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#### Abstract

We know that every terraced matrix has the factorization $R_{b}=D_{b} C$, where $C$ is the Cesàro matrix and $D_{b}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{(n+1) b_{n}\right\}$. In the present paper, we define the generalized terraced matrix by using the generalized Cesàro matrix in the expression above, and some properties of this matrix are given.
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## 1. Introduction

In [13], A.G. Siskakis gives the spectrum of the Cesàro matrix on $H^{p}$ by using the integral representation of the Cesàro operator.

Let $H(\mathbb{D})$ denotes the space of complex valued analytic functions on the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$, for $1 \leq p<\infty, H^{p}$ denotes the standard Hardy space on $\mathbb{D}$, and $\ell^{p}$ denotes the standard space of $p$-summable complex-valued sequences on the set of non-negative integers.

Suppose that $1<p<\infty$ and $(b)=\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is in $\ell^{p}$. Then the sequences

$$
C(b)=\left\{\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}
$$

have $\ell^{p}$-norms satisfying

$$
\|C(b)\|_{p} \leq \frac{p}{p-1}\|(b)\|_{p}
$$

and the constant in this inequality is the best possible $[4,6,7,10]$. Thus $C$ is a bounded linear operator on $\ell^{p}$ for $1<p<\infty$ with its norm equal to $p /(p-1)$.

If $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{k} z^{k}$ is in $H^{p}$, let

$$
C(f)(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k}\right) z^{n}
$$

By computing Taylor series, we see that $C$ has the following integral representation: for $f \in H^{p}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(f)(z)=\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} \frac{f(t)}{1-t} d t \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [19], Scott W. Young generalized Cesàro operator, by considering more general analytic functions instead of the function $1 /(1-t)$ in equality $(1.1)$, as follows.

Definition 1. Let $g$ be analytic on the unit disk. The operator $C_{g}: H^{2} \rightarrow H^{2}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{g}(f):=\frac{1}{z} \int_{0}^{z} f(t) g(t) d t \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the generalized Cesàro operator with symbol $g$.
Definition 2. Let $I$ be an arc of the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$, and let $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then, let $\varphi_{I}=\frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}|\varphi|$, where $|I|$ denotes the arclength of $I . \varphi$ is said to be of bounded mean oscillation if

$$
\|\varphi\|_{*}=\sup _{I \subset \mathbb{T}} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}\left|\varphi-\varphi_{I}\right|<\infty .
$$

We denote the set of all functions of bounded mean oscillation by $B M O$. If we endow $B M O$ with the norm $\|\varphi\|_{B M O}=\|\varphi\|_{*}+|\varphi(0)|$, then $B M O$ is a Banach space (see [5]).
We say that $g \in B M O A$ if $g \in H^{2}$ and $g\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \in B M O$.
Definition 3. Let $I$ be an arc of $\mathbb{T}$. We say that a function $\varphi: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is of vanishing mean oscillation if

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup _{I \subset} \frac{1}{|I|} \int_{I}\left|\varphi-\varphi_{I}\right|=0
$$

We denote the set of all functions of vanishing mean oscillation by VMO.VMO is a closed subspace of $B M O$.
As with $B M O A$, we define $V M O A$ as the set of $g \in H^{2}$ such that $g\left(e^{i \theta}\right) \in V M O$. $V M O A$ is a closed subspace of $B M O A$ (see [5]).

Definition 4. A vector $x$ is a cyclic vector for a bounded operator $T$ on a Hilbert space $H$ if the set $\{p(T) x: p$ is polynomial $\}$ is dense in $H$. If $T$ has a cyclic vector, then $T$ is called a cyclic operator.

We denote the spectrum of the linear operator $T$ by $\sigma(T)$. That is,

$$
\sigma(T)=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}: T-\lambda \text { not invertible }\}
$$

Let $G(z)=\int_{0}^{z} g(w) d w$. Pommerenke [12] showed that $C_{g}$ is bounded on the Hilbert space $H^{2}$ if and only if $G \in B M O A$. Aleman and Siskakis [2] extended

Pommerenke's result to the Hardy spaces $H^{p}$ for all $p, 1 \leq p<\infty$, and showed that $C_{g}$ is compact on $H^{p}$ if and only if $G \in V M O A$.

