



Miskolc Mathematical Notes
Vol. 8 (2007), No 1, pp. 31-41

HU e-ISSN 1787-2413
DOI: 10.18514/MMN.2007.109

Notes on generalized (α, β) -derivations in prime rings

Öznur Gölbasi



NOTES ON GENERALIZED (α, β) -DERIVATIONS IN PRIME RINGS

ÖZNUR GÖLBASI

Received 11 November, 2004

Abstract. Let R be a prime ring with characteristics different from two, $\alpha, \beta \in \text{Aut}(R)$, and I a nonzero ideal of R . Let $d : R \rightarrow R$ be a (α, β) -derivation and $f : R \rightarrow R$ be generalized (α, β) -derivation associated with d . In this case: (i) if $af(x) = 0$ ($f(x)a = 0$) for all $x \in I$, then $a = 0$; (ii) if $\beta d = d\beta$ and $[f(x), a] = 0$ for all $x \in I$, then $a \in Z$ or $d(a) = 0$; (iii) if f acts as an endomorphism or anti-homomorphism on I , then $d = 0$; (iv) if $\beta d = d\beta$ and $f(xy) = f(yx)$ for all $x, y \in I$ then R is a commutative ring; (v) if f satisfies $f(x^2) = f(x)\alpha(x) + \beta(x)d(x)$ for all $x \in I$, then $f(xy) = f(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y)$ for all $x, y \in I$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* 16W25, 16N60

Keywords: generalized derivation, (α, β) -derivation, Jordan derivation

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of a generalized derivation of a prime ring R was introduced by M. Brešar [6] and B. Hvala [10]. An additive map f of an associative ring R is called a generalized derivation if there is a derivation d of R such that

$$f(xy) = f(x)y + xd(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

A similar notion was used by A. Nakajima [12] and N. Argaç and E. Albaş [1], who established a series of categorical properties.

As a well-known result proved by I. N. Herstein in [9, Theorem] states that if R is a prime ring of characteristics different from two and if d is a nonzero derivation of R such that $[d(x), a] = 0$ for all $x \in R$, then either R is commutative or $a \in Z$. Later on, the authors extended this result to (σ, τ) -derivation in [3]. In [8], Jui-Chi Chang showed that several results hold for any generalized (α, β) -derivation of a prime ring. The purpose of this note is to generalize these theorems for a nonzero ideal of prime ring and generalized (α, β) -derivation of R .

In [4], H. E. Bell and L. C. Kappe proved that d is a derivation of R which is either an endomorphism or anti-endomorphism in semi-prime ring R or nonzero right ideal of R , then $d = 0$. In view of this result, it is natural to question whether this result is true for generalized (α, β) -derivations of a prime ring. Our second aim in this paper

is to show that the above mentioned result holds for generalized (α, β) -derivation of a prime ring.

In [7], M. Brešar and J. Vukman proved that every (α, β) -Jordan derivation on a prime ring with characteristics different from two is a (α, β) -derivation. We showed that this result holds for generalized (α, β) -derivations on a nonzero ideal of prime ring R .

Throughout this paper, R will be a prime ring with $\text{char } R \neq 2$, I a nonzero ideal of R , Z the center of R . Let α and β be two automorphisms of R into itself. For any two elements x, y of R , we denote $[x, y] = xy - yx$ and make extensive use of the basic commutator identity $[xy, z] = x[y, z] + [x, z]y$.

2. RESULTS

Definition 1 ([8, Definition 1]). Let R be a ring, α and β automorphisms of R and d a (α, β) -derivation of R . An additive mapping $f : R \rightarrow R$ is said to be right generalized (α, β) -derivation associated with d if

$$f(xy) = f(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (2.1)$$

and f is said to be left generalized (α, β) -derivation associated with d if

$$f(xy) = d(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)f(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in R. \quad (2.2)$$

f is said to be a generalized (α, β) -derivation associated with d if it is both a left and right generalized (α, β) -derivation associated with d .

Lemma 1 ([8, Lemma 4]). Let $f \neq 0$ be a generalized (α, β) -derivation of prime ring R associated with d and $a \in R$.

- (i) If $af(x) = 0$ for all $x \in R$, then $a = 0$.
- (ii) If $f(x)a = 0$ for all $x \in R$, then $a = 0$.

