. *The bivariate Durrmeyer–Stancu operators satisfy the relations*

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} (D_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} e_{10})(x, y) = e_{10}(x, y), \quad (3.14)$$

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} (D_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} e_{01})(x, y) = e_{01}(x, y), \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\lim_{m,n \rightarrow \infty} (D_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} e_{22})(x, y) = e_{22}(x, y) \quad (3.16)$$

uniformly on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

Proof. Relations (3.14), (3.15), and (3.16) are consequences of Lemma 3.4. \square

Theorem 3.1. For any $f \in C_b([0, 1] \times [0, 1]) \cap L_1([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$, the sequence

$$(U_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} f)_{m,n \geq 1}$$

converges to f uniformly on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$.

Proof. It is sufficient to apply Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 3.5. \square

Theorem 3.2. For any positive integers m and n , any function $f \in C_b([0, 1] \times [0, 1]) \cap L_1([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$ and any $(x, y) \in [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, the operator $U_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}$ has the properties

$$\begin{aligned} |(U_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} f)(x, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta_1} \delta_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\delta_2} \delta_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}(y) + \frac{1}{\delta_1 \delta_2} \delta_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}(x) \delta_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}(y) \right) \omega_{\text{mixed}}(f; \delta_1, \delta_2) \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

for any $\delta_1, \delta_2 \in (0, 1]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} |(U_{m,n}^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)(\alpha_2, \beta_2)} f)(x, y) - f(x, y)| &\leq 4\omega_{\text{mixed}}(f; \delta_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}(x), \delta_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}(y)) \\ &\leq 4\omega_{\text{mixed}}(f; \delta_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}, \delta_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. For the first inequality (3.17), we apply Theorem 2.2, Lemma 3.4, and relations (3.10) and (3.11). For the inequality (3.18), in the inequality (3.17) we choose

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 &= \delta_m^{(\alpha_1, \beta_1)}(x), \\ \delta_2 &= \delta_n^{(\alpha_2, \beta_2)}(y), \end{aligned}$$

and afterwards we take the relations (3.12) and (3.13) into account. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] C. Badea, I. Badea, and H. H. Gonska, “A test function theorem and approximation by pseudopolynomials,” *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 53–64, 1986.
- [2] C. Badea and C. Cottin, “Korovkin-type theorems for generalized Boolean sum operators,” in *Approximation theory (Kecskemét, 1990)*, ser. Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai. Amsterdam: North-Holland, 1991, vol. 58, pp. 51–68.
- [3] J. L. Durrmeyer, “Une formule d’inversion de la transformée de Laplace: Application à la theorie des moments,” Thèse de 3e cycle, Faculté des Sciences de l’Université de Paris, 1967.
- [4] O. T. Pop and D. Bărbosu, “Durrmeyer–Stancu type operators,” to appear.
- [5] D. D. Stancu, “On a generalization of the Bernštejn polynomials,” *Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Ser. Math.-Phys.*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 31–45, 1969.

*Authors' addresses***Ovidiu T. Pop**

Vest University “Vasile Goldiș” of Arad, Branch of Satu Mare, 26 Mihai Viteazu St., Satu Mare
440030, Romania

E-mail address: ovidiutiberiu@yahoo.com

Dan Bărbosu

North University of Baia Mare, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, 76 Victoriei St.,
43012 Baia Mare, Romania

E-mail address: danbarbosu@yahoo.com