Continuity of the Cesàro operator $C$ on the Hilbert space $H^{2}(\mathbb{D})$ is due to Hardy, Littlewood and Polya [7], and to Siskakis for the general Hardy and the unweighted Bergman space cases, [13, 14, 16]. In [15], Siskakis considered a class of generalized Cesàro operators associated with semigroups of weighted composition operators on $H^{2}(\mathbb{D}), 1 \leq p<\infty$, characterized compactness within this class and identified the spectrum of the operators $\left.C_{g}\right|_{H^{p}}$ for $g(z)=\frac{1+z}{1-z}$. He also raised question of the extent to which these operators were hyponormal or subnormal on $H^{2}(\mathbb{D})$. Brown, Halmos and Shields [3] and Kriete and Trutt [9] investigated these properties for the classical Cesàro operator. In [1] Albrecht, Miller and Neumann showed that $C_{(1+z) /(1-z)}$ is hyponormal on $H^{2}(\mathbb{D})$.

The matrix representation of $C_{g}$ in the standard basis $\left\{z^{n-1}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of $H^{2}$ follows

$$
C_{g}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{0} & & & &  \tag{1.3}\\
\frac{a_{1}}{2} & \frac{a_{0}}{2} & & & \\
\frac{a_{2}}{3} & \frac{a_{1}}{3} & \frac{a_{0}}{3} & & \\
\frac{a_{3}}{4} & \frac{a_{2}}{4} & \frac{a_{1}}{4} & \frac{a_{0}}{4} & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
$$

where, $a_{j}$ are Taylor coefficients of $g(z)$, i.e. $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{j} z^{j}=g(z) \in H(\mathbb{D})$.
Given a sequence $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ of scalars, the terraced matrix $R_{b}$ is the lower triangular matrix with constant row-segments

$$
R_{b}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
b_{0} & & & &  \tag{1.4}\\
b_{1} & b_{1} & & & \\
b_{2} & b_{2} & b_{2} & & \\
b_{3} & b_{3} & b_{3} & b_{3} & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
$$

The Cesàro matrix is $R_{\{1 /(n+1)\}}$ and more generally, if we take $b_{n}=n^{-z}$ we get the $z$-Cesàro matrix $C_{z}$.

In [11], G. Leibowitz gave the following relation between terraced matrix and Cesàro matrix $C$.

If $D$ is the diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left\{d_{n}\right\}$, then $D R_{\left\{b_{n}\right\}}=R_{\left\{d_{n} b_{n}\right\}}$. Hence every terraced matrix has the factorization $R_{b}=D_{b} C$, where $D_{b}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{(n+1) b_{n}\right\}$; while if every $b_{n} \neq 0, C=\bar{D}_{b} R_{b}$, where $\bar{D}_{b}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\frac{1}{(n+1) b_{n}}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

In the present paper, we define the generalized terraced matrix by using the generalized Cesàro matrix and we show that the Cesàro matrix $C$, obtained when $b_{n}=$ $1 /(n+1)$ and $g(z)=1 /(1-z)$ are taken in the generalized terraced matrix, is essentially the only generalized terraced matrix that is a Hausdorff matrix. That is, any generalized terraced matrix that is not a scalar multiple of $C$ is not a Hausdorff matrix. And we prove that every generalized terraced matrix commutes with an infinite matrix $B$, then $B$ is a scalar multiple of unit matrix. Also, we prove necessary and sufficient conditions related to normality and self-adjointedness of generalized terraced matrix.