Lemma 2. Let R be a prime ring with characteristics not equal to two, I a nonzero ideal of R and $f : R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized (α, β) -derivation of prime ring R associated with d . If $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in I$, then $f = 0$.

Proof. For all $x, y \in I$

$$0 = f(xy) = f(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y)$$

and so,

$$I\beta^{-1}(d(y)) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in I.$$

Since R is a prime ring, we get $d(y) = 0$, for all $y \in I$. Hence, by the hypothesis, $0 = f(xy) = f(x)\alpha(y)$ for all $x \in I, y \in R$. This yields that $f = 0$. \square

Lemma 3. Let $f \neq 0$ be a generalized (α, β) -derivation of prime ring R associated with nonzero (α, β) -derivation d , I a nonzero ideal of R and $a \in R$.

- (i) If $af(x) = 0$ for all $x \in I$, then $a = 0$.
- (ii) If $f(x)a = 0$ for all $x \in I$, then $a = 0$.

Proof. (i) For any $x \in I, r \in R$,

$$0 = af(xr) = af(x)\alpha(r) + a\beta(x)d(r)$$

and so,

$$\beta^{-1}(a)I\beta^{-1}(d(R)) = 0.$$

Since I is a nonzero ideal of prime ring R and $d \neq 0$, we get $a = 0$.

(ii) Similarly. \square

Theorem 1. *Let R be a prime ring with characteristics not two, I a nonzero ideal of R and $f : R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized (α, β) -derivation of prime ring R associated with nonzero (α, β) -derivation d . If $f(x) \in Z$ for all $x \in I$, then R is a commutative ring.*

Proof. By the hypothesis for all $x, y \in I$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [\alpha(y), f(xy)] = [\alpha(y), f(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y)] \\ &= \beta(x)[\alpha(y), d(y)] + [\alpha(y), \beta(x)]d(y) \\ &= \beta(x)\alpha(y)d(y) - \beta(x)d(y)\alpha(y) + \alpha(y)\beta(x)d(y) - \beta(x)\alpha(y)d(y) \end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$\alpha(y)\beta(x)d(y) - \beta(x)d(y)\alpha(y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.3)$$

Writing $xz, z \in I$ by x in (2.3) and using this equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \alpha(y)\beta(x)\beta(z)d(y) - \beta(x)\beta(z)d(y)\alpha(y) \\ &= \alpha(y)\beta(x)\beta(z)d(y) - \beta(x)\alpha(y)\beta(z)d(y) \\ &= [\alpha(y), \beta(x)]\beta(z)d(y). \end{aligned}$$

Using I a nonzero ideal of prime ring R and $d \neq 0$, one can obtain that R is a commutative ring. \square

Theorem 2. *Let R be a prime ring with characteristics not two, I a nonzero ideal of R , d be a nonzero (α, β) -derivation such that $d\beta = \beta d$ and $f : R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized (α, β) -derivation of prime ring R associated with d and $a \in R$. If $[f(x), a] = 0$ for all $x \in I$, then $a \in Z$ or $d(a) = 0$.*

Proof. By the hypothesis for all $x \in R, y \in I$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [f(xy), a] = [d(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)f(y), a] \\ &= d(x)[\alpha(y), a] + [d(x), a]\alpha(y) + [\beta(x), a]f(y) \\ &= d(x)\alpha(y)a - d(x)a\alpha(y) + d(x)a\alpha(y) - ad(x)\alpha(y) \\ &\quad + \beta(x)af(y) - a\beta(x)f(y) \end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$d(x)\alpha(y)a - ad(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)af(y) - a\beta(x)f(y) = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

for all $x \in R, y \in I$. Writing $yz, z \in R$ by y in (2.4) and using (2.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= d(x)\alpha(y)\alpha(z)a - ad(x)\alpha(y)\alpha(z) \\
 &\quad + \beta(x)af(y)\alpha(z) + \beta(x)a\beta(y)d(z) - \\
 &\quad - a\beta(x)f(y)\alpha(z) - a\beta(x)\beta(y)d(z) \\
 &= d(x)\alpha(y)\alpha(z)a - ad(x)\alpha(y)\alpha(z) + (\beta(x)af(y) - \\
 &\quad - a\beta(x)f(y))\alpha(z) + \beta(x)a\beta(y)d(z) - a\beta(x)\beta(y)d(z) \\
 &= d(x)\alpha(y)\alpha(z)a - d(x)\alpha(y)a\alpha(z) + \beta(x)a\beta(y)d(z) \\
 &\quad - a\beta(x)\beta(y)d(z)
 \end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$d(x)\alpha(y)[\alpha(z), a] + [\beta(x), a]\beta(y)d(z) = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

for all $x, z \in R, y \in I$. Replacing x by $\beta^{-1}(a)$ in (2.5), we have

$$d(\beta^{-1}(a))\alpha(y)[\alpha(z), a] = 0, \text{ for all } z \in R, y \in I.$$

Since I is a nonzero ideal of prime ring R and $d\beta = \beta d$, we get $d(a) = 0$ or $a \in Z$. \square

Theorem 3. *Let R be a prime ring with characteristics not two, I a nonzero ideal of R , d be a nonzero (α, β) -derivation such that $d\beta = \beta d$ and $f : R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized (α, β) -derivation of prime ring R associated with d . If $[f(x), f(y)] = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$, then R is a commutative ring or $df = 0$.*

Proof. By Theorem 2, we have $f(I) \subset Z$ or $df = 0$. The proof is completed by Theorem 1. \square

Theorem 4. *Let R be a prime ring with characteristics not two, I a nonzero ideal of R and $f : R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized (α, β) -derivation of prime ring R associated with d . If $f(xy) = f(x)f(y)$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $d = 0$.*

Proof. For any $x, y \in I$,

$$f(xy) = f(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y) = f(x)f(y), \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.6)$$

Writing $xw, w \in I$ for x in (2.6), we have

$$f(xw)\alpha(y) + \beta(xw)d(y) = f(xw)f(y)$$

Since d acts as a homomorphism on I , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x)f(w)\alpha(y) + \beta(xw)d(y) &= f(x)f(w)f(y) = f(x)f(wy) \\
 &= f(x)f(w)\alpha(y) + f(x)\beta(w)d(y)
 \end{aligned}$$

and so, we have $\beta(x)\beta(w)d(y) = f(x)\beta(w)d(y)$, i. e.,

$$(\beta(x) - f(x))\beta(w)d(y) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Since I a nonzero ideal of prime ring R and β an automorphism of R , we conclude that

$$f(x) = \beta(x), \text{ for all } x \in I \text{ or } d(y) = 0, \text{ for all } y \in I.$$

Let us assume that $f(x) = \beta(x)$, for all $x \in I$. Replacing x by xy , $y \in I$, we have $f(xy) = \beta(xy)$ and $d(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)f(y) = \beta(x)\beta(y) = \beta(x)f(y)$.

Thus, we obtain that $d(x) = 0$ for all $x \in I$ for any cases. That is $d = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 5. *Let R be a prime ring with characteristics not two, I a nonzero ideal of R and $f : R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized (α, β) -derivation of prime ring R associated with d . If $f(xy) = f(y)f(x)$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $d = 0$.*

Proof. Since d acts as an anti-homomorphism on I , we get

$$f(xy) = d(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)f(y) = f(y)f(x), \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.7)$$

Replacing y by xy , $y \in I$ in (2.7), we obtain $d(x)\alpha(xy) + \beta(x)f(xy) = f(xy)f(x)$,

$$d(x)\alpha(xy) + \beta(x)f(y)f(x) = d(x)\alpha(y)f(x) + \beta(x)f(y)f(x)$$

and so,

$$d(x)\alpha(x)\alpha(y) = d(x)\alpha(y)f(x), \text{ for } x, y \in I. \quad (2.8)$$