Definition 5. Let $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ be a scalar sequence and $g(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k} \in H(\mathbb{D})$. The matrix

$$
R_{b}^{g}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
a_{0} b_{0} & & & &  \tag{1.5}\\
a_{1} b_{1} & a_{0} b_{1} & & & \\
a_{2} b_{2} & a_{1} b_{2} & a_{0} b_{2} & & \\
a_{3} b_{3} & a_{2} b_{3} & a_{1} b_{3} & a_{0} b_{3} & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
$$

is called the generalized terraced matrix with symbol $g$ on $H^{2}$.
The relation $R_{b}^{g}=D_{b} C_{g}$ is valid similar to the terraced matrix, where $D_{b}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{(n+1) b_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$. We recall that $C=C_{g}$ for $g(z)=\frac{1}{1-z}$, since $g(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^{k}$, which fixes then $a_{n}=1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, from (1.5) we get

$$
R_{b}^{g}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
b_{0} & & & & \\
b_{1} & b_{1} & & & \\
b_{2} & b_{2} & b_{2} & & \\
b_{3} & b_{3} & b_{3} & b_{3} & \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)=R_{b}
$$

On the other hand $R_{\{1 /(n+1)\}}^{g}=C_{g}$. Therefore this definition could be regarded as a two-way generalization of both terraced and Cesàro operators.

From (1.5) we can write

$$
\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)_{n j}=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{n-j} b_{n} & , & n \geq j  \tag{1.6}\\
0 & , & n<j
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left[\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)^{*}\right]_{n j}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\overline{a_{j-n} b_{j}} & , \quad j \geq n  \tag{1.7}\\
0 & , \quad j<n
\end{array}\right.
$$

## 2. Results

Theorem 1. Let $g(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}$ and $a_{0} \neq 0 \neq a_{1}$. If $R_{b}^{g}$ commutes with $C_{g}$, then $R_{b}^{g}$ is a scalar multiple of $C_{g}$.

Proof. We get by direct calculation

$$
\left[R_{b}^{g} C_{g}\right]_{n j}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
b_{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-j} \frac{a_{k} a_{n-k-j}}{k+j+1} & , \quad n \geq j \\
0 & , n<j
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left[C_{g} R_{b}^{g}\right]_{n j}=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-j} a_{k} a_{n-k-j} b_{k+j} & , \quad n \geq j \\
0 & , \quad n<j
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $R_{b}^{g} C_{g}=C_{g} R_{b}^{g}$ then equating the entries on the first subdiagonal,

$$
\left[R_{b}^{g} C_{g}\right]_{n+1, n}=\left[C_{g} R_{b}^{g}\right]_{n+1, n}
$$

this gives

$$
a_{0} a_{1} b_{n+1}\left(\frac{1}{n+1}+\frac{1}{n+2}\right)=\frac{a_{0} a_{1}}{n+2}\left(b_{n}+b_{n+1}\right)
$$

for all nonnegative integers $n$. From last equation we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{n+1}=\frac{n+1}{n+2} b_{n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.1), we can prove by using strong induction that for every $n$,

$$
b_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1} b_{0}
$$

Hence, we have $R_{b}^{g}=R_{\left\{\frac{b_{0}}{n+1}\right\}}^{g}=b_{0} R_{\left\{\frac{1}{n+1}\right\}}^{g}=b_{0} C_{g}$.
Remark 1. Proposition 2.1 of [11] is a special case of Theorem 1 with the case $g(t)=1 /(1-t)$.

Theorem 2. If an infinite matrix B commutes with all generalized terraced matrices, then $B$ is a scalar multiple of the identity matrix.

Proof. If we consider $R_{b}^{g}$ with $g(t)=1 /(1-t)$, we obtain the Rhaly matrix $R_{b}$. Hence, the proof could be completed by Proposition 2.3 in [11].