Substituting yw , $w \in I$ for y in this equation and using (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d(x)\alpha(x)\alpha(y)\alpha(w) - d(x)\alpha(y)\alpha(w)f(x) \\ &= d(x)\alpha(y)f(x)\alpha(w) - d(x)\alpha(y)\alpha(w)f(x) \\ &= d(x)\alpha(y)[f(x), \alpha(w)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since α is an automorphism and I a nonzero ideal of prime ring R , we obtain

$$d(x) = 0 \text{ or } [f(x), \alpha(w)] = 0, \text{ for all } x, w \in I.$$

Now let us define $A = \{x \in I \mid d(x) = 0\}$ and $B = \{x \in I \mid [f(x), \alpha(w)] = 0, \text{ for all } w \in I\}$. Clearly each A and B is an additive subgroup of I . Moreover, I is the set theoretic union of A and B . But a group cannot be the set theoretic union of two proper subgroups, hence $A = I$ or $B = I$. In the former case, we have $d(R) = 0$, which completes the proof. If $B = I$, then we get

$$0 = [f(x), \alpha(wr)] = \alpha(w)[f(x), \alpha(r)]$$

for all $x, w \in I, r \in R$.

Thus, we obtain that $f(I) \subset Z$. Since f acts as an endomorphism of R , it follows that $d = 0$, via Theorem 4. \square

Theorem 6. *Let R be a prime ring with characteristics not two, I a nonzero ideal of R and $f : R \rightarrow R$ be a generalized (α, β) -derivation of prime ring R associated with nonzero (α, β) -derivation d . If $\beta d = d\beta$ and $f(xy) = f(yx)$ for all $x, y \in I$, then R is a commutative ring.*

Proof. Let $c \in I$ be a constant element such that $f(c) = 0$ (i. e., $[x, y]$) and z be an arbitrary element of I . The condition that $f(cz) = f(zc)$ yields that $f(c)\alpha(z) + \beta(c)d(z) = d(z)\alpha(c) + \beta(z)f(c)$ and so,

$$\beta(c)d(z) = d(z)\alpha(c), \text{ for } z \in I.$$

Thus $[d(z), c]_{\alpha, \beta} = 0$, for all $z \in I$. Replacing z by wz , $w \in I$ and using this equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [d(wz), c]_{\alpha, \beta} = [d(w)\alpha(z) + \beta(w)d(z), c]_{\alpha, \beta} \\ &= d(w)\alpha([z, c]) + [d(w), c]_{\alpha, \beta}\alpha(z) + \beta(w)[d(z), c]_{\alpha, \beta} + \beta([w, c])d(z) \end{aligned}$$

and so,

$$d(w)\alpha([z, c]) + \beta([w, c])d(z) = 0, \text{ for all } w, z \in I. \quad (2.9)$$

Writing c (since $c \in I$) by z in (2.9), we have $\beta([w, c])d(c) = 0$. This gives us $[w, c]\beta^{-1}(d(c)) = 0$, for all $w \in I$, all $c \in I$ such that $f(c) = 0$. If we take rw , $r \in R$, $w \in I$ instead of w in this equation, we have

$$[r, c]w\beta^{-1}(d(c)) = 0, \text{ for all } r \in R.$$

Since I is a nonzero ideal of prime ring R , we obtain

$$c \in Z \text{ or } d(c) = 0, \text{ for all } c \in I \text{ such that } f(c) = 0.$$

So, we obtain

$$[x, y] \in Z \text{ or } d([x, y]) = 0, \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

because of $f([x, y]) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$. If $d([x, y]) = 0$, for all $y \in I$.

This shows that additive group I is the union of two of its additive subgroups $A = \{x \in I \mid d([x, y]) = 0, \text{ for all } y \in I\}$ and $B = \{x \in I \mid [x, y] \in Z, \text{ for all } y \in I\}$.

If $I = A$, then R is a commutative ring (i. e., $[x, y] \in Z$, for all $x, y \in I$) by [2, Theorem 3]. So, we can take $I = B$ and $[x, y] \in Z$, for all $x, y \in I$. Let us define an inner derivation $I_x : R \rightarrow R$, $I_x^2(y) = 0$, for all $y \in I$. This shows $I \subset Z$ and so R is a commutative ring by [5, Theorem 1]. \square

Definition 2. Let R be a ring, β and α automorphisms of R and d a (α, β) -derivation of R . An additive mapping $f : R \rightarrow R$ is said to be right generalized (α, β) -Jordan derivation associated with d if

$$f(x^2) = f(x)\alpha(x) + \beta(x)d(x) \text{ for all } x \in R. \quad (2.10)$$

and f is said to be left generalized (α, β) -Jordan derivation associated with d if

$$f(x^2) = d(x)\alpha(x) + \beta(x)f(x) \text{ for all } x \in R. \quad (2.11)$$

f is said to be a generalized (α, β) -Jordan derivation associated with d if it is both a left and right generalized (α, β) -Jordan derivation associated with d .

Lemma 4. Let $f : R \rightarrow R$ be a right generalized (α, β) -Jordan derivation on I . For all $x, y, z \in I$,

$$f(xy + yx) = f(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y) + f(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)d(x); \quad (1)$$

$$f(xy x) = f(x)\alpha(yx) + \beta(x)d(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(xy)d(x); \quad (2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(xyz + zyx) &= f(x)\alpha(yz) + \beta(x)d(y)\alpha(z) + \beta(xy)d(z) \\ &\quad + f(z)\alpha(yx) + \beta(z)d(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(zy)d(x) \\ &\quad + f(z)\alpha(yx) + \beta(z)d(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(zy)d(x). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Proof. Assertion (1). Linearizing (2.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} f((x+y)^2) &= f((x+y)(x+y)) = f(x^2 + xy + yx + y^2) \\ &= f(x^2) + f(xy + yx) + f(y^2) \\ &= f(x)\alpha(x) + \beta(x)d(x) \\ &\quad + f(xy + yx) + f(y)\alpha(y) + \beta(y)d(y) \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

for all $x, y \in I$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} f((x+y)^2) &= f(x+y)\alpha(x+y) + \beta(x+y)d(x+y) \\ &= f(x)\alpha(x) + f(y)\alpha(x) + f(x)\alpha(y) + f(y)\alpha(y) \\ &\quad + \beta(x)d(x) + \beta(x)d(y) + \beta(y)d(x) + \beta(y)d(y) \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

for all $x, y \in I$. Comparing (2.12) and (2.13), we have

$$f(xy + yx) = f(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y) + f(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)d(x)$$

for all $x, y \in I$.

Assertion (2). Replacing y by $xy + yx$ in (1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(x(xy + yx) + (xy + yx)x) &= f(x^2y + xyx + xyx + yx^2) \\ &= f(x^2y + yx^2) + 2f(xy x) \\ &= f(x^2)\alpha(y) + \beta(x^2)d(y) + f(y)\alpha(x^2) \\ &\quad + \beta(y)d(x^2) + 2f(xy x) \\ &= f(x)\alpha(xy) + \beta(x)d(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x^2)d(y) \\ &\quad + f(y)\alpha(x^2) + \beta(y)d(x)\alpha(x) \\ &\quad + \beta(yx)d(x) + 2f(xy x) \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

for all $x, y \in I$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(x(xy + yx) + (xy + yx)x) &= f(x)\alpha(xy + yx) + \beta(x)d(xy + yx) \\
 &\quad + f(xy + yx)\alpha(x) + \beta(xy + yx)d(x) \\
 &= f(x)\alpha(xy) + f(x)\alpha(yx) + \beta(x)d(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x^2)d(y) \\
 &\quad + \beta(x)d(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(xy)d(x) + f(x)\alpha(yx) \\
 &\quad + \beta(x)d(y)\alpha(x) + f(y)\alpha(x^2) + \beta(y)d(x)\alpha(x) \\
 &\quad + \beta(xy)d(x) + \beta(yx)d(x)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

for all $x, y \in I$. Comparing (2.14) and (2.15) and using $\text{char } R \neq 2$, we get the required result.

Assertion (3). Linearizing (2) on x , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 f((x + z)y(x + y)) &= f(xyx + xyz + zyx + zyz) \\
 &= f(xyx) + f(xyz + zyx) + f(zyz) \\
 &= f(x)\alpha(yx) + \beta(x)d(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(xy)d(x) \\
 &\quad + f(xyz + zyx) + f(z)\alpha(yz) \\
 &\quad + \beta(z)d(y)\alpha(z) + \beta(zy)d(z)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

for all $x, y, z \in I$. Computing now $f((x + z)y(x + z))$ in another way, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 f((x + z)y(x + z)) &= f(x + z)\alpha(yx + yz) + \beta(x + z)d(y)\alpha(x + z) \\
 &\quad + \beta(xy + zy)d(x + z) \\
 &= f(x)\alpha(yx) + f(x)\alpha(yz) + f(z)\alpha(yx) + f(z)\alpha(yz) \\
 &\quad + \beta(x)d(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(x)d(y)\alpha(z) \\
 &\quad + \beta(z)d(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(z)d(y)\alpha(z) \\
 &\quad + \beta(xy)d(x) + \beta(xy)d(z) + \beta(zy)d(x) \\
 &\quad + \beta(zy)d(z)
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