Theorem 3. Let $b_{n} \neq 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. The matrix $R_{b}^{g}$ is normal if and only if $g(z)=c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. We calculate $\left[\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)^{*}\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)\right]_{00}$ and $\left[\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)^{*}\right]_{00}$ by matrix multiplication. We get

$$
\left[\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)^{*}\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)\right]_{00}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)^{*}\right]_{0 k}\left[R_{b}^{g}\right]_{k 0}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}\left|b_{k}\right|^{2}
$$

and

$$
\left[\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)^{*}\right]_{00}=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\left[R_{b}^{g}\right]_{0 k}\left[\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)^{*}\right]_{k 0}=a_{0} b_{0} \overline{a_{0} b_{0}}=\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}\left|b_{0}\right|^{2}
$$

Since normality is defined to be $\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)^{*}\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)=\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)^{*}$, we require that $\left[\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)^{*}\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)\right]_{00}=\left[\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)^{*}\right]_{00}$. This implies that

$$
\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}\left|b_{0}\right|^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}\left|b_{k}\right|^{2}=\left|a_{0}\right|^{2}\left|b_{0}\right|^{2}
$$

Hence, $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}\left|b_{k}\right|^{2}=0$. Since $b_{k} \neq 0$ for every $k \geq 1$, then $a_{k}=0$ for every $k \geq 1$. Thus, $g(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k} z^{k}=a_{0}$. The converse direction is trivial since $g(z)=$ $a_{0}$ implies that $R_{b}^{g}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{a_{0} b_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.

Corollary 1. Let $b_{n} \neq 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $b_{0} \in \mathbb{R} . R_{b}^{g}$ is self-adjoint if and only if $g(z)=c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. From (1.6) and (1.7)

$$
a_{0} b_{0}=\overline{a_{0} b_{0}}, a_{1} b_{1}=a_{2} b_{2}=a_{3} b_{3}=\cdots=0
$$

Since, $\forall n \in N, b_{n} \neq 0$, then

$$
a_{0}=\overline{a_{0}}, a_{1}=a_{2}=a_{3}=\cdots=0
$$

Hence $a_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g(z)=a_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. The other direction is obvious.
Theorem 4. Let $\forall n \in N, b_{n}>0$ real number and $\left\{b_{n}\right\}$ be a strictly decreasing sequence. $\left(R_{b}^{g}\right)^{*}$ is cyclic for all $\int_{0}^{z} g(w) d w \in B M O A$.

Proof. If $g(0)=0$, then the result follows from [17], Theorem 2. If $g(0) \neq 0$, then the diagonal entries in (1.7) are distinct. Therefore, it is cyclic. See, for example, [8], Proposition 3.6.

Theorem 5. Let $g_{\beta}(z):=g(\beta z)$ with $|\beta|=1$, then $R_{b}^{g_{\beta}}$ is unitarily equivalent to $R_{b}^{g}$.

Proof. Define the map $U_{\beta}: H^{2} \rightarrow H^{2}$ by $U_{\beta}(f)(z)=f(\beta z)$. It is easy to see that $U_{\beta}$ is unitary with $U_{\beta}^{*}=U_{\bar{\beta}}$. Now, to show the unitary equivalence, we must prove that $U_{\beta}^{*} R_{b}^{g_{\beta}} U_{\beta}=R_{b}^{g}$. The matrix representation of $U_{\beta}$ in the basis $\left\{z^{n-1}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is the diagonal matrix $\operatorname{diag}\left\{\beta^{n}\right\}$. Moreover, we know that $\left(U_{\beta}\right)^{*}=U_{\bar{\beta}}=\left(U_{\beta}\right)^{-1}$. Thus we have $U_{\beta}^{*} R_{b}^{g_{\beta}} U_{\beta}=R_{b}^{g}$ using these matrix representations and consequently $R_{b}^{g_{\beta}}$ is unitarily equivalent to $R_{b}^{g}$.

Corollary 2. Let $\mathbb{D}$ be a unit disk in the complex plane. If $\beta \in \partial(\mathbb{D})$ and $b_{n}>0$ $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\sigma\left(R_{b}^{1 /(1-\beta z)}\right)=\sigma\left(R_{b}\right)=\{z:|z-L| \leq L\} \cup S$, where $L=$ $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}(n+1) b_{n}$ and $0 \leq L<+\infty, S=\left\{b_{n}: n=0,1,2, \ldots\right\}$.

Proof. This is immediate from the unitary equivalence and [18].
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