for all $x, y, z \in I$. Comparing (2.16) and (2.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f(xyz + zyx) &= f(x)\alpha(yz) + \beta(x)d(y)\alpha(z) + \beta(xy)d(z) + f(z)\alpha(yx) \\
 &\quad + \beta(z)d(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(zy)d(x)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in I$. □

We introduce the abbreviation

$$x^y = f(xy) - f(x)\alpha(y) - \beta(x)d(y)$$

for all $x, y \in I$.

Observe that, by Lemma 4 (1), we have $f(xy + yx) = f(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)d(y) + f(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)d(x)$ and so, $f(xy) - f(x)\alpha(y) - \beta(x)d(y) = -(f(yx) - f(y)\alpha(x) - \beta(y)d(x))$. That is,

$$x^y = -y^x \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (2.18)$$

Lemma 5. *Let $f : R \rightarrow R$ be a right generalized (α, β) -Jordan derivation on I . For all $x, y \in I$,*

$$x^y[\alpha(x), \alpha(y)] = 0.$$

Proof. Replacing z by xy in Lemma 4 (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} f((xy)^2 + xy^2x) &= f((xy)^2) + f(xy^2x) = f(xy)\alpha(xy) + \beta(xy)d(xy) \\ &\quad + f(x)\alpha(x^2y) + \beta(x)d(y^2)\alpha(x) + \beta(xy^2)d(x) \\ &= f(xy)\alpha(xy) + \beta(xy)d(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(xy)x d(y) \\ &\quad + f(x)\alpha(x^2y) + \beta(x)d(y)\alpha(yx) \\ &\quad + \beta(xy)d(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(xy^2)d(x) \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

for all $x, y \in I$. On the other hand, we get

$$\begin{aligned} f(xy(xy) + (xy)yx) &= f(x)\alpha(yxy) + \beta(x)d(y)\alpha(xy) + \beta(xy)d(xy) \\ &\quad + f(xy)\alpha(yx) + \beta(xy)d(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(xy^2)d(x) \\ &= f(x)\alpha(yxy) + \beta(x)d(y)\alpha(xy) + \beta(xy)d(x)\alpha(y) \\ &\quad + \beta(xy)x d(y) + f(xy)\alpha(yx) + \beta(xy)d(y)\alpha(x) \\ &\quad + \beta(xy^2)d(x) \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

for all $x, y \in I$. Comparing these two equations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(xy)\alpha(xy) + f(x)\alpha(y^2x) + \beta(x)d(y)\alpha(yx) \\ = f(x)\alpha(yxy) + \beta(x)d(y)\alpha(xy) + f(xy)\alpha(yx). \end{aligned}$$

That is, $x^y[\alpha(x), \alpha(y)] = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$. \square

Theorem 7. *Let R be a non-commutative prime ring with characteristics not two, I a nonzero ideal of R . If f be a right generalized (α, β) -Jordan derivation on I , then f is generalized (α, β) -derivation on I .*

Proof. From Lemma 4 (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(xwy + ywx) &= f(x)\alpha(wy) + \beta(x)d(w)\alpha(y) + \beta(xw)d(y) \\ &\quad + f(y)\alpha(wx) + \beta(y)d(w)\alpha(x) + \beta(yw)d(x) \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

for all $x, y, w \in I$. Replacing x by xy and y by yx in (2.21), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 f((xy)w(yx) + (yx)w(xy)) &= f(xy)\alpha(wyx) + \beta(xy)d(w)\alpha(yx) \\
 &\quad + \beta(xyw)d(yx) + f(yx)\alpha(wxy) \\
 &\quad + \beta(yx)d(w)\alpha(xy) + \beta(yxw)d(xy) \\
 &= f(xy)\alpha(wyx) + \beta(xy)d(w)\alpha(yx) + \beta(xyw)d(y)\alpha(x) \\
 &\quad + \beta(xywy)d(x) + f(yx)\alpha(wxy) + \beta(yx)d(w)\alpha(xy) \\
 &\quad + \beta(yxw)d(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(yxwx)d(y) \quad (2.22)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, w \in I$. On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 f((xy)w(yx) + (yx)w(xy)) &= f(x(ywy)x) + f(y(xwx)y) \\
 &= f(x)\alpha(ywyx) + \beta(x)d(ywy)\alpha(x) + \beta(xywy)d(x) \\
 &\quad + f(y)\alpha(xwxy) + \beta(y)d(xwx)\alpha(y) + \beta(yxwx)d(y) \\
 &= f(x)\alpha(ywyx) + \beta(x)d(y)\alpha(wyx) + \beta(xy)d(w)\alpha(yx) \\
 &\quad + \beta(xyw)d(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(xywy)d(x) + f(y)\alpha(xwxy) \\
 &\quad + \beta(y)d(x)\alpha(wxy) + \beta(yx)d(w)\alpha(xy) \\
 &\quad + \beta(yxw)d(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(yxwx)d(y) \quad (2.23)
 \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, w \in I$. Comparing equations (2.22) and (2.23), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \{f(yx) - f(y)\alpha(x) - \beta(y)d(x)\}\alpha(w)\alpha(xy) \\
 + \{f(xy) - f(x)\alpha(y) - \beta(x)d(y)\}\alpha(w)\alpha(yx) = 0
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$y^x\alpha(w)\alpha(xy) + x^y\alpha(w)\alpha(yx) = 0$$

for all $x, y, w \in I$. Using (2.18), we get $x^y\alpha(I)\alpha([y, x]) = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$. Since I is a nonzero ideal of prime ring R , we obtain for each pair $x, y \in I$ either $x^y = 0$ or $[x, y] = 0$. Notice that the mappings $(x, y) \rightarrow x^y$ and $(x, y) \rightarrow [x, y]$ satisfy the requirements of [5, Lemma 4]. Hence $x^y = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$ or $[x, y]^2 = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$. If $[x, y]^2 = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$, then for each $x \in I$, $I_x(y)^2 = 0$, for all $y \in I$, where I_x is the inner derivation. This yields that R is commutative, a contradiction by [11, Theorem 3]. Thus, we have $x^y = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Argaç and E. Albaş, "On generalized (σ, τ) -derivations," *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 1211–1221, 2002.
- [2] M. Ashraf and N. ur Rehman, "On (σ, τ) -derivations in prime rings," *Arch. Math. (Brno)*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 259–264, 2002.
- [3] N. Aydın and K. Kaya, "Some generalizations in prime rings with (σ, τ) -derivation," *Doğa Mat.*, vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 169–176, 1992.

- [4] H. E. Bell and L.-C. Kappe, "Rings in which derivations satisfy certain algebraic conditions," *Acta Math. Hungar.*, vol. 53, no. 3-4, pp. 339–346, 1989.
- [5] J. Bergen, I. N. Herstein, and J. W. Kerr, "Lie ideals and derivations of prime rings," *J. Algebra*, vol. 71, no. 1, pp. 259–267, 1981.
- [6] M. Brešar and J. Vukman, "Jordan (Θ, ϕ) -derivations," *Glas. Mat. Ser. III*, vol. 26(46), no. 1-2, pp. 13–17, 1991.
- [7] M. Brešar, "On the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations," *Glasgow Math. J.*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 89–93, 1991.
- [8] J.-C. Chang, "On the identity $h(x) = af(x) + g(x)b$," *Taiwanese J. Math.*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 103–113, 2003.
- [9] I. N. Herstein, "A note on derivations. II," *Canad. Math. Bull.*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 509–511, 1979.
- [10] B. Hvala, "Generalized derivations in rings," *Comm. Algebra*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 1147–1166, 1998.
- [11] P. H. Lee and T. K. Lee, "On derivations of prime rings," *Chinese J. Math.*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 107–110, 1981.
- [12] A. Nakajima, "On categorical properties of generalized derivations," *Sci. Math.*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 345–352, 1999.

Author's address

Öznur Gölbası

Cumhuriyet University, Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Mathematics, Sivas, Turkey

E-mail address: ogolbasi@cumhuriyet.edu.tr

URL: <http://www.cumhuriyet.edu.tr>